The identity of *Hypolepis robusta*, as a new synonym of *Hypolepis alpina* (Dennstaedtiaceae), based on morphology and DNA barcoding and the new distribution
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Abstract

Based on field observations and examinations of herbarium specimens (including type material), consulting the original literature and molecular phylogenetic analysis of the *rbcL* and *trnL-F* sequences, it is concluded that *Hypolepis robusta* is conspecific with *Hypolepis alpina* and is here formally treated as a synonym of it. Additionally *H. alpina* is reported with new distribution records in Guangdong, Guangxi and the Hainan Island of China, respectively.
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Introduction

*Hypolepis* Bernh. (1805) is one of the largest genera in the family Dennstaedtiaceae, with approximately 80 species (PPG I 2016) widespread in tropical and southern temperate parts the world, mainly in tropical Asia and tropical America, but the
The exact number of species in China is still unclear (Brownsey 1987, Ching 1959, Xing et al. 2013). Amongst them, *Hypolepis alpina* (Blume) Hook. was initially described as *Cheilanthes alpina* Blume from Java in the first publication relating to the ferns of Malaya (Blume 1828). It was later transferred to *Hypolepis* by Hooker (1858) in the last comprehensive treatment of the genus (Brownsey 1987). Afterwards, one endemic species in the Taiwan province of China, *Hypolepis alte-gracillima* Hayata (1915), was reduced to a synonymy of *H. alpina*, according to the *Flora of Taiwan* (Shieh 1975). In addition to Taiwan, *H. alpina* is also distributed in Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea and Philippines (Brownsey 1987, Fig. 1). Subsequently, the species (as *H. alte-gracillima*) was found in Gongshan County, in the Yunnan Province of China and recorded in *Flora Yunnanica* (Chu et al. 2006) as having a Yunnan-Taiwan discontinuous distribution. Another endemic species, *Hypolepis robusta* W. M. Chu was described for Yunnan (Chu et al. 2006). This name was treated as a synonymy of *H. polypodioides* (Blume) Hook. (Fraser-Jenkins 2008). Xing et al. (2013) cited a null name, (“*H. robusta* Hayata”) as a synonym of *H. polypodioides* in *Flora of China*, but Hayata’s name has not nomenclatural bearings nor taxonomic implications for Chu’s name. However, even Chu’s *H. robusta* is easily distinguishable from *H. polypodioides* in morphology as an obviously different species. *Hypolepis robusta* has densely multicellular brown glandular hairs and sori protected by well-developed reflexed adaxial indusium, whereas *H. polypodioides* has abundantly colourless non-glandular hairs and sori unprotected or occasionally protected by slightly reflexed green lamina segments. In June 2017, as part of the floristic inventory of Yunnan, *H. robusta* was collected at its type locality, Fugong County and *H. alpina* was collected at its recorded locality in Gongshan County. In addition, during the field work from 2013 to 2017, several specimens of *H. alpina* were collected from Taiwan as well as several others that were initially identified as *H. robusta* in Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan Island and other locations of Yunnan. After conducting field observations, examinations of the herbarium specimens (including both types studied) and consulting the original literature (Hooker 1858, Chu 1992), it was suspected that *H. robusta* is conspecific with *H. alpina*. Therefore, the identity of *H. robusta* was determined by a more detailed examination of the morphology and molecular phylogenetic analysis.

**Materials and methods**

**Morphological studies**

For morphological comparisons, herbarium specimens or high-resolution images of specimens in CSH, K, KUN, L, P, PE, PYU, TAI, TAIF and US were critically checked. Field observations and collections were made in Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan Island, Taiwan and Yunnan of China (Suppl. material 1: Table S1).
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**Figure 1.** The distributions of *Hypolepis alpina* noted by Brownsey (1987, blue line) and new record localities since then (red stars), using a map available from http://219.238.166.215/mcp/index.asp.

**Molecular phylogenetic studies**

Nineteen specimens were sampled, including the outgroup taxa *Blotiella stipitata* (Alston) Faden and *Histiopteris incisa* (Thunb.) J. Sm., *Pteridium aquilinum* subsp. *wightianum* (J. Agardh) W.C. Shieh. Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica gel-dried leaves by using a DNA secure Plant Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The PCR reactions were performed in a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler. Two plastid markers were amplified, the *rbcL* gene and the *trnL-trnF* intergenic spacer. Primers used for amplification and sequencing were: *rbcL* primers 1379R and 1F (Little and Barrington 2003) and *trnL-F* primers trn-F and trn-r1 (Taberlet et al. 1991, Li et al. 2011). The amplification profiles were: initial denaturation (94 °C, 3 min) followed by 29 cycles of amplification, hybridisation and extension (94 °C, 45 s; 52 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 1.5 min) and 10 min of final extension at 72 °C for *rbcL*, initial denaturation (95 °C, 3 min) followed by 35 cycles of amplification, hybridisation and extension (95 °C, 30 s; 52 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 1 min) and 10 min of final extension at 72 °C for *trnL-trnF*. Sequencing was conducted using an ABI 3730xl DNA analyser (Applied Biosystems, Invitrogen, Foster City, CA, USA).
**Phylogenetic analyses**

Sequences were assembled and edited with SeqMan (DNA STAR package; DNA Star- Inc., Madison, WI, USA), aligned by Bio Edit (Hall 1999) and adjusted manually where necessary. All sequences are available from GenBank (Table 1).

For phylogeny reconstructions, two methods were used, maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI). The ML analyses were conducted with RAxML-HPC BlackBox8.2.10 (Stamatakis 2014). For the Bayesian analyses, the best-fitting models (HKY+G) were selected using jModeltest2 web server under the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Darriba et al. 2012). Four chains were used with random initial trees as BI settings. Trees were generated for 1,000,000 generations and sampling was conducted every 100 generations. Before stationarity was conducted, the first 2,500 trees were discarded as burn-in trees and the remaining trees were used to construct the majority-rule consensus trees. The remaining trees were used to construct a consensus tree. ML bootstrap values and BI posterior probabilities were labelled on the tree branches.

**DNA barcoding analyses**

For species delimitation between *H. alpina* and the other species of *Hypolepis*, the DNA barcoding gap method, based on the Kimura two parameter (K2P) distance, was used. Intra- and inter-taxa genetic distances were evaluated using MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011).

**Results**

A total of 19 new sequences amongst the total of 19 specimens were generated in the cpDNA matrix of *rbcL* and *trnL-F* containing 2,166 bp characters with 374 variable sites and 149 parsimony-informative sites. The optimal ML tree showed a negative log-likelihood score (-lnL) of 5577.824547 and the Bayesian tree was consistent with the ML tree. The statistical support is shown along the branches (ML/BI). Individuals of *H. alpina* and *H. robusta* formed a highly supported monophyletic group with an MLBS of 100 as sister clades of *H. tenuifolia*. Moreover, all *rbcL* and *trnL-F* sequences of the *H. robusta*, from type locality, were identical to those of *H. alpina* from Taiwan. The sequences of *H. robusta* from Guangdong, Guangxi and from Hainan Island were also clustered in the *H. alpina* clade, which had an MLBS of 100 (Fig. 2).

No differences were observed in the *rbcL* and *trnL-F* barcoding sequences of both *H. alpina* and *H. robusta*, except that two specimens have two base differences respectively. The genetic distance between *H. robusta* and *H. alpina* ranges from zero to 0.002. Their inter-taxon distances were significantly larger than their intra-taxon distances compared with the other species of *Hypolepis* and the ratio between the minimum inter-taxon distance and the maximum intra-taxon distance is 11 (Fig. 3).
Table 1. Plant materials, voucher information, and GenBank accession numbers of the samples used in the phylogenetic analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Voucher</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates</th>
<th>GenBank accession number rbcL</th>
<th>GenBank accession number trnL-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis glandulifera Brownsey &amp; Chinnock</td>
<td>BLD01</td>
<td>Bali, Indonesia</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944782</td>
<td>MG944788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>DRS005</td>
<td>Darong Mountain, Guangxi, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944773</td>
<td>MG944789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis punctata (Thunb.) Mett. ex Kuhn</td>
<td>FLX6</td>
<td>Hunan, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944784</td>
<td>MG944790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis tenuiolia (G. Forst.) Bemh.</td>
<td>HN31</td>
<td>Wuzhishan Mountain, Hainan, China</td>
<td>18°55′1″N, 109°42′13″E</td>
<td>MG944786</td>
<td>MG944791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>HND6</td>
<td>Bawang Mountain, Hainan, China</td>
<td>19°07′26″N, 109°04′46″E</td>
<td>MG944774</td>
<td>MG944792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis alpina (Blume) Hook.</td>
<td>Knapp4486</td>
<td>Yilan County, Taiwan, China</td>
<td>24°49′N, 121°41′E</td>
<td>MG944769</td>
<td>MG944794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>SG958</td>
<td>Shengtang Mountain, Guangxi, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944777</td>
<td>MG944801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blotiella stipitata (Alston) Faden</td>
<td>SG1185</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944780</td>
<td>MG944795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteridium aquilinum subsp. wightianum (J. Agardh) W.C. Shieh</td>
<td>SG1760</td>
<td>Yunnan, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944787</td>
<td>MG944796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>SG1812</td>
<td>Ada Village, Fugong County, Yunnan, China</td>
<td>26°49′5.6964″N, 98°53′36.715″E</td>
<td>MG944776</td>
<td>MG944797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis alpina (Blume) Hook.</td>
<td>SG1838</td>
<td>Dulongjiang Village, Gongshan County, Yunnan, China</td>
<td>27°41′11.004″N, 98°16′54.340″E</td>
<td>MG944771</td>
<td>MG944798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis alpina (Blume) Hook.</td>
<td>SG1871</td>
<td>Dulongjiang Village, Gongshan County, Yunnan, China</td>
<td>27°54′49.306″N, 98°20′37.03″E</td>
<td>MG944772</td>
<td>MG944799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis restiens (Kunze) Hook.</td>
<td>SG2900</td>
<td>Bawangling Mountain, Hainan, China</td>
<td>19°5′28″N, 109°10′59″E</td>
<td>MG944785</td>
<td>MG944800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis pohyiodioide (Blume) Hook.</td>
<td>SIWS28</td>
<td>Sulawesi, Indonesia</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944783</td>
<td>MG944802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histiopteris incisa (Thunb.) J. Sm.</td>
<td>WYD016</td>
<td>Guangdong, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944781</td>
<td>MG944804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>WYD574</td>
<td>Dawu Mountain, Guangdong, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944778</td>
<td>MG944805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis alpina (Blume) Hook.</td>
<td>YYH11628</td>
<td>Xitou Village, Nantou County, Taiwan, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944770</td>
<td>MG944803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>YYH12064</td>
<td>Mengsong Village, Jinghong City, Yunnan, China</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>MG944775</td>
<td>MG944793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolepis robusta W.M. Chu</td>
<td>ZXC8465</td>
<td>Gulinqing Village, Maguan County, Yunnan, China</td>
<td>22°51′43.64″N, 104°0′15.59″E</td>
<td>MG944779</td>
<td>MG944806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NA = not available.
a: Specimens are deposited at the Shanghai Chenshan Botanical Garden Herbarium (CSH), except for voucher Knapp 4486, which is deposited at the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle (P).

**Discussion**

_Hypolepis robusta_ was first reported by Chu (1992), being endemic to the Yunnan Province (Chu et al. 2006). After carefully comparing the type (including holotype and lectotype) of _H. robusta_ and _H. alpina_, it was found that their morphological characteristics, e.g. the adventitious bud at stipe base, frond size, indusium and others (lamina, stipe, hair), are basically the same.

One of the main differences of _H. robusta_ and _H. alpina_ (_H. alte-gracillima_), mentioned in the key in _Flora Yunnanica_, is that the former has a few adventitious buds
Figure 2. Phylogeny of 16 Hypolepis samples and Blotiella stipitata, Histiopteris incisa, and Pteridium aquilinum subsp. wightianum based on rbcL and trnL-F. Bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown along branches (ML/BI).

Figure 3. Distribution of intra-taxa (black) and inter-taxa (grey) Kimura two parameter (K2P) distances based on rbcL and trnL-F sequences as barcode. Hypolepis alpina and Hypolepis robusta versus the other species of Hypolepis.
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...growing on both sides of the stipe base (Chu et al. 2006). However, when several specimens were examined in the herbarium and those from the authors’ own collection, it was found that *H. alpina* also has this feature (Fig. 4D). Therefore, it is concluded that the character used in the description is not relevant for distinguishing between *H. robusta* and *H. alpina*. Moreover, other Asian species of *Hypolepis* also develop adventitious buds, such as *H. pallida* (Blume) Hook. and *H. tenuifolia* (G. Forster) Bernhardi.

Another character used to support *H. robusta* as a new species was its larger size than *H. alpina*. The latter was reported at higher altitudes in the Malaysian region, from about 1,500–3,500 m and also as low as 1,100 m on Mt Kinabalu in Borneo (Brownsey 1987). However, there is considerable variation between plants from the highest elevations in New Guinea, which have rather smaller fronds and a dense covering of chestnut-brown non-glandular hairs, to those at lower altitudes in the northern part of its range (notably Taiwan), which have large fronds and very few chestnut hairs (Brownsey 1987). According to the description in *Flora Yunnanica*, *H. robusta* has a little larger frond than *H. alpina* (*H. alte-gracillima*). The field observation showed that *H. robusta* always occurs at altitudes about 1,000 m or even lower (Fig. 4A) and this is in accordance with the correlation between the altitudes and frond sizes mentioned in previous literature.
The characters of the indusium have been widely used in fern taxonomy. According to the previous literature of *H. alpina* and *H. robusta* (Brownsey 1987, Chu et al. 2006), they could be distinguished morphologically as follows: *H. robusta* has white indusium with marginal laceration, but *H. alpina* has a reflexed broad green lamina flap. Based on careful observations of all available material, it was found that their indusia are both half membranaceous at the margins and still green at the base (Fig. 4E). However, when the sori mature, the membranaceous margin becomes lacerated or exfoliated and the base can lose its chlorophyll, thus turning white. This difference may therefore be due to the fact that the descriptions have been made at different periods for the same species, a fact which had been previously ignored.

In addition to the morphological identification, a molecular phylogenetic analysis was also undertaken. The phylogenetic analysis of the *rbcL* and *trnL-F* sequences strongly supported the monophyly of *H. alpina* and *H. robusta* as a phylogenetic species with a wide distribution and distantly related to *H. polypodioides* (Fig. 2). The DNA barcoding analysis based on the K2P model revealed a significant gap between the inter-taxon and intra-taxon genetic distances, the distance in the *H. robusta* and *H. alpina* clade range from zero to 0.002, which is much lower than the inter-taxon distance and, in particular, the genetic distance between the *H. alpina* from Taiwan and the *H. robusta* from its type locality in Yunnan is zero (Fig. 3).

To sum up, not only does the morphological comparison identify *H. robusta* and *H. alpina* as conspecifics, but also the phylogeny analysis identifies these as conspecifics. Therefore, *H. robusta* is here reduced to a synonym of *H. alpina*. Consequently, *H. alpina* has three new distribution records in Guangdong, Guangxi and Hainan Island of China (Fig. 1). The new distribution records of *H. alpina* fill in gaps of the disjunct distribution defined in previous studies.

**Taxonomic treatment**

*Hypolepis alpina* (Blume) Hook. (1852: 63)


Type: Indonesia. Java: Jawa Barat, Gede, *Blume C. L.* (Lectotype: L-0051753!, L-0051754!).

= *Hypolepis robusta* W. M. Chu (1992: 36), syn. nov.

**Type.** China. Yunnan: Fugong County, 1980, W. M. Chu (Holotype: PYU-01017821!, PYU-01017822!, PYU-01017823!, PYU-01017824!).

Fronds up to 1.7 m high. Rhizome long-creeping, 2–10 mm diameter, densely covered in red-brown hairs up to 3 mm long. Stipes reddish-brown, 12–70 cm long, 1.5–13 mm diameter, grooved adaxially, covered in red-brown non-glandular hairs
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up to 2 mm long and shorter glandular hairs, few adventitious buds at both sides of the stipe base; lamina ovate in outline, 3– or 4–pinnate, 20–80 (–130) cm × 10–90 cm, rachis red-brown or chestnut-brown at base, becoming chestnut-brown or yellow-brown at apex, densely covered in red-brown or chestnut-brown glandular hairs up to 0.5 mm long with occasional much longer non-glandular hairs; primary pinnae 15–30 pairs, opposite or sub-opposite, the largest at or near base, ovate to narrowly triangular, 10–52 cm × 3–28 cm; secondary pinnules narrowly ovate to ovate, 2–14 cm × 0.8–5 cm; ultimate pinnules to 10 mm × 5 mm. Sori circular or ovate, originating away from margins, without hairs between sporangia, protected by reflexed adaxial indusium, green at base and half membranaceous at margin, when the sori turn mature, the membranaceous margin becomes lacerated or exfoliated and the base part may turn white. Spores very pale under light microscope, perispores with interconnecting flattened projections, (32–) 34–37 (–40) µm × (20–) 21–25 (–28) µm.

**Distribution.** China (Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Taiwan, Yunnan), Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines.
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