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Abstract

The names *Cissus frutescens* and *C. arborea* have a long history of confusion. *Cissus frutescens* Blanco belongs to the genus *Melicope* (Rutaceae) and we herein correct a nomenclatural mistake made by T.G. Hartley in the revision of *Melicope*. The name *Melicope confusa* (Merr.) P.S. Liu was accepted for this taxon by Hartley. However, *Cissus frutescens* Blanco represents the earliest name for this entity and a new combination, *Melicope frutescens* (Blanco) Appelhans & J.Wen is herein proposed. Neotypification of *Cissus arborea* Blanco is also provided.
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Introduction

The name *Cissus frutescens* Blanco was published in 1837 in the first edition of Francisco Manuel Blanco’s *Flora de Filipinas* (Blanco 1837). The description in Spanish was relatively short and did not cite any collection. Blanco’s second edition of this work was published in 1845 shortly after his death and included several name changes without comments or reference to the first edition (Merrill 1905). Among these names
is *Cissus frutescens*, which was changed to *Cissus arborea* Blanco, but the treatment of the taxon remained identical to that in the first edition (Blanco 1845).

After Blanco’s death, an addendum to the Flora de Filipinas was written by Fernandez-Villar, who considered *Cissus frutescens/C. arborea* conspecific with *Evodia roxburghiana* (Cham.) Benth. [*Evodia* =*Euodia*¹, Rutaceae] (Fernandez-Villar, 1877-1883). *Euodia roxburghiana* is currently known as *Melicope lumu-ankenda* (Gaertn.) T.G.Hartley, and interestingly, only a single specimen of this widespread species was cited from the Philippines in the latest revision of *Melicope and Euodia* (Hartley, 2001), suggesting that it might be rare in the Philippines. This was highlighted by Merrill, who stated that the species was “not definitely known from the Philippines” (Merrill 1918: 198).

In 1918 Merrill also treated *Cissus frutescens/C. arborea* as conspecific with *E. glabra* Blume, noting that “Blanco’s descriptions were very indefinite, and the species Blanco described might with equal propriety be reduced to almost any trifoliolate species of *Evodia* with glabrous leaves” (Merrill 1918: 198). In 1918, Merrill mentioned a collection of *Cissus frutescens* that he collected in the vicinity of Blanco’s locality for this species (*Merrill, Species Blancoanae No. 904*, Fig. 1). Merrill considered this collection an “illustrative specimen” with duplicates deposited at A, GH, K, L, NSW, NY, P, US and W. In 1922, Merrill published the new species *Euodia confusa* Merr. where *Merrill 904* was listed along with many other specimens. Liu (1962) transferred *Euodia confusa* to *Melicope*, a decision which is in agreement with recent revisionary (Hartley and Stone 1989; Hartley 2001) and molecular phylogenetic studies (Appelhans et al. 2014a, b) where a total of seven species, restricted to New Guinea, northern Australia and several Pacific island groups are recognized in *Euodia*. All species of *Euodia* from the Philippines have been transferred to *Melicope* (Hartley and Stone 1989; Hartley 2001).

The latest revision of *Melicope* (Hartley 2001) included the lectotypification and the neotypification of the names *Melicope confusa* and *Cissus frutescens*, respectively. Hartley (2001) reported that the type of *Euodia confusa* (*Ramos 15055, PNH*) was lost and therefore he chose *Borden 3045* (NY) among the paratypes, as its lectotype. He also designated *Merrill 904* as the neotype of *Cissus frutescens*, which he placed as a synonym of *Melicope confusa* (Hartley 2001). *Merrill 904* is the “illustrative specimen” that Merrill provided for Blanco’s names and which was listed in the protologue of *Euodia confusa* (Merrill 1922).

Until the neotypification of *Cissus frutescens* (Hartley 2001), the status of Blanco’s names was unclear. Blanco’s species descriptions were not detailed enough to differentiate among several species of *Euodia/Melicope*, and his collections were lost. However, by assigning a neotype to *Cissus frutescens*, Hartley (2001) definitely associated the specimen *Merrill 904* to this taxon name. By the principle of nomenclatural priority the species epithet *frutescens* must be used for the entity of *Melicope confusa*, as *M. confusa* represents a synonym of *Cissus frutescens*. The epithet *frutescens* is not pre-empted in *Melicope*.

---

¹ Fernandez-Villar used the old spelling *Evodia*, whereas the conserved spelling is *Euodia*
Blanco’s *Cissus frutescens* and *C. arborea*

**Figure 1.** The neotype of *Melicope frutescens* (Blanco) Appelhans & J.Wen (A).
Three other authors used the name *Cissus arborea*. *Cissus arborea* Forssk. (Forsskål 1775) is a synonym of *Salvadora persica* L. (Salvadoraceae; Roemer and Schultes 1818); *C. arborea* Willd. ex Roem. & Schult. is a synonym of *Ardisia guianensis* (Aubl.) Mez. (Mez 1901); and *C. arborea* (L.) Des Moul. is a synonym of *Nekemias arborea* (L.) J.Wen & Boggan (Wen et al. 2014), so the Des Moulins’ taxon remains the only *Cissus arborea* that actually represents a Vitaceae species.

**Taxonomic treatment**

*Melicope frutescens* (Blanco) Appelhans & J.Wen, comb. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77151881-1


In addition to its distribution in the Philippines, *Melicope frutescens* is known to occur in Borneo, Sulawesi and the Moluccas. It typically grows in the lowlands but reached elevations of up to 1800 m in the Philippines. The species occurs in primary, secondary, and disturbed rainforests.
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