

The segetal flora of Italy: an occurrence dataset from relevés in winter cereals and allied crop types

Emanuele Fanfarillo¹, Marta Latini¹, Mauro Iberite¹, Giovanna Abbate¹

¹ *Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University of Rome, Ple A. Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy*

Corresponding author: Marta Latini (marta.latini@uniroma1.it)

Academic editor: Lorenzo Peruzzi | Received 4 May 2020 | Accepted 19 August 2020 | Published 2 October 2020

Citation: Fanfarillo E, Latini M, Iberite M, Abbate G (2020) The segetal flora of Italy: an occurrence dataset from relevés in winter cereals and allied crop types. *PhytoKeys* 161: 107–118. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.161.53915>

Abstract

The segetal flora of winter crops includes mostly native or archaeophyte annual species that are often strong specialists of their habitats. Threatened by the intensification of agriculture, segetal flora is particularly valuable from a perspective of biodiversity conservation and evolution. Moreover, it contributes to maintain biodiversity in agroecosystems and provides several ecosystem services. The dataset here described was set up to provide the first inventory of the segetal flora of Italian winter cereal crops and allied crop types, the latter including flax and autumn-sown legumes. It includes 24,676 georeferenced occurrence data deriving from 1,240 floristic and phytosociological relevés. The data were collected from the greater part of Italian territory, in a temporal range spanning from 1946 to 2018.

Keywords

weed, agriculture, agroecosystem, arable flora, archaeophyte, observation, wheat, winter annual crop

Introduction

The concept of “weed” is very subjective, as any plant that interferes with human activities can be considered as such, implying the existence of agricultural weeds, environmental weeds, ruderal weeds and many others. Weeds of arable land are almost exclusively annual and are called “agrestals” or “segetals” (Holzner 1982). For decades,

they have been negatively affected by the intensification of agriculture all over Europe (Storkey et al. 2012; Richner et al. 2015; Janssen et al. 2016; Pannacci et al. 2017; Woźniak 2020). In recent years, many studies highlighted the ecological and agronomic benefits of these species in agricultural systems, including the provision of ecosystem services, such as support to biodiversity, storage of crop genetic resources, pest regulation and soil protection (Hammer et al. 1997; Marshall et al. 2003; Storkey and Neve 2018). At middle and high latitudes, segetal plants can be divided into two main groups according to their phenology, the latter depending on the crops they colonise: species of winter crops, like wheat and species of summer crops, like maize. In Europe, winter-annual crops host mostly native or archaeophyte segetal species, which are often strong specialists of these habitats (Lososová et al. 2004; Brullo et al. 2007; Brullo and Guarino 2007; Abbate et al. 2013; Nowak et al. 2015; Latini et al. 2020). Several anecophytes are present amongst them: “homeless weeds” without a natural habitat, which recently evolved under the pressure of agriculture and developed biological and ecological features similar to those of crop species (Zohary 1962; McElroy 2014). For all these reasons, the segetal flora of winter cereal crops owns a peculiar value from the perspectives of biodiversity conservation and evolution.

The here presented dataset is available in GBIF (Fanfarillo et al. 2020a) and includes 24,676 records. Of the latter, 2,878 were newly acquired through field sampling and 21,798 were retrieved from literature. The dataset was set up to define the first inventory of the segetal flora of Italian winter cereal crops and allied crop types (from here on simply “segetal flora”), i.e. flax and autumn-sown legumes (Fanfarillo et al. 2020b). It is the first contribution by the Laboratory of Systematic Botany and Floristics, Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University of Rome to the GBIF, which approved it as a data editor in March 2020 (responsible person: Mauro Iberite; technical contact: Marta Latini).

In the light of what is stated above, the main aims of the present paper are the description and presentation of this recently-released dataset, providing information on its usefulness and possible future applications.

Related project

Project title

Plant biodiversity in traditional agroecosystems of Italy: a floristic and ecological multi-scale analysis based on geodatabases.

Identifier

RM118164361D0EE4 (Progetto di Ricerca Medio, Sapienza University of Rome).

Personnel

Giovanna Abbate, Mauro Iberite, Marta Latini, Emanuele Fanfarillo

Funding

Sapienza University of Rome, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy.

Project data

The disappearance of traditional agroecosystems and the consequent biodiversity loss due to changes in agriculture are receiving increasing attention in Europe. The use of databases on plant taxonomical, distributional, ecological and functional traits is of crucial importance in conservation actions. The need to improve monitoring and reporting activities by improving the quality of biodiversity data is also underlined by the European Biodiversity Strategy. This project aimed at fulfilling a global analysis of the plant diversity existing in the traditional agroecosystems of Italy, knowledge of which is currently lacking, by means of the collection, digitisation and processing of original and archival data. The proposed actions concerned: the preparation of thematic databases on segetal flora and vegetation, including the features of plant species and communities; the analysis of data at different spatial and temporal scales; the production of thematic maps on plant diversity and its related topics; the development of new methods to estimate the nature value of agroecosystems; the detection of bio-indicator plant species for floristic richness, agricultural intensity and environmental quality. Special attention was given to winter arable plants and communities, currently at high risk of disappearance in Europe. The achieved results provided an important basis for any future research, with special regards to the definition of conservation strategies for plant diversity in European rural areas.

Methods

The occurrence data were retrieved through extensive literature searches and intensive field samplings, the latter being carried out in the greater part of Italy to fill the knowledge gaps in some geographic areas. Literature data were selected using a habitat-based criterion: only the records for taxa unambiguously reported to grow in winter cereals, flax and autumn-sown legumes were collected. Consequently, all the records with no or with generic information on the growing habitat (e.g. "fields" or "cultivated land") were excluded. Likewise, records of taxa identified to the genus or higher level, doubtful identifications, nomenclatural ambiguities and crop species were not considered. The bibliographic source of each record is available upon request to the authors.

All the occurrence data were georeferenced. Geographic coordinates (decimal latitude and decimal longitude), geodetic datum and a value of uncertainty for coordinates were attributed to each single record. The geographic coordinates were manually attributed, based on the descriptions of the relevé location provided in the original source. If coordinates were already available, they were converted in WGS84 geodetic datum, when differently expressed. The uncertainty of geographic positions was estimated according to the 9-degree scale defined by Murphey et al. (2004) and then converted into metres, as requested by GBIF (1, 100, 500, 1000, 5000, 10,000, 50,000 m or accordingly higher, if only the administrative region/country were given for data, following the same method used in Kůzmič et al. 2020). Georeferencing historical data was often challenging due to vague information on the collection place or to the report of non-localisable toponyms. In these cases, the records were georeferenced as accurately as possible on a wider scale (e.g. the “comune” when the reported locality within the “comune” could not be identified).

The taxonomic nomenclature was updated according to the latest standards available for the Italian flora (Bartolucci et al. 2018a, b, c; Galasso et al. 2019; Bartolucci et al. 2019 for native species; Galasso et al. 2019 for alien species).

The dataset was validated using GBIF Data Validator (<https://www.gbif.org/tools/data-validator>) and was published using GBIF Integrated Publishing Toolkit (IPT) publishing platform (<https://cloud.gbif.org/eca>). Once the data were imported in GBIF, the nomenclature was automatically referred to the GBIF Backbone Taxonomy (GBIF Secretariat 2019). Nevertheless, original names are available for consultation for each record.

Results

Dataset description

Object name: Darwin Core Archive Segetal flora of Italy

Character encoding: UTF-8

Format name: Darwin Core Archive format

Format version: 1.0

Distribution: <https://cloud.gbif.org/eca/archive.do?r=segflorit>

Publication date of data: 09-07-2020

Language: English

Licences of use: Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 License

Metadata language: English

Date of metadata creation: 09-04-2020

Hierarchy level: Dataset

The fields provided by the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset are:

occurrenceID, basisOfRecord, eventDate, scientificName, kingdom, taxonRank, decimalLatitude, decimalLongitude, geodeticDatum, coordinateUncertaintyInMetres, continent, country, countryCode, stateProvince, organismQuantity, organismQuantityType.

Taxonomic coverage

Most of the records belong to the class Magnoliopsida (20,307 records; 82% of the total), followed by Liliopsida (4,208 records; 17%) and Polypodiopsida (117 records; 0.5%). Though, on the basis of the most recent results summarised by the APG (Stevens 2001 onwards), this classification is outdated, the technical schemes of the GBIF Backbone Taxonomy impose following this taxonomic scheme (GBIF Secretariat 2019).

Within Magnoliopsida, the most represented orders are Asterales (15%), Ranunculales (12%), Caryophyllales (11%) and Fabales (8%). Poales (14%) is the most represented order within Liliopsida. The records in the dataset belong to 53 families, 340 genera and 859 taxa. The five most represented families, genera and species are shown in Tables 1–3, respectively.

Table 1. The five most represented families in the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset: number of records, percentage of records, number of genera and number of species are reported for each family.

Family	No. records	% of records	No. genera	No. species
Poaceae	3,468	14.1	46	100
Asteraceae	3,100	12.6	69	126
Fabaceae	1,925	7.8	19	95
Apiaceae	1,658	6.7	30	43
Papaveraceae	1,582	6.4	3	12

Table 2. The five most represented genera in the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset: family, number of records, percentage of records and number of species are reported for each genus.

Genus (Family)	No. records	% of records	No. species
<i>Papaver</i> (Papaveraceae)	1,243	5.0	5
<i>Veronica</i> (Plantaginaceae)	690	2.8	12
<i>Lysimachia</i> (Primulaceae)	689	2.8	3
<i>Ranunculus</i> (Ranunculaceae)	656	2.7	11
<i>Lolium</i> (Poaceae)	641	2.6	4

Table 3. The five most represented species in the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset: family, number of records and percentage of records are reported for each species.

Species (Family)	No. records	% of records
<i>Papaver rhoeas</i> L. (Papaveraceae)	917	3.7
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L. (Convolvulaceae)	564	2.3
<i>Ranunculus arvensis</i> L. (Ranunculaceae)	496	2.0
<i>Lysimachia arvensis</i> (L.) U.Manns & Anderb. (Primulaceae)	466	1.9
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L. (Polygonaceae)	429	1.7

Taxonomic ranks

Kingdom: Plantae

Phylum: Tracheophyta

Class: Magnoliopsida, Liliopsida, Polypodiopsida.

Order: Alismatales, Apiales, Asparagales, Asterales, Boraginales, Brassicales, Caryophyllales, Cucurbitales, Dipsacales, Equisetales, Ericales, Fabales, Gentianales, Geraniales, Lamiales, Liliales, Malpighiales, Malvales, Myrtales, Oxalidales, Piperales, Poales, Polygodiales, Ranunculales, Rosales, Salviniaceae, Santalales, Saxifragales, Solanales.

Family: Amaranthaceae, Amaryllidaceae, Apiaceae, Araceae, Aristolochiaceae, Asparagaceae, Asteraceae, Boraginaceae, Brassicaceae, Campanulaceae, Caprifoliaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Convolvulaceae, Cucurbitaceae, Cyperaceae, Dennstaedtiaceae, Elatinaceae, Equisetaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Gentianaceae, Geraniaceae, Heliotropiaceae, Hypericaceae, Iridaceae, Juncaceae, Lamiaceae, Liliaceae, Linaceae, Lythraceae, Malvaceae, Marsileaceae, Onagraceae, Orobanchaceae, Oxalidaceae, Papaveraceae, Plantaginaceae, Poaceae, Polygonaceae, Portulacaceae, Primulaceae, Ranunculaceae, Resedaceae, Rosaceae, Rubiaceae, Santalaceae, Saxifragaceae, Scrophulariaceae, Solanaceae, Thymelaeaceae, Urticaceae, Verbenaceae, Violaceae.

Spatial coverage

The species occurrences stored in the dataset were recorded from the greater part of the Italian territory (Fig. 1 – Bounding box coordinates: 35°23'60"N, 6°30'0"E; 47°12'0"N, 18°36'0"E). Regarding administrative regions, the highest numbers of records are from Sicily, Veneto, Lombardy and Latium (Table 4). So far, no data could

Table 4. Number and percentage of records per administrative region in the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset.

Administrative region	No. records	% on the total
Sicily	6035	24.5
Veneto	2680	10.9
Lombardy	2480	10.1
Latium	2086	8.4
Emilia-Romagna	1797	7.3
Abruzzo	1785	7.2
Marche	1725	7.0
Umbria	1652	6.7
Calabria	1120	4.5
Basilicata	692	2.8
Molise	648	2.6
Friuli Venezia Giulia	559	2.3
Tuscany	396	1.6
Piedmont	373	1.5
Sardinia	298	1.2
Campania	249	1.0
Trentino-Alto Adige	74	0.3
Liguria	27	0.1




Figure 1. Geographical coverage of the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset. Different colours express the different number of records per cell (darker colours = higher number of records).

be retrieved for Valle d’Aosta and Apulia. A total of 17.3% of the coordinates had an uncertainty of 1 m; 33.2% of 1,000 m; 5.9% of 5,000 m; 25.2% of 10,000 m; 5.6% of 50,000 m; 12.7% of 100,000 m.

Temporal coverage

The dataset includes species occurrences recorded from 1946 to 2018 (Fig. 2). Most of the records were collected in the 1970s, 1990s and 2010s. The date of collection is available for 84% of the data (20,703 records).

As expected, a high seasonality characterises the dataset. Most of the occurrences were recorded in spring and early summer. The months of greatest occurrence of the investigated taxa are, respectively, June, May, July and April (Fig. 3).




Figure 2. Temporal coverage of the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset (occurrences per year).




Figure 3. Temporal coverage of the “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset (occurrences per month).

Interest and use of the dataset

The “Segetal flora of Italy” dataset was the basis for the definition of the first inventory of the segetal flora of Italian winter cereal crops and allied crop types (Fanfarillo et al. 2020b). The latter is one of the first of its kind for European countries, following the French one (Aboucaya et al. 2000; Cambec edes et al. 2012). Part of the stored data was used to highlight the influence of the geo-environmental factors and the patterns of co-occurrence of rare and threatened arable species in winter arable plant communities of mainland Italy (Fanfarillo et al. 2020c, d). Moreover, another subset of the data contributed, in the form of vegetation plots, to the establishment of the European Weed Vegetation Database (K uzmi c et al. 2020). Besides GBIF, the occurrences stored in the “Segetal flora of Italy” database will be also stored in other important biodiversity data repositories, such as the Italian Wikiplantbase #Italia (Peruzzi et al. 2019 onwards; Dipartimento di Biologia, Universit  di Pisa 2020).

Acknowledgements

We thank the reviewers for their substantial improvement to the first version of the manuscript.

References

- Abbate G, Cicinelli E, Iamónico D, Iberite M (2013) Floristic analysis of the weed communities in wheat and corn crops: A case study in western-central Italy. *Annali di Botanica* 3: 97–105. <https://doi.org/10.4462/annbotrm-10246>
- Aboucaya A, Jauzein P, Vinciguerra L, Virevaire M (2000) Plan national d'action pour la conservation des plantes messicoles. Rapport final rédigé à la demande du Ministère de l'Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Environnement, Direction de La Nature et des Paysages. Hyeres: Conservatoire Botanique National Méditerranéen de Porquerolles. Report No: 4703 (1998/09/22). https://messicoles.org/files/fichierressource_Aboucaya_2000_rapportplannational.pdf [accessed: 4 May 2020]
- Bartolucci F, Domina G, Alessandrini A, Angiolini C, Ardenghi NMG, Bacchetta G, Banfi E, Bolpagni R, Bonari G, Bräuchler C, Calvia G, Cancellieri L, Cannucci S, Carruggio F, Conti F, Cavallaro V, Fanfarillo E, Ferretti G, Festi F, Fiaschi T, Foggi B, Forte L, Fröhner SE, Galasso G, Gestri G, Gottschlich G, Labadessa R, Lastrucci L, Lazzaro L, Mereu G, Morabito A, Mugnai M, Musarella CM, Orsenigo S, Paziienza G, Pennesi R, Peruzzi L, Pierini B, Podda L, Prosser F, Rossi G, Scoppola A, Spampinato G, Stinca A, Tomaselli V, Zangari G, Nepi C (2019) Notulae to the Italian native vascular flora: 7. *Italian Botanist* 7: 125–148. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.7.36148>
- Bartolucci F, Domina G, Ardenghi NMG, Bacchetta G, Bernardo L, Buccomino G, Buono S, Caldararo F, Calvia G, Carruggio F, Cavagna A, D'Amico FS, Di Carlo F, Festi F, Forte L, Galasso G, Gargano D, Gottschlich G, Lazzaro L, Magrini S, Maiorca G, Medagli P, Mei G, Mennini F, Mereu G, Miserocchi D, Olivieri N, Passalacqua NG, Paziienza G, Peruzzi L, Prosser F, Rempicci M, Roma-Marzio F, Ruggero A, Sani A, Saulle D, Steffanini C, Stinca A, Terzi M, Tondi G, Trenchi M, Viciani D, Wagensommer RP, Nepi C (2018c) Notulae to the Italian native vascular flora: 6. *Italian Botanist* 6: 45–64. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.6.30575>
- Bartolucci F, Domina G, Ardenghi NMG, Banfi E, Bernardo L, Bonari G, Buccomino G, Calvia G, Carruggio F, Cavallaro V, Chianese G, Conti F, Facioni L, Del Vico E, Di Gristina E, Falcinelli F, Forte L, Gargano D, Mantino F, Martino M, Mei G, Mereu G, Olivieri N, Passalacqua NG, Paziienza G, Peruzzi L, Roma-Marzio F, Scafidi F, Scoppola A, Stinca A, Nepi C (2018b) Notulae to the Italian native vascular flora: 5. *Italian Botanist* 5: 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.5.25892>
- Bartolucci F, Peruzzi L, Galasso G, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Ardenghi NMG, Astuti G, Bacchetta G, Ballelli G, Banfi E, Barberis G, Bernardo L, Bouvet D, Bovio M, Cecchi L, Di Pietro R, Domina G, Fascetti S, Fenu G, Festi F, Foggi B, Gallo L, Gottschlich G, Gubellini L, Iamónico D, Iberite M, Jiménez-Mejías P, Lattanzi E, Marchetti D, Martinetto E, Masin RR, Medagli P, Passalacqua NG, Peccenini S, Pennesi R, Pierini B, Poldini L, Prosser F,

- Raimondo FM, Roma-Marzio F, Rosati L, Santangelo A, Scoppola A, Scortegagna S, Selvaggi A, Selvi F, Soldano A, Stinca A, Wagensommer RP, Wilhalm T, Conti F (2018a) An updated checklist of the vascular flora native to Italy. *Plant Biosystems* 152(2): 179–303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996>
- Brullo S, Giusso Del Galdo G, Guarino R, Minissale P, Spampinato G (2007) A survey of the weed communities of Sicily. *Annali di Botanica* 7: 127–161. <https://doi.org/10.4462/annbotrm-9091>
- Brullo S, Guarino R (2007) The Mediterranean weedy vegetation and its origin. *Annali di Botanica* 7: 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.4462/annbotrm-9089>
- Cambec des J, Largier G, Lombard A (2012) Plan national d'actions en faveur des plantes messicoles. Bagn res de Bigorre: Conservatoire Botanique National des Pyr n es et de Midi-Pyr n es – F d ration des Conservatoires Botaniques Nationaux – Minist re de l' cologie, du D veloppement Durable et de L' nergie. https://www.ecologique-solidaire.gouv.fr/sites/default/files/PNA_Plantes-messicoles_2012-2017.pdf [accessed: 4 May 2020].
- Dipartimento di Biologia, Universit  di Pisa (2020) Wikiplantbase #Italia. Occurrence dataset. <https://doi.org/10.15468/cfhmbw> [accessed 12 July 2020]
- Fanfarillo E, Latini M, Abbate G (2020d) Patterns of co-occurrence of rare and threatened species in winter arable plant communities of Italy. *Diversity (Basel)* 12(5): 1–195. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d12050195>
- Fanfarillo E, Latini M, Iberite M, Abbate G (2020a) Segetal flora of Italy. Version 1.7. Laboratory of Systematic Botany and Floristics – Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University. Occurrence dataset. <https://doi.org/10.15468/44yxfz> [accessed: 9 July 2020]
- Fanfarillo E, Latini M, Iberite M, Bonari G, Nicoletta G, Rosati L, Salerno G, Abbate G (2020b) The segetal flora of winter cereals and allied crops in Italy: Species inventory with chorological, structural and ecological features. *Plant Biosystems*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020.1739164>
- Fanfarillo E, Petit S, Dessaint F, Rosati L, Abbate G (2020c) Species composition, richness, and diversity of weed communities of winter arable land in relation to geo-environmental factors: A gradient analysis in mainland Italy. *Botany* 98(7): 381–392. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2019-0178>
- Galasso G, Conti F, Peruzzi L, Ardenghi NMG, Banfi E, Celesti-Grappow L, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Bacchetta G, Ballelli S, Bandini Mazzanti M, Barberis G, Bernardo L, Blasi C, Bouvet D, Bovio M, Cecchi L, Del Guacchio E, Domina G, Fascetti S, Gallo L, Gubellini L, Guiggi A, Iamónico D, Iberite M, Jim nez-Mej as P, Lattanzi E, Marchetti D, Martinetto E, Masin RR, Medagli P, Passalacqua NG, Peccenini S, Pennesi R, Pierini B, Podda L, Poldini L, Prosser F, Raimondo FM, Roma-Marzio F, Rosati L, Santangelo A, Scoppola A, Scortegagna S, Selvaggi A, Selvi F, Soldano A, Stinca A, Wagensommer RP, Wilhalm T, Bartolucci F (2018a) An updated checklist of the vascular flora alien to Italy. *Plant Biosystems* 152(3): 556–592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1441197>
- Galasso G, Domina G, Adorni M, Ardenghi NMG, Bonari G, Buono S, Cancellieri L, Chianese G, Ferretti G, Fiaschi T, Forte L, Guarino R, Labadessa R, Lastrucci L, Lazzaro L, Magrini S, Minuto L, Mossini S, Olivieri N, Scoppola A, Stinca A, Turcato C, Nepi C (2018b) Notulae to the Italian alien vascular flora: 5. *Italian Botanist* 5: 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.3897/ib.5.25910>

- Galasso G, Domina G, Alessandrini A, Ardenghi NMG, Bacchetta G, Ballelli S, Bartolucci F, Brundu G, Buono S, Busnardo G, Calvia G, Capece P, D'Antraccoli M, Di Nuzzo L, Fanfarillo E, Ferretti G, Guarino R, Iamónico D, Iberite M, Latini M, Lazzaro L, Lonati M, Lozano V, Magrini S, Mei G, Mereu G, Moro A, Mugnai M, Nicolella G, Nimis PL, Olivieri H, Pennesi R, Peruzzi L, Podda L, Probo M, Prosser F, Ravetto Enri S, Roma-Marzio F, Ruggero A, Scafidi F, Stinca A, Nepi C (2018c) Notulae to the Italian alien vascular flora: 6. *Italian Botanist* 6: 65–90. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.6.30560>
- Galasso G, Domina G, Ardenghi NMG, Aristarchi C, Bacchetta G, Bartolucci F, Bonari G, Bouvet D, Brundu G, Buono S, Caldarella O, Calvia G, Cano-Ortiz A, Corti E, D'Amico FS, D'Antraccoli M, Di Turi A, Dutto M, Fanfarillo E, Ferretti G, Fiaschi T, Ganz C, Guarino R, Iberite M, Laface VLA, La Rosa A, Lastrucci L, Latini M, Lazzaro L, Lonati M, Lozano V, Luchino F, Magrini S, Mainetti A, Manca M, Mugnai M, Musarella CM, Nicolella G, Olivieri N, Orrù I, Paziienza G, Peruzzi L, Podda L, Prosser F, Ravetto Enri S, Restivo S, Roma-Marzio F, Ruggero A, Scoppola A, Selvi F, Spampinato G, Stinca A, Terzi M, Tiburtini M, Tornatore E, Vetromile R, Nepi C (2019) Notulae to the Italian alien vascular flora: 7. *Italian Botanist* 7: 157–182. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.7.36386>
- GBIF Secretariat (2019) GBIF Backbone Taxonomy. Checklist dataset. <https://www.gbif.org/dataset/d7ddd4f4-2cf0-4f39-9b2a-bb099caae36c> [accessed 27 August 2020]
- Hammer K, Gladis T, Diederichsen A (1997) Weeds as genetic resources. *Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter* (Rome, Italy) 111: 33–39. <https://www.biodiversityinternational.org/e-library/publications/detail/plant-genetic-resources-newsletter-99/> [accessed 4 May 2020]
- Holzner W (1982) Concepts, Categories and characteristics of weeds. In: Holzner W, Numata M (Eds) *Biology and Ecology of Weeds*. Springer, Dordrecht, 3–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0916-3_1
- Janssen JAM, Rodwell JS, García Criado M, Gubbay S, Haynes T, Nieto A, Sanders N, Landucci F, Loidi J, Szymank A, Tahvanainen T, Valderrabano M, Acosta A, Aronsson M, Arts G, Atorre F, Bergmeier E, Bijlsma R-J, Bioret F, Biță-Nicolae C, Biurrun I, Calix M, Capelo J, Čarni A, Chytrý M, Dengler J, Dimopoulos P, Essl F, Gardell H, Gigante D, Giusso del Galdo G, Hájek M, Jansen F, Jansen J, Kapfer J, Mickolajczak A, Molina JA, Molnár Z, Paternoster D, Piernik A, Poulin B, Renaux B, Schaminée JHJ, Šumberová K, Toivonen H, Tonteri T, Tsiripidis I, Tzonev R, Valachovič M (2016) *European Red List of Habitats – Part 2. Terrestrial and freshwater habitats*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/knowledge/pdf/terrestrial_EU_red_list_report.pdf [accessed: 4 May 2020]
- Küzmič F, Šilc U, Lososová Z, Mucina L, Chytrý M, Knollová I, Hennekens SM, Berg C, Bergmeier E, Biurrun I, Fanfarillo E, Font X, Iakushenko D, Kovačević Z, Meyer S, Nagy K, Pinke G, Poranen E, Tereshenko S (2020) *European Weed Vegetation Database – a gap-focused vegetation-plot database*. *Phytocoenologia* 50(1): 93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1127/phyto/2019/0337>
- Latini M, Fanfarillo E, De Luca E, Iberite M, Abbate G (2020) The weed vegetation of the bean “Fagiolo Cannellino di Atina” and the red pepper “Peperone di Pontecorvo” PDO crops (Latium, central Italy). *Plant Sociology* 57(1): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3897/pls2020571/01>
- Lososová Z, Chytrý M, Cimalová S, Kropáč Z, Otýpková Z, Pyšek P, Tichý L (2004) Weed vegetation of arable land in Central Europe: Gradients of diversity and species composition. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15: 415–422. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02279.x>

- Marshall EJP, Brown VK, Boatman ND, Lutman PJW, Squire GR, Ward LK (2003) The role of weeds in supporting biological diversity within crop fields. *Weed Research* 43: 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3180.2003.00326.x>
- McElroy JS (2014) Vavilovian Mimicry: Nikolai Vavilov and his little-known impact on weed science. *Weed Science* 62(2): 207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-13-00122.1>
- Murphey PC, Guralnick RP, Glaubitz R, Neufeld D, Ryan JA (2004) Georeferencing of museum collections: A review of problems and automated tools, and the methodology developed by the Mountain and Plains Spatio-Temporal Database-Informatics Initiative (Mapstedi). *PhyloInformatics* 3: 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.59792>
- Nowak A, Nowak S, Nobis M, Nobis A (2015) Crop type and altitude are the main drivers of species composition of arable weed vegetation in Tajikistan. *Weed Research* 55(5): 525–536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12165>
- Pannacci E, Tei F, Guiducci M (2017) Mechanical weed control in organic winter wheat. *Italian Journal of Agronomy* 12(4): 336–342. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2017.900>
- Peruzzi L, Roma-Marzio F, Bedini G (Eds) (2019 onwards) *Wikiplantbase #Italia* version 1.0. <http://bot.biologia.unipi.it/wpb/italia/>
- Richner N, Holderegger R, Linder HP, Walter T (2015) Reviewing change in the arable flora of Europe: A meta-analysis. *Weed Research* 55: 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12123>
- Stevens PF (2001 onwards) *Angiosperm Phylogeny Website*. Version 14, July 2017 [and more or less continuously updated since].” <http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb/> [accessed: 8 July 2020]
- Storkey J, Meyer S, Still KS, Leuschner C (2012) The impact of agricultural intensification and land use change on the European arable flora. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences* 279: 1421–1429. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.1686>
- Storkey J, Neve P (2018) What good is weed diversity? *Weed Research* 58: 239–243. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12310>
- Woźniak A (2020) Mechanical and chemical weeding effects on the weed structure in durum wheat. *Italian Journal of Agronomy* 15(2): 102–108. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2020.1559>
- Zohary M (1962) *Plant Life of Palestine, Israel and Jordan*. Ronald Press Co., 270 pp.