Nomenclatural notes and typification of three synonyms of Camellia (Theaceae)

Dongwei Zhao

Department of Forestry, College of Forestry, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, 498 Shaoshan South Road, Changsha, Hunan 410004, China

Corresponding author: Dongwei Zhao (zhaodw@csuft.edu.cn)

Abstract
All the known generic synonyms of Camellia are summarized with their types clarified. Camelliastrum and Desmitus are lectotypified and Salceda is neotypified. “Kailosocarpus” and “Parapiquetia” were not validly published, Theaphylla and Tia are illegitimate replacement names for Thea, and Kemelia and Tsubaki are illegitimate replacement names for Camellia. Nomenclatural notes on Theopsis and its type are also provided.
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Introduction
Linnaeus (1753: 698) established the monotypic genus Camellia L. for the ornamental species, Camellia japonica L. He referenced Kaempfer’s (1712: 850, 852) description and a single illustration (Kaempfer 1712: t. 851) under C. japonica without citing any specimens in the protologue (Arts. 38.1, 38.5, 38.6 & 38.13 of the Shenzhen Code, Turland et al. 2018; hereafter ICN). Subsequently, Bartholomew (Jarvis et al. 1993: 29) designated the illustration (Kaempfer 1712: t. 851) as the lectotype of C. japonica (Art. 9 Ex. 2 of the ICN). Accordingly, this illustration serves as the type of Camellia.

The boundaries of Camellia have been gradually enlarged by several taxonomists (e.g., Sweet 1818; Seemann 1859; Sealy 1958; Chang 1981; Ming 2000). Zhang et al.
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(2014) argued that *Camellia* might be a paraphyletic group in their analyses using four plastid DNA regions and the paralogous nuclear *LEAFY* marker. However, the monophyly of the genus was supported by investigations using complete plastid genome data (Yu et al. 2017) and other nuclear sequences (Vijayan et al. 2009; Li et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2022). Nevertheless, nomenclatural problems should be resolved before a comprehensive phylogenetic study of the boundaries of *Camellia*. Although the genus, including tea, camellias and oil camellias, has been revised several times (e.g., Sealy 1958; Chang 1981; Ming 2000), the types of many taxa in *Camellia* have only recently been clarified (Zhao et al. 2017a, b, 2018, 2019; Zhao 2021). However, the types of some synonyms at generic rank remain unclear and are discussed here.

### Materials and methods

Relevant collections or their images from herbaria at A, BM, E, G, IBK, IBSC, K, KUN, L, LINN, P, PE, SBT, SYS, TCD, UPS and US (acronyms following Thiers 2022), and the taxonomic literature were examined. Types were chosen based on Arts. 9 and 10 of the ICN.

### Types of the synonyms of *Camellia*

Since the latest monograph of *Camellia* (Ming 2000), two further genera, *Bembiciopsis* H. Perrier (Judd 1997) and *Dankia* Gagnep. (Hô 1991; Zhao et al. 2017b), have been placed into the synonymy of *Camellia*. All 18 synonyms of *Camellia* (Linnaeus 1753; Adanson 1763; Blume 1825; Siebold 1832; Rafinesque 1830, 1838; Blanco 1845; Hallier 1921; Gagnepain 1939; Nakai 1940; Perrier 1940; Hu 1956, 1965) and their types (Jarvis et al. 1993; Lin et al. 2008; Zhao et al. 2016, 2017b, 2018, 2019; Zhao 2021) are listed in Table 1. “*Kailosocarpus*” (Hu 1957: 170) and “*Parapiquetia*” (Hu 1957: 170) were cited by Chang (1981: 12) in the synonymy of *Camellia*; however, both “*Kailosocarpus*” and “*Parapiquetia*” were not validly published since a Latin description or diagnosis was neither provided nor cited in each of the protologues (Art. 39.1 of the ICN). “*Kailosocarpus*” and “*Parapiquetia*” have no status under Art. 12.1 of the ICN and are, therefore, excluded from Table 1. The typification and nomenclatural notes of some synonyms of *Camellia* are elaborated below.


    Table 1

    **Type** (“lectotype”, Art. 10 Note 1 of the ICN; designated here): *Camelliastrum caudatum* (Wall.) Nakai.
Nomenclatural notes. Nakai (1940) established the genus *Camelliastrum* to categorize six species in China and Japan, including *Camelliastrum assimile* (Champ. ex Benth.) Nakai, *Camelliastrum buisanense* (Sasaki) Nakai, *Camelliastrum caudatum*, *Camelliastrum gracile* (Hemsl.) Nakai, *Camelliastrum mairei* (H.Lév.) Nakai and *Camelliastrum salicifolium* (Champ.) Nakai, but did not designate a type. Based on the description in the protologue, *Camelliastrum caudatum* is selected as the type of the genus. The basionym of *Camelliastrum caudatum*, *Camellia caudata* Wall., was lectotypified by Zhao et al. (2017b: 172) as the specimen *H. Bruce s.n.* in *Wallich 978* (right-hand specimen of K001110475. An image is available at http://www.kew.org/herbcatimg/680707.jpg). Therefore, this specimen becomes the type of *Camelliastrum* (Art. 10.1 of the ICN).

2. *Desmitus* Raf., *Sylva Tellur.* 139. (1838)

Table 1


Nomenclatural notes. Rafinesque (1838) established the monotypic genus *Desmitus* for *D. reticulata*. This was a new combination based on *C. reticulata* because he referenced the basionym by the words “Camel. do bot. reg. 1978...”. However, the taxon number cited by Rafinesque (1838: 140) is incorrect, but should be recognized as a correctable typographical error of “1078” and so does not prohibit the valid publication of the new combination (Art. 41.3 of the ICN). Nevertheless, Lindley (1827: t. 1078) described *C. reticulata* based on the living plants that bore semidouble flowers and introduced from China. No specimen was cited in the protologue of *C. reticulata*. The coloured drawing, t. 1078, was accompanied by the protologue and therefore designated as the lectotype of *C. reticulata*. Accordingly, the drawing serves as the type of *Desmitus* (Art. 10.1 of the ICN).
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Type. *Salceda montana* Blanco

Neotype (designated here): Philippines. Luzon: Bulacan, Angat, February 1919, *Ramos & Edaño 34071* (K!; isoneotypes: BM!, P04511451 [the image is available at https://science.mnhn.fr/institution/mnhn/collection/p/item/p04511451], and US 00113902 [the image is available at http://n2t.net/ark:/65665/3e093c26d-7aa1-4494-8723-989167baaf8ba]).
**Nomenclatural notes.** Blanco (1845: 374) established the monotypic genus *Salceda* for *S. montana*. Merrill (1905: 21) transferred the species to *Thea* as *T. montana* (Blanco) Merr. and stated that “Blanco’s specimens were from Angat, Province of Bulacan”. However, the types of Blanco’s species were suggested to be either all destroyed (Merrill 1905: 6) or no longer extant (Merrill 1918: 5). I also failed to find the original material of *S. montana*. Based on the protologue (Blanco 1845: 374), a specimen collected from the same locality, *Ramos & Edaño 34071* (K), is designated as the neotype of *S. montana* because it bears flower fragments and seeds on the sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synonym</th>
<th>Type, as a species (basionym)</th>
<th>Type, as specimen or illustration</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calapadría Blume, Bijdr. fl. Ned. Ind. 178. (1825)</td>
<td><em>Calapadría lanceolata</em> Blume</td>
<td>L. 0064294, lectotype (designated by Zhao et al. 2019: 298)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropifera Raf., Sylva Tellur. 140. (1838)</td>
<td><em>Thea elonis</em> Loureir s.n. (P00150891), holotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemelia Raf., Sylva Tellur. 139. (1838)</td>
<td><em>Camellia japonica</em> L.</td>
<td>*Kaempfer, Amoen. Exot. Fasc. t. 851. (1712), lectotype</td>
<td>Illegitimate replacement name for <em>Camellia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salceda Blanco, Fl. Filip. ed. 2: 374. (1845)</td>
<td><em>Salceda montana</em> Blanco</td>
<td>*Ramos &amp; Edaño 34071 (K), neotype, designated in this paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Illegitimate replacement names for *Camellia* and *Thea*

*Thea* L., a genus established by Linnaeus (1753: 515) for tea (*T. sinensis* L., currently *C. sinensis* [L.] Kuntze), was treated as a synonym of *Camellia* by Sweet (1818: 157). *Theaphylla* Raf. and *Tsia* Adans. are illegitimate replacement names for *Thea* because *Thea* was cited in the synonymies of them (Adanson 1763: 613; Rafinesque 1830: 267), which makes *Tsia* and *Theaphylla* nomenclaturally superfluous (Arts. 6.11, 52.1 & 52.2[e] of the ICN). Similarly, *Kemelia* Raf. and *Tsubaki* Adans. are illegitimate replacement names for *Camellia* (Adanson 1763: 399; Rafinesque 1838: 139) because *Camellia* was cited as a synonym of them (Arts. 6.11, 52.1 & 52.2[e] of the ICN). Therefore, the four names, *Kemelia*, *Theaphylla*, *Tsia* and *Tsubaki*, are rejected under Art. 52.1 of the ICN.

Nomenclatural notes on *Theopsis*

Cohen-Stuart (1916: 70) established *Camellia* sect. *Theopsis* Cohen-Stuart in his Ph.D. thesis, which constituted an effective publication under Art. 30.9 of the ICN. Subsequently, he translated the first two chapters of his original thesis in Dutch into English and published it (Cohen-Stuart 1919). Cohen-Stuart (1916, 1919) listed nine species, viz. *C. costei* H. Lév., *C. cuspidata* (Kochs) hort., *C. euryoides* Lindl., *C. forrestii* (Diels) Cohen-Stuart, *C. henryana* Cohen-Stuart, *C. lutchuensis* T. Itô ex T. Itô & Matsum., *C. parvifolia* (Hayata) Cohen-Stuart, *C. punctata* (Kochs) Cohen-Stuart and *C. rosiflora* Hook., in the key under sect. *Theopsis*. These nine species are presumably treated as the members of sect. *Theopsis* based on the structure of the key, the description of the section and the discussion. However, Cohen-Stuart (1916, 1919) did not designate a type for his sect. *Theopsis*. Nakai (1940) raised this section to generic rank to include 14 species and cited Cohen-Stuart’s (1919) English article (Arts. 41.1 & 41.3 of the ICN), but without selecting a type for the genus. Remarkably, four of nine species of Cohen-Stuart’s (1916, 1919) sect. *Theopsis* were excluded and five of them, including *C. euryoides*, *C. forrestii*, *C. lutchuensis*, *C. parvifolia*, and *C. rosiflora* (= *C. maliflora*, according to Cohen-Stuart 1916: 69, 1919: 241), were retained in the genus *Theopsis* (Cohen-Stuart) Nakai. Later, Sealy (1958: 14) treated *Theopsis* as a synonym of *Camellia* and resumed sect. *Theopsis* (Sealy 1958: 48). Chang (1981: 128) followed Sealy’s (1958) treatment and designated *C. cuspidata*, a species excluded from Nakai’s genus *Theopsis*, as the type of sect. *Theopsis* and this typification must be followed under Art. 10.5 of the ICN. However, when Nakai (1940) adopted *Theopsis* as a generic name, he did not exclude the type of Cohen-Stuart’s (1916: 70) sect. *Theopsis* because the section had not yet been typified, so Arts. 48.1 & 48.2 of the ICN do not apply. According to Art. 48.1 Note 1 of the ICN, this situation should be dealt with under Art. 7.3 of the ICN. Therefore, the genus *Theopsis* is typified by the type of its basionym, sect. *Theopsis*, that is, *C. cuspidata*, based on Chang’s (1981: 128) typification (Art. 10.5 of the ICN) even though the species was excluded from this genus (Art. 7.3 of the ICN).

However, *C. cuspidata* has nomenclatural problems. Kochs (1900: 586) described *T. cuspidata* Kochs and cited the single gathering *Henry* 7026. Subsequently, a name,
C. cuspidata, was provided in the list of “Awards of Merit” in The Gardeners’ Chronicle (Anonymous 1912: 228). The plant was described as having “small, single, white flowers” with “pale-yellow stamens” and “narrow leaves” that were about 2–2.5 inches long. The brief description could make C. cuspidata validly published as a new species because the requirements of Arts. 32.1 & 38.1 of the ICN are likely fulfilled (also see Art. 38 Note 2 of the ICN). However, although Koch’s T. cuspidata was neither directly nor indirectly referenced in the protologue of C. cuspidata (Anonymous 1912: 228; Arts. 41.1–41.3 of the ICN), the latter is, nevertheless, treated as a new combination based on T. cuspidata under Art. 41.4 (see Ex. 12) of the ICN.

Furthermore, two duplicates of Henry 7026 were found at K and US, viz. K000380525 (the image is available at http://www.kew.org/herbcating/165067.jpg) and US 00504123 (the image is available at http://n2t.net/ark:/65665/308ec5722-d414-4a4d-b733-f34c3778997b). Since Kochs (1900: 586) did not indicate a single specimen of the entire gathering as the holotype, the two duplicates at different herbaria are syntypes of T. cuspidata based on Art. 40 Note 1. When Sealy (1958: 57) cited “A. Henry 7026 (K, type-number)” under C. cuspidata, the citation could be treated as the lectotypification of the species following Arts. 7.11, 9.10 & 9.19 of the ICN. Therefore, the lectotype of C. cuspidata is that of T. cuspidata, viz. Henry 7026 (K000380525), which, in turn, serves as the type of Theopsis (Table 1).
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Abstract

The aim of the study is to provide a revision of the genus *Cynoglossum* in southern Africa. The genus is taxonomically problematic within the family Boraginaceae, due to the morphological similarities it shares with other closely related genera in the family. *Cynoglossum* plants are low-growing biennial, perennial, or rarely annual herbs which are recognizable by their hairy stems and leaves, the latter are usually basal and long petiolate. Based on the latest checklist, a total of eight species of this genus are listed for the study region: *C. alticola*, *C. amabile*, *C. austroafricanum*, *C. geometricum*, *C. hispidum*, *C. lanceolatum*, *C. obtusicalyx* (endemic to South Africa), and *C. spelaeum*. The occurrence of *C. amabile* in the region, however, requires further investigation since the only existing specimen was collected within a protected area in the KwaZulu-Natal province. Two specimens collected in the Doornpoort area in Pretoria, Gauteng province, assigned to this species appear to have been misidentified. Diagnostic characters are described, correct nomenclature, synonyms, typification, distribution maps, as well as the key for identifying the studied species, are provided.
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Introduction

The type genus *Cynoglossum* L. of the tribe Cynoglosseae belongs to the angiosperm family Boraginaceae (forget-me-not or borage family). Members of this genus have a worldwide distribution with many species occupying the temperate as well as...
the tropical regions of the Old and New Worlds. This genus comprises about 80–90 (Johnston 1924), to ca. 100 (Weigend et al. 2013), or as many as 200 species (Chacón et al. 2016). The highest diversity of species occurs in the Mediterranean region, harbouring about 20 species (Selvi and Sutorý 2012), and only a few species are introduced in Australia and one in North America (i.e., *C. creticum*) (Selvi et al. 2011). The name *Cynoglossum* is derived from the Greek words ‘cynos’ (of a dog) and ‘glossa’ (tongue), depicting the texture and shape of the leaves in species of the genus (Retief 2005), hence the common name ‘hound’s tongue’. This genus was established by Linnaeus (1753) to accommodate six species that he described at the time, namely, *Cynoglossum officinale* L., *C. virginianum* L., *C. cheirifolium* L., *C. apenninum* L., *C. linifolium* L., and *C. omphaloides* L. The present state of knowledge has renamed most of these Linnaeus names to the following accepted names, i.e., *C. virginianum* L. (=*Anderssoglossum virginianum* (L.) J.I. Cohen), *C. cheirifolium* L. (=*Pardoglossum cheirifolium* (L.) Barbier & Mathez), *C. apenninum* L. (=*Solenanthus apenninum* (L.) Fisch. & C.A. Mey.), *C. linifolium* L. (=*Iberodes linifolium* (L.) Serrano, R. Carabajal & S.Ortiz), and *C. omphaloides* L. (=*Omphalodes verna* Moench).

The genus is complex and has been noted by several botanists such as Miller (2005), Sutorý (2010), Selvi and Sutorý (2012), to be taxonomically challenging within the family due to the limited morphological variation between the species, which has rendered identification difficult. Another unresolved problem concerns the species relationships in *Cynoglossum*. Morphological characters that were traditionally used to delineate genera proved to be insufficient, especially in defining monophyletic groups within *Cynoglossum* as stated by Hilger et al. (2015). Recent phylogenetic studies by Cohen (2011, 2013, 2015), Selvi and Sutorý (2012), and Weigend et al. (2013), revealed that *Cynoglossum* is paraphyletic with respect to *Cynoglossopsis* Brand, *Lindelofia* Lehm., *Paracaryum* Boiss., *Pardoglossum* Barbier & Mathez, *Rindera* Pall., *Solenanthus* Ledeb., and *Trachelanthus* Kunze, suggesting the need for generic realignment. As a contribution to the systematics of the family, Johnston (1924) grouped half a dozen of genera under a broad concept of *Cynoglossum* based on the character of corolla shape and degree of anther exertion. From this grouping, the genus *Rindera* (=*Mattia*) and *Paracaryum* (=*Mattiastrum*) were still recognised as distinct with the only diagnostic feature being the fruit ornamentation. *Cynoglossum* portraying zoochory adapted glochidiate dispersal units, *Rindera* with the broadly winged diaspores for wind dispersal, and *Paracaryum* exhibiting the combinatory features (Greuter 1981). This unresolved relationship of taxa based on morphological traits led to authors such as Greuter and Burdert’s (Greuter 1981) request a broader conception of this genus, where *Cynoglossum sensu lato* forms a single, large genus.

An article by Von Staden et al. (2013), mentioned that taxonomically problematic genera are most likely to result in poor collection and misidentification. The latter case has become a reality for the southern African species of *Cynoglossum*, whereby members of this genus are also confused with species from other closely related genera such as *Lithospermum* L. and *Myosotis* L., and members of the tribe Eritrichieae Gürke. *Lithospermum* and *Myosotis* members are characterized by ovoid nutlets with broad basal attachment scars and flat gynobases, while in *Cynoglossum* the nutlets are
depressed-ovoid or subcircular with scars restricted to the apical half of the ventral surface and have narrowly conical gynobases (Weigend et al. 2013; Otero et al. 2014). Eritrichieae are differentiated from Cynoglossum based on the shape of the gynobase, i.e., narrow pyramidal to subulate gynobase and mostly small nutlets, as opposed to broadly pyramidal gynobase and mostly larger nutlets for Cynoglossum (Hasenstab-Lehman and Simpson 2012; Weigend et al. 2013). According to Al-Shehbaz (1991), Cynoglossum can also be distinguished from the closely related genera Solenanthus Ledeb. and Trachelanthus Kunze in having included, instead of exerted, stamens and lastly, from Pardoglossum Barbier & Mathez in having slim, glabrous glochids on the nutlets, instead of swollen glochids that are densely packed with minute papillae. The fruits in members of this genus and the floral morphological characters, are normally considered to be of diagnostic importance. The nutlets of tropical Cynoglossum are generally smaller than those of species found in the temperate regions (Al-Shehbaz 1991).

In the southern African region, this genus has not yet been taxonomically revised since the last treatment by Wright (1904), where he recognised two species, C. enerveturcz. (now C. hispidum Thunb.) and C. micranthum Desf. He cited two species, namely, C. leptostachyhum DC. and C. hispidum Thunb. as being imperfectly known. Hilliard and Burtt (1986) recorded the occurrence of Cynoglossum coerulescensubsp. johnstonii var. manniibaker & C.H. Wright Verdc. [recognised in southern Africa as C. geometricum Baker & C.H. Wright] for the first time in this region, while Retief and Van Wyk (1996) recorded C. obtusicalyx Retief & A.E. van Wyk, the only species endemic to South Africa. While a comprehensive revision is still lacking for southern African species, revisionary work has been undertaken mainly at regional or country level, for example East Africa (Verdcourt 1991), China (Shu 1995), Comoro Islands and Madagascar (Miller 2005), Italy (Selvi and Sutorý 2012), Nepal (König et al. 2015), and Taiwan (Hsiao and Liu 1998). According to the recent checklist by Germishuizen and Meyer (2003), there are eight species of Cynoglossum occurring in southern Africa, namely C. alticola Hilliard & B.L. Burtt, C. amabile stapf. & J.R. Drumm, C. austroafricanum Hilliard & B.L. Burtt, C. geometricum Baker & C.H. Wright, C. hispidum Thunb., C. lanceolatum Forssk., C. obtusicalyx Retief & A.E. van Wyk (endemic to South Africa), and C. spelaeum Hilliard & B.L. Burtt. This paper aims to provide a taxonomic revision of the southern African species, to provide a diagnostic key, as well as to lay out their distribution maps in the region.

Materials and methods

A total of 316 specimens loaned from the following herbaria: PRE, GRA, NH, NU, NBG, and SAM (herbarium acronyms following Thiers 2019), were examined for distribution and morphological data. The type specimens of relevant species were studied online from the JSTOR website (https://plants.jstor.org/). Voucher specimens and plant material were collected during several field trips conducted throughout South Africa and Lesotho between October 2018 and December 2019. Specimens collected
were deposited in JRAU. The system by Edwards and Leistner (1971) was used for specimen citation under the section ‘additional specimen examined’.

Data on vegetative morphology was obtained by analysing all the specimens provided per species. Inflorescence structures were studied from the freshly collected samples, herbarium samples, as well as from the original author’s descriptions (in a case where all the specimens did not contain any inflorescence to study). Hand drawings representing both the vegetative and reproductive characters were made for all the species (except for *C. amabile*). The trichomes from the leaf samples and mature nutlets, from as many specimens as possible, were examined per species, except for *C. amabile*, where there was only one specimen available, and *C. obtusicalyx*, where three specimens were available. Original descriptions from JSTOR.org were also included in developing the diagnostic key. The specimens were studied using either the TESCAN VEGA3 scanning electron microscope (SEM) or the Phenom Desktop SEM. For TESCAN VEGA3 SEM analysis, samples were immersed in a mixture of 95% ethanol and isoamyl acetate (1:1) for 10 minutes and in pure isoamyl acetate for 15 minutes. After removing isoamyl acetate, the samples were placed on a holder for critical point drying for an hour. Then the dried samples were directly mounted on aluminium stubs and sputter-coated with a thin layer of gold before viewing under microscope. This was done to prevent charging of specimens due to accumulation of static electric field, and to increase the number of secondary electrons that can be detected from the surface of the specimen. For the Phenom Desktop SEM analysis, samples were only air-dried for an hour and were directly mounted on the aluminium stubs and viewed under microscope. Maps were plotted using the program CorelDraw Graphics Suite X7 (http://coreldraw.com).

**Morphological characters of *Cynoglossum* species in southern Africa**

**Vegetative morphology**

Members of this genus are either perennial, biennial, or rarely annual herbs which are recognizable by their hairy stems and leaves. Roots are thickened cream white taproots with small lateral roots. The stems are erect, hollow, simple at the base, and usually branched above. The basal leaves are deciduous, long petiolate, lanceolate-obtuse shaped, cross-venulate, with smooth margins, and are clustered at the lower parts of the stem forming a rosette, covered with simple trichomes on both the adaxial and abaxial surface. The stem leaves are alternate, sessile, or petiolate, lanceolate-obtuse shaped, with smooth margins. Trichomes on the leaves sometimes have a pustulate base typical of Boraginaceae members (*C. austroafricanum*, *Cynoglossum coeruleum* subsp. *johnstonii* var. *mannii* and *C. lanceolatum*). Vegetative morphology is of limited diagnostic value in distinguishing between southern African species, however a closer look at the trichomes has shown that they may be used to distinguish between similar species. For example, both *C. alticola* and *C. obtusicalyx* have a cluster of soft, woolly trichomes which differ in shape (cylindrical with a pointed tip in *C. alticola*, vs. flat surface and spherical with a blunt tip in *C. obtusicalyx*) and density (denser in *C. alticola* than in *C. obtusicalyx*) as observed in Figure 1.
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Reproductive morphology

The inflorescence is a cyme which is often dichotomously branched with spreading panicles. Flowers are either pedicelled or subsessile, with five parted corollas; corolla white with a blue throat, blue, violet, or magenta (*C. hispidum*), or rarely white (*C. spelaeum*). The stamens are included and arise from the base of the tube, they have short filaments and elliptic to oblong shaped anthers. The style is short and relatively thick, with a capitate stigma. The fruit is a schizocarp of four nutlets attached apically to a narrowly conical gynobase. The nutlets are ovoid with a convex dorsal surface. At maturity, the nutlets produce glochidia, which are sharp hair-like spines or bristles tipped with barbs. The glochidia are either swollen at the base or not bulbous-based, they either cover the whole surface or are well spaced and vary in number. The structure and shape of glochidia display an important distinguishing character amongst the southern African species (Table 1), with each species portraying a unique character as can be seen in Figure 2.
Table 1. Distinguishing characters between the southern African *Cynoglossum* species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>C. alticola</em></th>
<th><em>C. amabile</em></th>
<th><em>C. austroafricanum</em></th>
<th><em>C. coeruleum subsp. johnstonii var. mannii</em></th>
<th><em>C. hispidum</em></th>
<th><em>C. lanceolatum</em></th>
<th><em>C. obtusicalyx</em></th>
<th><em>C. spelaeum</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floral colour</td>
<td>Deep blue</td>
<td>Bluish purple</td>
<td>Pale blue</td>
<td>White with pale blue throat</td>
<td>Magenta</td>
<td>White with pale blue throat</td>
<td>Pale blue</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutlet shape and size</td>
<td>Convex, 6–9×5–6 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid to convex, 2–4×3–4 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid, 3.0–3.5×2.5–3.0 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid, 3–4×2.5–3.5 mm</td>
<td>Convex, 5–6×3–5 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid-convex, 3–4×2.5–3.5 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid-convex, 2–4×3–4 mm</td>
<td>Ovoid, ca. 4×5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutlet ornamentation</td>
<td>Glochidia densely packed on nutlet</td>
<td>Marginal glochidia are more distinct than the central glochidia</td>
<td>Glochidia more spread towards the margins</td>
<td>Glochidia more marginal and on the median line</td>
<td>Glochidia covering the whole nutlet</td>
<td>Glochidia sparsely arranged on nutlet densely echinate with glochidia</td>
<td>glochidia more marginal and acentric, marginal glochidia are longer compared to the acentric glochidia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taxonomic treatment**


Perennial, biennial, or rarely annual herbs, often tall, up to 1.2 m in height, and slightly branched. Stems and leaves canescent. Indumentum white, simple or tuberculate. Leaves alternate, lanceolate, obtuse or spatulate, entire; first year basal leaves form a rosette, lanceolate or obtuse, often long petiolate. Inflorescences usually elongate, rarely bracteate, sparingly branched or loosely paniculate. Flowers pedicelled or subsessile; blue or violet with distinct veins, rarely white. Calyx five-partite, scarcely enlarged in fruit, patent or reflexed. Corolla tube short, throat closed with obtuse or arched scales; five-lobed, imbricate, obtuse, patent. Stamens five, included in the corolla tube, included, with short filaments, anthers ovoid or shortly oblong, obtuse. Ovary with four distinct lobes from an almost flat receptacle; style short or rather long; stigma small, flat or sub capitate; ovules horizontal, fixed to the central angle of the cell. Nutlets four, depressed, scarcely produced at the apex, convex or flat on the dorsal side or surrounded by an elevated margin, glochidiate (hair-like spines or short prickles). Seeds straight or slightly curve
Taxonomic revision of the genus *Cynoglossum* in southern Africa

15

Diagnostic key to the species

1. Soft woolly hairs covering the entire plant; nutlets thickened; glochidia densely arranged on the nutlet .................................................. 1. *C. alticola*

- Stiff bristle hairs covering the entire plant; nutlets slightly swollen; glochidia sparsely spaced on the nutlet ............................................... 2

2. Nutlets 5 mm wide; glochidia thick at the base, fruit stalk up to 2 cm long .. .......................................................................................... 5. *C. hispidum*

- Nutlets less than 5 mm wide; glochidia uniformly shaped, fruit stalk up to 1 cm long ............................................................................. 3

**Figure 2.** SEM micrographs of the adaxial surface of the nutlets of eight listed species of *Cynoglossum* A *C. alticola* B *C. amabile* C *C. austroafricanum* D *C. coeruleum* var. mannii E *C. hispidum* F *C. lanceolatum* G *C. obtusicalyx* H *C. spelaeum*. Voucher specimens: A from L.C.C. Liebenberg 5789 (PRE) B from J. Stewart 2021 (NU) C from O.M. Hilliard and B.L. Burts 11803 (PRE) D from T.B. Sikhakhane 440 (NH) E from S.P. Bester 12958 (PRE) F from S.P. Bester 4653 (PRE) G from J.P.H. Acocks 8509 (PRE) H from A. Nicholas and B. Isaacs 1965 (PRE). SEM images scale bars: 1 mm (A, C-E, G-H); 2 mm (B); 500 µm (F).
3 Inflorescences clustered at the apex; corolla bluish purple; glochidia dense at the margins and centre of the nutlet............................................ 2. C. amabile

– Inflorescences not clustered at the apex; corolla blue to white; glochidia dense at the margins and few at the centre of the nutlet........................................4

4 Spreading long trichomes covering the whole plant; corolla longer than 7 mm long ................................................................................... 7. C. obtusicalyx

– Sparsely shorter trichomes covering the whole plant; corolla shorter than 4 mm long .................................................................................................. 5

5a Corolla white with blue throat:

6 Trichomes not thickened on both leaf surfaces; glochidia evenly distributed across the nutlet............................................................... 6. C. lanceolatum

– Trichomes with pustulate base on the abaxial leaf surface; glochidia on the median line and centre of the nutlet ...............................................................

..........................4. Cynoglossum coeruleum subsp. johnstonii var. manni

5b Corolla uniformly coloured:

7 Leaves brightly green coloured on both surfaces, lanceolate-obtuse shaped; corolla pale blue; length of glochidia uniform throughout the nutlet .......... .................................................................................. 3. C. austroafricanum

– Leaves grey green on the abaxial surface, dark green on the adaxial surface, spathulate-obtuse shaped; corolla white; marginal glochidia longer than acentric glochidia.................................................................................................. 8. C. spelaeum


Type. South Africa ♀♂ Eastern Cape, Barkly East District (3027): Ben McDhui (-DB), 5 Feb 1983, O.M. Hilliard and B.L. Burtt 16468 (E-image!, holotype; NU-image!, isotype).

Perennial herbs, 0.2–0.6 m in height. Basal leaves 76–270×8–18 mm, lanceolate, densely pubescent, persistent; margins entire. Stem leaves 35–120×5–10 mm, lanceolate, apex acute, base cuneate, margins entire, soft woolly hairs. Trichomes spread equally on both the adaxial and abaxial leaf surfaces, unicellular hair base, not bulbous on both leaf surfaces. Inflorescence racemose, clustered at the apex; pedicel 4–10 mm long, lengthening considerably in fruit. Calyx ca. 4 mm long, lobes elliptic-oblong, densely hairy on inner surface, apices obtuse. Corolla deep blue; lobe 4×3 mm diameter, oblong, round apex. Nutlets convex, 6–9×5–6 mm; glochidia short and thick at the base, densely packed on nutlet, tips multi-angular (Figure 3).

Phenology. February to May.

Conservation status. Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

Diagnostic characters. Cynoglossum alticola can be distinguished by its thick, convex nutlets. Among the southern African species, it has a unique appearance due to
the presence of woolly trichomes that cover the whole plant. Furthermore, it has larger nutlets (6–9×5–6 mm) than other species (less than 6×5 mm). According to Hilliard and Burtt (1986), this species is related to *C. alpinum* (Brand) B.L. Burtt from the highlands of Ethiopia, with which it shares nutlet shape and size, as well as the leaf texture and colour. The difference is observed on the fornices (small crests in the corolla tube of a plant), as in *C. alticola* they are broad and short while in *C. alpinum* they are long and narrow.

**Distribution and habitat.** The species is restricted to the Eastern Cape Province in South Africa and Lesotho (Figure 4), where it is found growing on mountainous terrain and on damp slopes near streams.


**Type.** China ♀♂ Yunnan, Mengtsze, 1894, W. Hancock 133 (K-image! [3 sheets], lectotype, designated by Verdcourt 1991).

Perennial herb, 0.6 m in height. Basal leaves 50-100×20–35 mm, lanceolate-elliptic shaped, softly hairy, deciduous, margins entire. Stem leaves 40–100×9–20 mm, lanceolate shaped, apex acute, base cuneate, entire margins, densely covered with white brittle hairs. Trichomes soft, upright, bulbous based. Inflorescence clustered at the apex, pedicels 5–8 mm long, lengthens considerably in fruit. Calyx ca. 3 mm long, lobes ovate, grey pubescent, apex subacute. Corolla bluish purple; lobe 7×9 mm diameter, segments round. Nutlets ovoid, 2–4×3–4 mm, convex shaped; glochidia short, thick, marginal glochidia are more distinct than the central glochidia (Figure 5).

**Phenology.** October to November.

**Conservation status.** Not evaluated (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Diagnostic characters.** Amongst the southern African species, *C. amabile* can be confused with *C. lanceolatum* due to their small-sized nutlets (between 2–4×2.5–4) and flowers. However, the two species are easily distinguished by their flower colour (*C.
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*Cynoglossum lanceolatum* has white corolla with blue throat, whereas *C. amabile* has bluish purple corolla. This species was also reported by Stapf and Drummond (1906) and König et al. (2015) to be like *C. furcatum* Wallich (from Nepal, China, Bhutan, Vietnam, Thailand, Philippines, and India), based on flower and fruit size. The difference can be observed in the inflorescences, whereby *C. furcatum* is a much larger plant with inflorescences up to 1 m tall, and *C. amabile* is up to 0.6 m tall.

**Distribution and habitat.** *Cynoglossum amabile* is widely distributed in southern China where it is usually grown for ornamental purposes and naturalised in many parts of the world (Xu et al. 2009). According to Germishuizen and Meyer (2003), this species is only found in KwaZulu-Natal Province (Figure 6), where it grows in open, disturbed sites, on gravel slopes and sandy, dry riverbanks.


**Taxonomic notes.** *Cynoglossum amabile* has been described as a widespread species which grows in disturbed habitat and can be grown as an ornamental (König et al. 2015).

---

**Figure 5. Cynoglossum amabile.** SEM micrograph of the adaxial surface of A fruit nutlets B Glochidia. Voucher specimen: J. Stewart 2021 (NU). SEM images scale bar: 2 mm (A); 100 µm (B).
This species has only been collected once in South Africa by J. Stewart in 1977, since then there have been no later records or observations of this species in this region. Attempts to locate this species in the wild were futile. It is questionable whether this species occurs naturally in the southern African region since its single known locality is within a protected area in KwaZulu-Natal.


**Type.** South Africa ♀♂, KwaZulu-Natal, Underberg (2929): Cobham Forest Reserve, Sipongweni, c.6500ft (-CB), 21 Feb 1981, O.M. Hilliard and B.L. Burtt 14072 (Ε-image! [2 sheets], holotype; K-image!; NU-image! [3 sheets], isotype).

Perennial or biennial herbs, 0.3–0.5 m in height. Basal leaves 100–190×15–30 mm, lanceolate-obtuse, softly hairy, persistent margins entire. Stem leaves 45–100×10–21 mm, narrowly lanceolate to linear lanceolate shaped, acute apex,
Taxonomic revision of the genus *Cynoglossum* in southern Africa

Cuneate base, margins undulate, covered with stiff hairs. Trichomes unicellular, with thick round base on the adaxial surface, simple on the abaxial surface. Inflorescences dichotomously branched, loose cymes at the apex, pedicels 4–9 mm long, and lengthens considerably in fruit. Calyx ca. 2–3 mm long, lobes obtuse, pubescent on the outer surface, glabrous on the inner surface, apex acute. Corolla pale blue; lobes 2.75–4.25 mm in diameter, cruciform, apex obtuse. Nutlets ovoid, 3.0–3.5×2.5–3.0 mm; glochidia more spread towards the margins, thin, tip multangular (Figure 7).

**Phenology.** December to April.

**Conservation status.** Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Diagnostic characters.** Amongst the southern African species, *Cynoglossum austroafricanum* can be confused with either *C. lanceolatum* or *C. coeruleum* var. *mannii*. This species differs from the two by the colour of the corolla (white corolla with pale blue throat vs pale blue corolla throughout in *C. austroafricanum*). This latter observation was also noted by Hilliard and Burtt (1986).

---

**Figure 7.** Vegetative and reproductive morphological features of *Cynoglossum austroafricanum* A line drawing of the branching pattern of the fruit stalk, and the alternating stem leaves B SEM micrograph of the adaxial surface of a fruit nutlet, with the arrangement of glochidia around the nutlet C SEM micrograph of the glochidia. Voucher specimen: O.M. Hilliard and B.L. Burtt 11803 (PRE). Drawing scale bar: 7.5 mm. SEM scale bar: 1 mm (B); 100 µm (C).
**Distribution and habitat.** The species is distributed in South Africa (North-West, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Free-State, KwaZulu-Natal, and Eastern Cape Provinces), eSwatini and Lesotho (Figure 8), where it occurs in shady, disturbed areas, and sandy, dry riverbanks.


![Figure 8](image-url). Known distribution of *Cynoglossum austroafricanum* in southern Africa based on the specimens examined.

eSwatini. 2631 (Mbabane): Forbes Reef (-AA), 14 Apr 1960, R.H. Compton 30035 (NBG); Mbabane (-AC), 17 Jan 1951, A. Wuzee 22393 (NBG).

Lesotho. 2828 (Bethlehem): Leribe District, LHDA Phase 1A (-AD), 11 Jan 1996, P.B. Phillipson, C. Mokuku, R. Judd, and C. Hobson 4473 (GRA); Leribe (-AD), no date, M. Dieterlen 20420 (NU). 2829 (Bethlehem): Lealangwei, 1 Mar 1949, A. Jacot-Guillarmod 1072 (PRE), 25 Feb 1949, W.J. Barker 21515 (NBG).

No locality details: 27 Nov 1888, H. Medley 4576 (NH); Dec 1946, E. Meston 50 (NU).

**Taxonomic notes.** According to Hilliard and Burtt (1986), this species was described by Dr. H. Weimarck who did not select a type specimen; therefore, in typifying it they retained the specific epithet.


**Type.** Cameroon ♂♀, Mount Cameroon, Dec 1862, Mann 2005 (K-image, lectotype! here designated; K-image [2 sheets] islectotype!).

Perennial, biennial, or annual herbs, 1.2 m in height. Basal leaves 90–190×28–56 mm, lanceolate-obtuse, softly hairy, deciduous, margins entire. Stem leaves 35–90×7–25 mm, lanceolate, apex acute, base acute to obtuse, covered with moderately stiff hairs margins entire. Trichomes bulbous based on the upper surface of the leaf, sometimes simple on the lower surface. Inflorescences terminal axillary cymes, few branches spreading dichotomously; pedicel 4–8 mm long, lengthens considerably in fruit. Calyx ca. 21 mm long, lobes ovate-oblong, adpressed-hairy outside, smooth inside, apex acute. Corolla white with pale blue throat; lobes ca. 2.1 mm in diameter, campanulate. Nutlets ovoid, 3–4×2.5–3.5 mm; glochidia more marginal and on the median line (Figure 9).

**Phenology.** December to April.

**Conservation status.** Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Diagnostic characters.** This variety can be easily confused with *C. lanceolatum* due to the dichotomous branching of the inflorescence but can be distinguished from it by the distribution and density of the glochidia in the nutlets. The glochidia on the nutlets of *Cynoglossum coeruleum* var. *mannii* are more marginal and on the median line, whereas they are equally distributed around the whole nutlet in *C. lanceolatum*.

**Distribution and habitat.** This variety is endemic to South Africa where it is known only from KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape Provinces (Figure 10). It is also reported from Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia, and Zimbabwe (Mill and Miller 1984).

It is found in disturbed grassland and sandy areas.

Figure 9. Vegetative and reproductive morphological features of *Cynoglossum coeruleum* var. *mannii*

A line drawing of dichotomous branching of the fruit stalk B marginal and median line glochidia on the adaxial surface of a nutlet C Glochidia evenly sized. Voucher specimen: T.B. Sikhakhane 440 (NH). Drawing scale bar: 7.5 mm. SEM scale bar: 2 mm (B); 200 µm (C).


**Taxonomic notes.** (i) *Cynoglossum geometricum* was recorded in the southern African region (FSA) for the first time by Hilliard and Burtt (1986). Although the name seems to be accepted in the Flora of southern African region (FSA), for example, Germishuizen and Meyer (2003) and Burrows and Willis (2005), it is not the correct name for this taxon. The correct name is *Cynoglossum coeruleum* subsp. *johnstonii* var. *mannii*, as synonymized by Verdcourt (1991). It is worth noting that the latter name is erroneously listed as *C. coeruleum* var. *mannii* in websites such as Plants of the World Online (http://powo.sci-
Verdcourt (1991) relegated *C. johnstonii* to subspecies level under *C. coeruleum* and then transferred *C. mannii* to *C. coeruleum* as a variety of *Cynoglossum coeruleum* subsp. *johnstonii*. (ii) There are three sheets of Mann 2005 in Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K), the one with the barcode number K000418935 is chosen as a lectotype because it displays most of the important characters of the species which can be used to distinguish this species from the rest, such as the inflorescence character and the branching pattern.

Figure 10. Known distribution of *Cynoglossum coeruleum* var. *mannii* in southern Africa based on the specimens examined.


*Cynoglossum glomeratum* Pursh in Fl. Amer. Sept. 2:729 (1813).
Type. South Africa. ♀♂, Eastern Cape, between Omcamwubo and Omcamcaba, no date, Drège d (HAL-image! lectotype, here designated; GDC-image! [3 sheets],
isolectotype). [Note: The HAL specimen is chosen as a lectotype because the specimen displays the diagnostic characters of the species as described in the protologue].

_Echinopspermum enerve_ E.Mey. ex DC. Prodr. 10:154 (1846), nom. nud.

**Type.** South Africa ♀♂, Precise locality unknown, Lange Kloof, _Thunberg_ 168 sub _THUNB-UPS_ 3996 (UPS, microfiche! holotype).

Perennial or biennial herbs, 0.5–0.76 m in height. Basal leaves 80–250×15–25 mm, lanceolate-obtuse, densely pubescent, deciduous, margins entire. Stem leaves 60–80×5–12 mm, oblong-lanceolate, apex acute, base cuneate, covered with brittle hairs, margins entire. Trichomes bulbous based on the upper surface of the leaf, sometimes simple on the lower surface. Inflorescence terminal axillary cyme, branches spreading dichotomously; pedicel up to 20 mm long, lengthens considerably in fruit. Calyx ca. 5–10 mm long, lobes obtuse, outer surface packed with bulbous-based trichomes, apex acute. Corolla magenta; lobes ca. 5 mm in diameter, cruciform. Nutlets convex, 5–6×3–5 mm, highly pubescent; glochidia short and thick at the base, tips multangular (Figure 11).

**Phenology.** October to March.

**Conservation status.** Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Diagnostic characters.** The species can be confused with _C. lanceolatum_ with which it shares a similar branching pattern of the inflorescences and upright brittle hairs covering the whole plant. However, the two differ in the colour of the corolla (magenta-purplish vs. white with blue throat in _C. lanceolatum_) and pedicel length (2 cm long opposed to less than 2 cm long in _C. lanceolatum_).

**Distribution and habitat.** This species is widely distributed across all provinces of South Africa. It can also be found in eSwatini and Lesotho (Figure 12). It mostly occurs in open grasslands, grassy slopes, woodland marshes, and disturbed areas like abandoned lands.

Figure 11. Vegetative and reproductive morphological features of *Cynoglossum hispidum*. A. line drawing of obtuse shaped, rosette base leaves, terminal branched fruits, terminal flowers B. densely packed with glochidia nutlets C. Glochidia wide at the base, with multiangular tip. Voucher specimen: S.P. Bester 12958 (PRE). Drawing scale bar: 7.5 mm. SEM scale bar: 5 mm; 100 µm (C).
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Figure 12. Known distribution of *Cynoglossum hispidum* in southern Africa based on the specimens examined.
(NU); 8 Mar 2019, **A.N. Moteetee** and **L.K. Madika** AL013 (JRAU); Ukulinga farm (-CB), 8 Mar 1982, **J.C. Manning** 212 (NU); Nagle Dam (-DA), 15 Sep 1957, **M.J. Wells** 1676 (NU); Cliffdale road (-DC), 17 Aug 2002, **D.G.A. Styles** 9141 (NU); 30 Jul 2003, **P. Wragg** 205 (NU), 7 Nov 2014, **D.G.A. Styles** 4925 (NH). 2931 (Stanger): Groutville (-AD), 14 Oct 1965, **E.J. Moll** 2500 (NU, PRE). 3029 (Kokstad): **R.G. Strey** 10827 (NU); Kokstad District (-DA), 1968, **C.J. Piek** 53 (NH); 25 Feb 1978, **T.A. Coleman** 985 (NH); no date, **F.M. Getliffe** and **T. Edwards** 1266 (NU). 3030 (Port Shepstone): **M. Stainbank’s farm, mid Illovo** (-BB), 24 Sep 2009, **A. Young** 1155 (NU); Umzinto District (-BC), 3 Sep 1983, **K. Balkwill** and **J.C. Manning** 828 (NU); 29 Sep 1984, **C.F. Kennedy** 32 (NU). 3030 (Umzinto): Vernon Crookes Nature Reserve (-BC), 3 Sep 1983, **K. Balkwill** and **J.C. Manning** 808 (NU); 20 Oct 1997, **A.M. Ngwenya** 1538 (NH); Port Shepstone (-CB), 3 Oct 1937, **A.O.D. Mogg** 13873 (PRE); (-CD), 20 Sep 1955, **S. McNeil** 142 (NU). 3030 (Umzinto): **Oudtshoorn**: **W. van der Kellen** (K-image); **between St John's River and Umtsikaba River, Pondoland, 1838**, Drège s.n (K-image). 3326 (Elandsfontein): **S.P. Bester** 6347 (GRA, PRE). 3326 (Fort Beaufort): **J.E. Victor** 1586 (PRE); Ben McDui (-DC), 6 Jan 1997, **T. Dold** and **M. Cocks** 3495 (GRA). 3027 (Barkly East): Farm Faskally near England (-DA), 9 Nov 1995, **E. Archibald** 669 (GRA); Alexandria (-CB), 10 Aug 1953, **W. Marais** 185 (PRE). 3128 (Umtata): Baziya, Tembuland (-CC), no date, **Baur** 257 (K-image); Blesbok flats Cathcart Div. Cape, 1838, Drège s.n (K-image); between St John’s River and Umtsikaba River, Pondoland, 1838, Drège s.n (K-image). 3226 (Elandsfontein): Elandsfontein (-AA), 13 Dec 2005, **S.P. Bester** 6347 (GRA, PRE). 3226 (Fort Beaufort): Along gravel road to Sada off the R67 (-BD), 8 Feb 1995, **J.E. Victor** and **D.B. Haare** 392 (PRE); Mpofo Game Reserve (-DA), 28 Feb 2006, **C.L. Bredenkamp** 3338 (PRE); Menzieberg, Amatole Mountains (-DB), 6 Jan 1986, **P.B. Phillipson** 1185 (GRA), Fort Beaufort Road, 2 miles (3.22 km) from Alice (-DD), 22 Oct 1939, **M.H. Giffen** 264 (PRE). 3227 (Stutterheim): Stutterheim (-AD), 5 Dec 1942, **J.P.H. Acocks** 9399 (PRE); Pirie Mission (-CC), exact date not provided 1888, **T.R. Sim** 20419 (NU, PRE); 5 Aug 2014, **S. Mgcuwa** 117 (GRA). 3030 (Umzinto): **Blyde River East**: Farm Faskally near England (-DA), 9 Nov 1995, **E. Archibald** 669 (GRA); Alexandria (-CB), 10 Aug 1953, **W. Marais** 185 (PRE). 3128 (Umtata): **J.P. H. Acocks** 9399 (PRE); Pirie Mission (-CC), exact date not provided 1888, **T.R. Sim** 20419 (NU, PRE); 5 Aug 2014, **S. Mgcuwa** 117 (GRA); King William’s Town (-DB), Nov 1891, **H.G. Flanagan** 1193 (PRE). 3326 (Grahamstown): Faraway (-AD), 4 Dec 1980, **A. Jacot Guillarmod** 8473 (GRA); Nov 1942, **E. Archibald** 669 (GRA); Alexandria (-CB), 10 Aug 1953, **S. Johnson** 691 (GRA); 11 Feb 1953, **W. Marais** 185 (PRE). 3327 (Peddie): Peddie District (-AC), 5 Nov 1993, **T. Dold** and **A. Booi** 481 (GRA).

**Taxonomic notes.** Brand (1921) included *C. hispidum* as a synonym of *C. lanceolatum*. However, the two species have notable variations especially in the colour of the corolla (magenta-purplish vs. white with blue throat in *C. lanceolatum*) and pedicel length (2 cm long opposed to less than 2 cm long in *C. lanceolatum*).


*Cynoglossum micranthum* Desf.: 220 (1804), nom. nud.
*Cynoglossum canescens* Willdenow in Enum. Pl.: 180 (1809).
Type: ♀♂, Precise locality unknown, *C.L. Willdenow*, s.n. (B-W,-image, lectotype, designated by König et al. 2015).
*Cynoglossum racemosum* Roxb. in Fl. Ind.: 2:6 (1824), nom. illeg.

Type. Yemen, Al Hadiyah, Mar 1763, *P. Forsskal* 312 (C-image! holotype).

Annual or biennial herbs, 0.5–0.9 m in height, covered with simple hairs. Basal leaves 85–180×15–23 mm, lanceolate-obtuse, blade elliptic, softly hairy, deciduous. Stem leaves 40–65×8–18 mm, lanceolate, apex acute, base cuneate, covered with moderately stiff hairs. Trichomes brittle, simple on both leaf surfaces. Inflorescence dichotomously branched axillary cyme, pedicel 1–1.5 mm long. Calyx ca. 1–1.5 mm long, lobe ovate-obtuse, pubescent on the outer surface, inner surface glabrous, apex acute. Corolla white with pale blue throat; lobes ca. 1×1 mm, campanulate. Nutlets ovoid-convex, 3–4×2.5–3.5 mm, fully pubescent; glochidia equally thick and long (Figure 13).

**Phenology.** August to May.

**Conservation status.** Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Diagnostic characters.** Amongst the southern African species, *C. lanceolatum* is similar and possibly related to *Cynoglossum coeruleum* subsp. johnstonii var. *mannii* with which it shares the branching pattern of the inflorescence, flower colour, and nutlet size. The two species can be distinguished by the density of the glochidia on the nutlets and distribution. *Cynoglossum lanceolatum* nutlets are completely covered with glochidia, whereas in *Cynoglossum coeruleum* subsp. johnstonii var. *mannii* glochidia tend to be more marginal and acentric.
Distribution and habitat. *Cynoglossum lanceolatum* originates from Yemen (König et al. 2015) but reported from Africa (Ge-Ling et al. 1995), Pakistan, India (Joshi 2016), the Mediterranean, and throughout Asia (Verdcourt 1991) and Madagascar (König et al. 2015). In South Africa it occurs widely in all provinces, it also occurs in eSwatini and Lesotho (Figure 14). It is a widespread species that grows in disturbed habitats throughout parts of Africa.
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Figure 14. Known distribution of *Cynoglossum lanceolatum* in southern Africa based on the specimens examined.
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Unknown localities: No locality details, 30 Jan 1948, *B.S. Fischer 1426* (NU).

**Taxonomic notes.** The specimen in the National Herbarium Pretoria (PRE) collected in the Doornpoort area in Gauteng Province (Voucher number: *S.P. Bester 9734* (PRE)) belongs to *C. lanceolatum* and not to *C. amabile* since it has characters which are typical of this species, i.e., white corolla with a blue throat instead of bluish-purple corolla and divaricately branched instead of clustered at the apex.


Perennial or biennial herbs, ca. 0.45 m in height. Basal leaves 175–250×5–18 mm, obtuse, densely pubescent, persistent, margins entire. Stem leaves 50–65×5–10 mm, obtuse-lanceolate, apex acute, base cuneate, soft hairs. **Trichomes** woolly, soft, non-bulbous based, unicellular, long, thin. Inflorescence dichotomously branched terminal cymes, pedicel 5–10 mm long, lengthens considerably in fruit. Calyx ca.1.0–1.6 mm long, lobe oblong, densely pubescent, apices broadly obtuse-truncate shaped. **Corolla** pale blue; lobes 5×7 mm diameter, ovate. Nutlets ovoid-convex, 2–4×3–4 mm, densely echinulate with glochidia (Figure 15).
Diagnostic characters. The stems and leaves of *Cynoglossum obtusicalyx* are densely covered with soft, woolly trichomes which makes it similar to *Cynoglossum alticola*. However, the two species differ in flower colour and size (deep blue corolla, 4×3 mm vs. pale blue corolla, 5×7 mm in *C. obtusicalyx*). *Cynoglossum obtusicalyx* has pale blue flowers with corolla 5–7 mm long whereas *C. austroafricanum* has bright blue flowers with corolla 2.75–4.25 mm long. The two species also show variation in trichome texture and density, i.e., sparsely hairy with short, stiff hairs on both the stem and leaves in *C. austroafricanum* vs. densely arranged long, woolly hair on both the stem and leaves in *C. obtusicalyx*.

**Phenology.** October to February.

**Conservation status.** Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

**Distribution and habitat.** *Cynoglossum obtusicalyx* is endemic to South Africa where it has been recorded from Calvinia, Worcester, and Beaufort West in the Northern and Western Cape Provinces (Figure 16), it occurs in mountainous areas, often growing on scree below cliffs.

**Additional specimens examined.** South Africa. **NORTHERN CAPE:** 3119 (Calvinia): Calvinia District (-BD), 22 Sep 1955, J.P.H. Acocks 8509 (PRE); 22 Sep 1955, D.A Leistner 394 (PRE; NBG). **WESTERN CAPE:** 3222 (Beaufort West): Mountain View farm (-BD), 17 Apr 1978, G. Gibbs Russell and R. Hermann 4272 (PRE).
Taxonomic revision of the genus *Cynoglossum* in southern Africa


*Cynoglossum basuticum* Weim.ex Guillarmod, Fl. Lesotho 233 (1973), nom. nud.


Perennial herbs, ca. 0.4–0.5 m in height. Basal leaves 130–235×25–35 mm, spathulate-obtuse, soft hairs, deciduous, margins entire. Stem leaves 40–80×10–25 mm, obtuse shaped, dark green adaxial surface, grey green abaxial surface, smooth margins, soft hairs, sparsely packed. Trichomes unicellular, simple on both leaf surfaces, soft. Inflorescence corymbose panicle; threadlike pedicel 5 mm long. Calyx ca. 1.5–2.0 mm long, lobes lanceolate-oblong, softly hairy on the outer surface, inner surface smooth, apex acute. Corolla white; lobes ca. 3×3 mm diameter, obtuse. Nutlets ovoid, ca. 4×5 mm; glochidia more marginal and acentric, marginal glochidia are longer compared to the acentric glochidia (Figure 17).

**Phenology.** December to March.
Conservation status. Least Concern (Raimondo et al. 2009).

Diagnostic characters. This species is characterised by leaves that are sparsely covered with hairs that have a softer feel, which distinguishes it sharply from all the other southern African species which have either brittle or woolly hairs. The abaxial leaf surface has a grey-greenish appearance which is also a unique character of this species.

Distribution and habitat. The species is distributed in South Africa (Eastern Cape, Free-State, and KwaZulu-Natal Provinces) and Lesotho (Figure 18). It grows in loose sandy soil at the edge of an overhang.

Taxonomic notes. *Cynoglossum spelaeum* is quite distinctive among the southern African species having uniquely shaped (i.e., spatulate to obtuse) and coloured (i.e., deep green adaxial surface, grey green abaxial surface) leaves, that almost seem leathery but contain few soft trichomes. It is also the only species among the southern African species that has completely white flowers. Although Hilger et al. (2015) indicated that the species does not belong to genus *Cynoglossum*, they did not elaborate the reasons for the exclusion. Nonetheless, the species fits the generic description of four glochidiate nutlets that are adapted for zoochory. In addition, preliminary molecular data (Madika 2020), showed a close relationship between *C. coeruleum* subsp. *johnstonii* var. *mannii*, *C. lanceolatum*, and *C. spelaeum*. 

**Figure 18.** Known distribution of *Cynoglossum spelaeum* in southern Africa based on the specimens examined.
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Abstract

Oreocharis phuongii, a new species of Gesneriaceae from central Vietnam, is described and illustrated here. The new species is most similar to O. longifolia by sharing peduncles up to 22 cm long, bracts 2, zygomorphic, yellow flowers with tubular corolla, stamens 4 with two pairs of coherent anthers and capsules up to 6 cm long. It mainly differs from the latter by the combination of some morphological characters of leaves (shape, base, apex and margin), size of calyx lobes, indumentum of corolla tube and inner surface of three lower corolla lobes. Detailed morphological description together with colour illustration, information on phenology, distribution, ecology, preliminarily conservation status of the new species and comparison with its similar species are also presented.
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Introduction

The genus *Oreocharis* Bentham (Gesneriaceae DC), prior to recent phylogenetic work, comprised ca. 28 species, mainly distributed in southern China (Li and Wang 2004). Recent molecular and morphology-based analyses demonstrated that the traditionally-defined *Oreocharis* was phylogenetically intertwined with nine previously defined small genera and acaulescent, rosette forming members of *Briggsia* Craib. The re-circumscribed *Oreocharis* is a strongly supported monophyletic group and placed in the subfamily Didymocarpoideae (Möller et al. 2011; 2014; Middleton et al. 2013). Since its re-definition in 2011, *Oreocharis* s.l. comprises about 150 species making the genus one of the most morphologically diverse amongst Old World Gesneriaceae (Möller et al. 2016; Möller 2019; Jin et al. 2021). Most of the approximately 130 species are found in southern and south-western China, with a few species also in northern Vietnam, India, Bhutan, Myanmar, Thailand and Japan (e.g. Pham 2000; Vu 2005, 2018; Wei et al. 2010; Möller et al. 2011, 2016, 2018; Do et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2017, 2018; Yang et al. 2019; Cai et al. 2019; Jin et al. 2021).

Prior to this work, eight species of *Oreocharis* were known from Vietnam, of which seven new species were described from the country since 2017, i.e. *O. caobangensis* T.V.Do, Y.G.Wei & F.Wen (Do et al. 2017), *O. argyrophylla* W.H.Chen, H.Q.Nguyen & Y.M.Shui, *O. blepharophylla* W.H.Chen, H.Q.Nguyen & Y.M.Shui (Chen et al. 2017), *O. grandiflora* W.H.Chen, Q.H.Nguyen & Y.M.Shui, *O. longituba* W.H.Chen, Q.H.Nguyen & Y.M.Shui (Chen et al. 2018), *O. tribracteata* Bramley, H.J.Atkins & Mich.Möller and *O. rufescens* D.J.Middleton (Möller et al. 2018). All these species have been found in close proximity or with sympatric distributions in northern Vietnam, but none of them has been recorded from the central and southern areas of the country. Due to similarities of topography and ecological factors, the flora of northern Vietnam is similar to that of south-western and southern China, which is considered the centre of *Oreocharis* diversity (Jin et al. 2021). Additionally, there are still many parts of northern and central Vietnam (such as the Annamite Range) from which specimens of vascular plants, in general and Gesneriaceae, in particular, have not yet been well collected or for which the collecting density is very low. Hence, our understanding of the diversity and distribution of vascular plant species, in general and Gesneriaceae species, in particular, in these regions remains rudimentary.

While revising the taxonomy of Gesneriaceae for the Flora of Vietnam, we have conducted numerous field investigations throughout the country and collected some interesting Gesneriaceae specimens from two populations in some protected forest areas within the Annamite Range in central Vietnam. These specimens are characterised by leaves in a basal rosette, 2-paired stamens, ring-like disc and loculicidal capsules. Due to the above characteristics, we determined that these specimens belong to *Oreocharis* s.l. Detailed morphological comparisons with the protologues and type specimens of all previously-described species of *Oreocharis* s.l. (Pan 1987; Wang et al. 1990, 1998; Li and Wang 2004; Wei et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018; Tan et al. 2013, 2015; Möller et al. 2014, 2018; Möller
2015; Li and Li 2015; Yang et al. 2015a, 2015b, 2017, 2019; Wei et al. 2016; Li et al. 2017; Do et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2019, 2020; Cai and Dao 2020) revealed that these specimens do not match with any known Oreocharis species. Therefore, we confirmed that these specimens represent a new species, which is here described and illustrated, namely O. phuongii T.V.Do.

**Taxonomic treatment**

*Oreocharis phuongii* T.V. Do, sp. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296017-1

Figs 1–3

**Type.** Vietnam. Thua Thien Hue Province, Nam Dong District, Thuong Lo Commune, Bach Ma National Park, on moist rocks under evergreen broad-leaved forests, 16°07’56.5”N 107°45’03.2”E, ca. 545 m alt., 21 Nov 2019, Do Van Truong ĐVT 368 (holotype: VNMN!; isotypes: IBK!, VNMN!).

**Diagnosis.** The new species is morphologically similar to *O. longifolia* W.H. Chen in having peduncles up to 22 cm long, bracts 2, zygomorphic, yellow flowers with tubular corolla, stamens 4 and capsules up to 6 cm long; but it differs from the latter in its elliptic to ovate lamina (vs. narrowly elliptic to oblanceolate), cuneate to nearly rounded leaf base (vs. attenuate), obtuse to almost rounded leaf apex (vs. acute), crenulate margin (vs. serrulate), 3–4.5 × 1.5–1.8 mm calyx lobe size (vs. 5–7 × 2–3 mm), glabrous inner surface of corolla tube (vs. sparsely glandular puberulent with dark-purple striations) and exclusively yellow inner surface of three lower lobes without dots and striations (vs. brown to brownish-yellow with purple dots and striations).

**Description.** Perennial acaulescent herbs, with conspicuous 12–14 cm long stolons, densely brown woolly, with (6–)8–14 leaves in a basal rosette. Petioles 1–3 cm long, densely brown villous. Leaf-blade elliptic to ovate, 4–7 × 2–2.8 cm, base cuneate to nearly rounded, apex obtuse to almost rounded, margin crenulate, adaxially dark-green, densely grey puberulous, abaxially pale-green, densely grey puberulous and browner appressed villous on main veins, secondary veins pinnate, 4–5 pairs, tertiary veins reticulate, lightly sunken on adaxial surface and conspicuously prominent on abaxial surface. Inflorescences cymose, subumbel-like, axillary, 2–3 cymes, each 1–3-flowered; peduncles 12–19(–22) cm long, erect, brown, sparsely villous to pubescent; bracts 2, linear-lanceolate to elliptic, 2–5 × 1.5–2 mm, outside with sparse, brown hairs, inside glabrescent, margin entire; pedicels 2–3 cm long, with sparse, brown hairs, sometimes bearing additional bracts at 1/2 to upper 1/3 of pedicel length, similar in size and morphology with bracts at branching points. Calyx equally 5-lobed, free to base, lobes triangular to lanceolate, 3–4.5 × 1.5–1.8 mm, both surfaces with a dense covering of long gland-tipped and eglandular hairs, margin entire. Corolla, zygomorphic, yellow, bilabiate, outside sparsely pubescent, inside glabrous; tube tubular, 28–30 × 12–13 mm, abruptly constricted at base, 8–9 × 2.5–3 mm; upper lip slightly 2-lobed; lobes ovate, 5–7 ×
Figure 1. Holotype of *Oreocharis phuongii* sp. nov. (*Truong Van Do DVT* 368, deposited at VNMN).
Figure 2. *Oreocharis phuongii* sp. nov. (A–J) **A** habitat **B** habit showing cymose inflorescence **C** adaxial leaf surface **D** abaxial leaf surface **E–F** structure of floral parts (two pairs of anther and filament indicated by a white arrow; shape of pistil indicated by a green arrow) **G** frontal view of opened flower showing backwards incurved upper lobes, glabrous inner surface of three lower lobes and a bilobed matured stigma longer than stamens (indicated by a blue arrow) **H** lateral view of opened flower **I** close-up of opened flower (in frontal view) **J** close-up of 2-paired stamens; *O. longifolia* (K–M) **K** habit **L** frontal view of opened flower showing brown to brownish-yellow inner surface of three lower lobes with dark-purple striations **M** lateral view of an opened flower. **A–J** photos by Do Van Truong **K–M** photos by Nicholas Turland.
4.5–5 mm, incurved backwards, apex obtuse to acute; lower lip 3-lobed, lobes elliptic, broadly ovate to semi-orbicular; lateral lobes 8–9 × 5–6 mm; middle lobe broader than lateral lobes, 8–10 × 6–7.5 mm, apex obtuse to acute or rounded. Stamens 4, anthers coherent in two pairs, filaments linear, glabrous; filaments of upper pair 15–17 mm long, adnate at 7–8 mm from the base of corolla tube; filaments of lower pair 1.1–1.3 cm long, adnate at 9–10 mm from the base of corolla tube; anthers reniform, 1–1.5 mm long, basifixed, glabrous; staminode absent. Disc ca. 1.3 mm in height, margin orbicular, glabrous. Pistil 21–26 mm long; ovary ca. 2.5 mm long, ca. 1 mm in diam., glabrescent; style 17–22 mm long, ca. 1 mm in diam., pubescent, longer than upper pair of filaments when mature; stigma bilobed, V-shaped, 1–1.5 mm long, glabrous. Capsules linear-oblong, straight, 5–6(–8) × ca. 0.3 cm, glabrous to glabrescent, loculicidal.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet honours Prof. Dr. Vu Xuan Phuong who has contributed significantly to our understanding of Gesneriaceae in Vietnam.
A new *Oreocharis* species from Vietnam

**Phenology.** Flowering was observed from October to November. Fruiting may occur from November to December.

**Distribution and habitat.** The new species is currently known from some protected forest areas (viz. Dakrong Nature Reserve, Quang Tri Province and Bach Ma National Park, Thua Thien Hue Province) within the Annamite Range, central Vietnam (Fig. 3). The new species grows on moist shady cliffs on the humus-rich limestone hills and moist rocks under evergreen broad-leaved forests, at elevations of 360–650 m.

**Proposed IUCN conservation status.** Two large-sized populations of *Oreocharis phuongii* were found in the core-zones of Dakrong Nature Reserve, Quang Tri Province and Bach Ma National Park, Thua Thien Hue Province, central Vietnam, which are almost entirely covered by primary forest and are well protected. Furthermore, our field observations of these populations indicated that there are many healthy individuals and seedlings that regenerate in well-protected habitats and there is no immediate threat to the populations from human activities. Thus, the new species is probably not at risk in the near future. This species is preliminarily assessed as Least Concern (LC) according to the IUCN Categories and Criteria (IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee 2019).

**Notes.** In the size and shape of the corolla and the structure of inflorescences, *O. phuongii* is similar to some species with the acaulescent and rosette-forming stems of the formerly circumscribed *Briggsia*, which previously comprised ca. 30 species and four varieties and was mainly distributed in Bhutan, China, India, Myanmar and Vietnam (Wang et al. 1990, 1998; Vu 2018). Nineteen species and four varieties of acaulescent, rosette forming *Briggsia* (Craib 1920; Pan 1988) were moved to *Oreocharis* s.l. in a later revision (Möller et al. 2011, 2014). Of which, *O. phuongii* is most similar to *O. longifolia* (Craib) Mich. Möller & A. Weber in having peduncles up to 22 cm long, bracts 2, zygomorphic, yellow flowers with tubular corolla, stamen 4 with two pairs of coherent anthers and capsules up to 6 cm long, but it clearly differs from the latter in the shape of leaf blade, leaf base, leaf apex, leaf margin, number of flowers, shape and size of calyx lobes, inner surface of corolla tube and inner surface of three lower corolla lobes. Detailed morphological comparisons of the new species with *O. longifolia* are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2.

This new species is the first record of the genus *Oreocharis* occurring in central Vietnam, which raised the species number of *Oreocharis* in Vietnam to nine. Amongst the nine known *Oreocharis* species from Vietnam, the new species shares the yellow to orange corolla with five other species: *O. aurea*, *O. argyrophylla*, *O. grandiflora*, *O. longituba* and *O. tribracteata* (Chen et al. 2017, 2018; Möller et al. 2018). However, it is clearly different from these five species by having a tubular corolla tube (vs. funnel to narrowly funnel corolla tube in *O. argyrophylla*, *O. grandiflora*, *O. longituba*, *O. tribracteata* and urceolate corolla tube in *O. aurea*). Jin et al. (2021) showed that *Oreocharis* s.l. could be separated into two clades: Clade A was mainly distributed in SW China and predominantly showed yellow to orange corollas; Clade B was mainly distributed in S and SE China and predominantly showed purple corollas, of which, Clade A includes ca. 20 species. In
order to facilitate identification, a key to five yellow to orange species of *Oreocharis* in Vietnam is provided.

**Additional specimen examined.** Vietnam. Quang Tri Province, Dakrong District, Dakrong Nature Reserve, on moist shady cliffs on the humus-rich limestone hills, 16°29'50.97"N, 107°00'09.25"E, 650 m alt., 18 Oct 2019, Do Van Truong DVT 362 (VNMN).

### A key to yellow to orange species of *Oreocharis* in Vietnam

1. Corolla tube urceolate, corolla less than 2.5 cm long; anthers free..................
   - Corolla tube funnel-shaped to tubular, corolla more than 2.5 cm long; anthers coherent in pairs......................................................... *Oreocharis aurea*

2. Corolla tube tubular, abruptly constricted near base .... *Oreocharis phuongii*
   - Corolla tube funnel-shaped to narrowly funnel-shaped....................... *Oreocharis tribracteata*

3. Calyx lobes divided to about 2/3 of their length; bracts 3 ............................
   - Calyx lobes free to base or almost so; bracts 2 ..................................... *Oreocharis longituba*

4. Leaf base cordate.................................................. *Oreocharis longituba*  
   - Leaf base cuneate to nearly rounded..............................................

5. Petiole less than 3 cm long; leaf margin crenate; corolla 3.3–3.6 cm long, deep orange ................................................................. *Oreocharis grandiflora*
   - Petiole 4–9 cm long; leaf margin serrulate towards apex; corolla 2.5–3.1 cm long, yellow .................................................. *Oreocharis argyrophylla*
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Abstract

Orobanche ingens is an endemic species from the Caucasus, especially the Greater Caucasus, parasitising on large Apiaceae (usually Heracleum). This species was misclassified over the years and little was known about its range and habitats. Here, we clarify the typification, as well as provide notes about the taxonomy of this species. Additionally, we presented distribution, habit and host range of O. ingens and morphological features that distinguish it from similar species.

Keywords

Caucasus, distribution range, Heracleum, holoparasitic Orobanchaceae, host, Orobanche, typification clarification
Introduction

This taxon was described by Beck (1922) on the basis of a part of the materials collected by Th. Alexeenko in Kasi-Kumukh (Dagestan). These materials are preserved on three herbarium sheets in LE. These three sheets contain original labels, two of them equal and printed in blue ink by Alexeenko (LE01015385 and LE01015386) and the third handwritten, probably by Beck (LE01015387); furthermore, the three labels have two of Beck’s manuscript numbers: 10524 (LE01015386) and 10544 (LE01015385 and LE01015387). Beck considered the most typical material (today with the barcode LE01015386) as a robust form (f. ingens) of O. alba Stephan ex Willd.; remaining specimens, collected at the same place and on the same date (today with the barcodes LE01015385 and LE01015387) and with the number 10544 on their main labels, were determined by him as f. bidentata of O. alba. Beck seemed to see transitional forms between the specimens of Alexeenko’s gathering, while in our opinion, they are all similar and correspond to the same species (O. ingens (Beck) Tzvelev). Later, Beck (1930) included his f. ingens within the taxonomically elevated var. bidentata [O. alba var. bidentata f. ingens Beck], presumably based on the morphology of the calyx segments (deep and long bidentate). Novopokrovsky, in 1947, in his herbarium reviews (‘Notae criticae’), considered this species closely related to O. crenata Forssk.; on a herbarium label, he even came to subordinate the form described by Beck to O. crenata.

Years later, Tzvelev (1957 and 1958 [in Novopokrovsky and Tzvelev 1958]), supposedly based on the material deposited in LE and collected in the Greater Caucasus (cf., for example, LE s.n. – Blyumental and Karpova 1946 n. 139), published O. alsatica var. heraclei Tzvelev. Tzvelev did not directly or indirectly mention f. ingens described by Beck and, furthermore, indicated that his new variety can be found in Azerbaijan extending its distribution range to the Greater Caucasus. Finally, on the basis of the same gathering of Alexeenko used by Beck (1922), Tzvelev (1990) raised the taxon described by Beck (1922) to the rank of species, indicating its correct basionym, but continued to point out its relationship with the O. alsatica aggregate.

Tzvelev (1990: 182; 2015: 211) indicated the sheet of Th. Alexeenko (LE s.n.; nowadays as LE01015386) as the ‘Typus’ of f. ingens with the reference to the number ‘10524’ (today in the scan of the LE herbarium covered by a detached flower). This sheet (with a single uniform gathering) is the lectotype (Turland et al. 2018: Art. 9.3). Although Beck did not indicate a single sheet, of the three sheets collected by Alexeenko from this location and available at present in LE, only one was used by Beck to describe his new form (Turland et al. 2018: Art. 9.4, ‘original material’). This sheet, on the original label of Alexeenko, has, in the manuscript by Beck, in black ink, the number ‘10524’ and the annotation ‘Heracleum parasitica’. In addition, it contains another label manuscript by Beck, the description of his new form. This description is very similar to that published by Beck (1922, for example, ‘Floribus ... 27–28 mm longi’), almost literally copied by himself in 1930. The other two sheets (LE01015385 and LE01015387), which contain Tzvelev’s (1988) review label as ‘Isotypus’, are not really isotypes because they are not a part of the original material used by Beck (cf. the
**Clarification and history of the typification**

Figs 1, 2


Figure 1. Lectotype of *Orobanche ingens* (LE01015386) (http://re.herbariumle.ru/01015386).


**Distribution, ecology and taxonomic problems**

**General distribution.** Caucasus, mainly Greater Caucasus range, Russia (Krasnodar Krai, Karachay-Cherkessia, North Ossetia, Dagestan) and Georgia. Needs confirmation in Azerbaijan. Endemic to the Caucasus (Fig. 3).

Figure 2. Additional non-type material of *Orobanche ingens* (LE01015385, LE01015387) (http://re.herbariumle.ru/01015385, http://re.herbariumle.ru/01015387).
Orobanche ingens – poorly known species of the Caucasus

Figure 2. Continued.

**Habitat.** In tall herbaceous habitats, mainly in subalpine meadows, pastures, edges of forests and shrubs, forest glades, near river valleys, as well as fallow lands, usually (1000) 2000–2300 (2500) m a.s.l.

In Georgia, in the locality on a subalpine glade, numerous pollinators of this species – workers of *Dolichovespula sylvestris* (Scop.) (Hymenoptera, Vespidae) – have been observed.

![Figure 3. Distribution of Orobanche ingens, triangle indicates locus classicus.](image-url)
Host. Parasitises Heracleum species (Apiaceae), such as H. leskovii Grossh., H. sosnowskyi Manden. and H. mantegazzianum Sommier & Levier. Probably also on other large Apiaceae species, such as Ligusticum alatum (M. Bieb.) Spreng. (= Cnidocarpa alata (M. Bieb.) Pimenov & Kljuykov), but this needs confirmation (observed by R. Piwowarczyk in Georgia, but root attachment was not verified).

Apart from O. ingens, only one Heracleum parasite is known – Phelipanche sevanensis Piwow., Ó. Sánchez & Moreno Mor., described from the slopes of Sevan lake in Armenia, where it parasitises H. trachyloma Fischer & Meyer (Piwowarczyk et al. 2017, 2019).

Phenology. Flowering (June) July–August, fruiting (July) August (September).

Note. At first, the species was mistakenly described as a form of O. alba (subsect. Glandulosae); next Tzvelev (1990, 2015) continued to indicate the relationship of O. ingens with O. alsatica aggr., especially with O. bartlingii Griseb. (subsect. Curvatae). However, according to morphological features (Figs 1, 2, 4, Table 1), it is far from the O. alsatica group and subsect. Curvatae, but belongs to the Minores/Speciosae subsection. In the Minores/Speciosae subsection, the corolla is usually tubular or campanulate, almost straight in the middle part, the pubescence of the corolla is usually a mixture of longer and stiff whitish hairs, the calyx segments are long, entire or bidentate, usually subulate or filiform at the tip. In contrast, in the subsect. Curvatae,

Figure 4. Orobanche ingens from Kiler in Dagestan A, B habitat and general habit C attachment with host root of Heracleum sosnowskyi D top of inflorescence E flowers in front view F flower in lateral view G flower in longitudinal-section. Phot. A. Fateryga.
### Table 1. Distinctive morphological characters and hosts of the studied species and its Caucasian relatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>O. bartlingii</em></th>
<th><em>O. ingens</em></th>
<th><em>O. owerinii</em></th>
<th><em>O. laxissima</em></th>
<th><em>O. minor</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflorescence</strong></td>
<td>cylindrical to ovate, ± dense, usually shorter than the rest of the stem</td>
<td>usually long, rarely short (small specimens), cylindrical, somewhat lax, usually many-flowered</td>
<td>usually short-cylindrical to ovate, ± lax, usually few-flowered</td>
<td>usually long, or less frequently short (small specimens), cylindrical, very lax, usually many-flowered</td>
<td>usually long, rarely short (small specimens), cylindrical, dense at the first of anthesis, becoming lax later, usually many-flowered (except small specimens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floral bract</strong></td>
<td>as long as the corolla tube, slightly longer than the corolla</td>
<td>as long as the corolla or slightly longer or shorter than the corolla</td>
<td>as long as the corolla tube, slightly shorter than the corolla</td>
<td>as long as the corolla tube, slightly shorter than the corolla</td>
<td>as long as the corolla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calyx segments</strong></td>
<td>bidentate, teeth short, usually subulate at tip</td>
<td>deeply bidentate, teeth frequently filiform</td>
<td>segments entire or less frequently bidentate, narrowly subulate</td>
<td>usually entire or less frequently bidentate, rarely with 4 teeth, teeth narrowly subulate to filiform</td>
<td>bidentate or less frequently entire, teeth subulate to narrowly subulate but not filiform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corolla, length</strong></td>
<td>12‒18 mm</td>
<td>(20–)23‒24 (‒30) mm</td>
<td>15–20 (‒30) mm</td>
<td>(20)22–24 (‒25) mm</td>
<td>10–19 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corolla, form</strong></td>
<td>tubular</td>
<td>campanulate</td>
<td>campanulate-infundibuliform</td>
<td>tubular-infundibuliform</td>
<td>tubular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corolla, dorsal line</strong></td>
<td>evenly curved at the proximal and distal part, almost straight at the middle</td>
<td>almost straight, except evenly curved at the proximal part and, sometimes, slightly bent forward at the distal part</td>
<td>almost straight, except evenly curved at the proximal part and, sometimes, slightly bent forward at the distal part</td>
<td>almost straight, except evenly curved at the proximal part and, sometimes, slightly bent forward at the distal part</td>
<td>evenly curved at the proximal part, almost straight at the middle and slightly bent forward at the distal part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corolla, colour</strong></td>
<td>yellow, brownish-yellow, pinkish ± tinged with purple</td>
<td>yellow, rarely violet, dark pink</td>
<td>pale violet to purple, rarely cream</td>
<td>purple, dark or light pink, rarely dirty yellow, light brown, sometimes with ± dark purplish veins</td>
<td>whitish or yellowish-white, more or less coloured purple, with dark purplish veins, rarely entirely yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filaments</strong></td>
<td>inserted 1–3 mm above the corolla base; with long hairs at the basal half and sparsely glandular pubescent under the anthers</td>
<td>inserted 1 (–2) mm above the corolla base; with long hairs at the basal half and sparsely glandular pubescent (almost glabrous) under the anthers</td>
<td>inserted 3–4 mm above the corolla base; hair at the basal half (or third) and sparsely glandular pubescent under the anthers</td>
<td>inserted (2–)3–5 mm above the corolla base; hairy at the base and sparsely glandular pubescent under the anthers</td>
<td>inserted 2–3 (–5) mm above the corolla base, glabrous or sparsely hairy at the base and sparsely glandular pubescent under the anthers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stigma</strong></td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>mainly yellow or orange, rarely reddish, violet, dark pink</td>
<td>reddish to deep purple</td>
<td>dark pink, purple, violet, rarely orange, pale to dark yellow</td>
<td>pinkish, ± deep purple, rarely yellow or whitish</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Host** | Apiaceae ([Seseli](https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seseli)) | Apiaceae ([Heracleum](https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heracleum)) | Fabaceae ([Trifolium](https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trifolium) and *Vicia*) and rarely Asteraceae ([Leontodon](https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leontodon) and *Lactuca*) | Fabaceae, Asteraceae, Apiaceae, etc. (e.g., *Trifolium*, *Medicago*, *Hypochaeris*, *Leontodon*, *G nondrella*, *Daucus*) | Fabaceae, Asteraceae, Apiaceae, etc."
the corolla is usually tubular-infundibuliform or ± broadly tubular, the dorsal line of the corolla is evenly curved over its entire length, the pubescence is rarely a mixture of whitish hairs. Molecular studies do not indicate the validity of dividing the species into the subsect. *Speciosae* because the species included here are both morphologically and genetically very similar to those of the subsect. *Minores* (Piwowarczyk et al. 2021). It is worth emphasising that individuals of *O. ingens* are usually very tall, over 60 to 100 cm, with corolla (20–) 23–24 (–30) cm long, usually with a mixture of longer and stiff whitish hairs (Fig. 4F; without dark glandular hairs which are characteristic for the subsect. *Glandulosae*) and both the corolla and stem can be very variable in colour, even within one population, from yellow to pink and purple; additionally, the colour of the stigma can be variable, from yellow through to orange, pink to purple (Fig. 4 and photos from Plantarium.ru and iNaturalist.org, links above).
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Abstract

Townsendia lemhiensis (Asteraceae) is described from the Lemhi Valley of east-central Idaho. From a genus with weak intrinsic isolating barriers, T. lemhiensis remains distinct apparently due to apomixis and to its isolation and habitat specialization on spatially limited occurrences of ashy white soils in the Lemhi Valley. Despite similarities to T. spathulata, this new species differs in its persistent pappus, fewer series of phyllaries and sericeous rather than long woolly hairs.
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Introduction

Townsendia Hook. includes about 29 recognized species distributed predominantly throughout the Rocky Mountain and Great Basin regions of western North America (Larsen 1927; Beaman 1957; Shultz and Holmgren 1980). Species of Townsendia are generally characterized by showy inflorescences nestled in a dense rosette of leaves or on short stems; and by a pappus of short bristles. Many species also have strong

**Methods**

**Field methods**

Specimens were initially collected during cursory exploration by Curtis Björk on May 20th, 2012. Curtis Björk further sampled the area in 2013, and two additional populations were found. In 2018, Chris Lee and Jeannette Whitton used localities provided by Curtis Björk to collect additional samples. We also used satellite imagery of nearby areas to identify potential habitat for *T. lemhiensis*, and these areas were targeted for additional surveying. Several voucher specimens were taken during each site visit, and dried between newspaper and cushioned cardboard in a plant press. Characters were measured directly from herbarium specimens. Herbaria acronyms follow Index Herbariorum (Thiers 2016).

**Pollen counts**

We removed 2–3 florets from individual plants and placed them in a 200 µL microtube. After adding approximately 20 µL of lactophenol blue stain to each tube, we vortexed tubes for 20–30 seconds to release pollen into suspension. Slides were prepared using the resulting suspension, and viewed under a standard light microscope. This procedure was repeated multiple times on various specimens but yielded no pollen grains, thus no pollen counts or measurements could be completed. We later examined disk florets from individuals of all known populations under a dissecting microscope, and found that stamens were abortive and evidently non-functional; no anthers were observed.

**Taxonomic treatment**

*Townsendia lemhiensis* C.Lee, Björk & Whitton, sp. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296133-1

**Holotype.** Björk 29248 (UBC V252324) 20 May 2012. USA, Idaho, Lemhi County, 18 Mile Wilderness Area, ca. 24 km SSE of Leadore, 44.44806°N, 113.17222°W, on dry ashy white soil on slope (7368 ft) in sagebrush steppe (Fig. 1A). **Paratypes:** Björk 30627 (UBC V252325) 14 May 2013. USA, Idaho, Lemhi County, 18 Mile Wilderness Area, on a ridge crest near the middle road, 44.46707°N, 113.22570°W, on ashy
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white slope, sparsely vegetated, soil derived from tuff conglomerates, in upper elevation (6968 ft) sagebrush steppe in intermontane valley; Björk 30772 (UBC V252326) 21 May 2013. USA, Idaho, Lemhi County, 18 Mile Wilderness Area, south of McFarland Road, 44.48000°N, 113.20333°W, on ashy white flats and slopes, sparsely vegetated, in upper elevation (6857 ft) sagebrush steppe in intermontane valley; Whitton 252 (UBC V252322) 13 May 2018. USA, Idaho, Lemhi County, 18 Mile Wilderness Area, 44.47293°N, 113.20670°W, on open hillside (7355 ft) with sparse sagebrush and antelope brush on grey-brown rocky substrate; Whitton 256 (UBC V252323) 14 May 2018. USA, Idaho, Lemhi County, 18 Mile Wilderness Area, 44.44530°N, 113.17541°W, on exposed rocky slope (7371 ft), in areas of grey-white substrate, with bunchgrass, and antelope brush.

Ab Townsendia spathulata pappi ad maturitatem persistens, series phyllariorum pauciores, pilis caulis sericeus non villosus, folia non carnosa differt.

Description. Plants perennial, 25–30 mm tall, rosette forming, rosettes 8–17 mm wide, solitary or (more often) arising from a few-branched caudex; stems essentially absent, or when visible, then villosulous; leaves 4–14 × 2 mm, narrowly obovate to narrowly elliptic-oblong, silvery, moderately sericeous but not villous, apex acute; capitula one per fertile rosette, sessile or nearly so; involucre campanulate, 9–10 mm
wide, phyllaries appressed, narrowly elliptic-lanceolate, 6–7 × 1 mm, graduated, in 2–3 series, reddish brown, sericeous, apex acute to acuminate; ray florets 15–24, pistillate; ray corollas true ray, 7–8 mm long, surpassing pappus bristles, light brownish pink or whitish and tipped in pink, slightly darker abaxially, aglandular; disc florets 24–30, functionally pistillate; disc corollas tubular, 4 mm long, shortly surpassed by the pappus bristles, yellow, aglandular; stamens reduced to strap-like staminodes, anthers and pollen absent; cypselae 3 × 1 mm, oblanceolate and compressed, with glochidiate hairs; pappus bristles 23–26 in disc florets, 14–20 in ray florets, 6–7 mm long, white, barbellate, persistent. (Figs 1B–D, 2A–F).

**Etymology.** *Townsendia lemhiensis* is named after the Lemhi Valley, Idaho, where individuals of this species were first noticed by Curtis Björk.

**Distribution and habitat.** *Townsendia lemhiensis* is known only from the Lemhi Valley in east-central Idaho, which is situated within a region known for its numerous geographically endemic plants (Moseley and Conley 1989; Moseley et al. 1990; Ertter and Moseley 1992). The species has been found in three populations, within an area of 4.9 km².

*Townsendia lemhiensis* grows on ashy white slopes of eroded rhyolite tuff. These slopes of powdery soils and friable rock are sparsely vegetated, forming edaphic islands of open ground within a more densely vegetated surrounding matrix of sagebrush steppe. Numerous other plants having narrow geographical ranges occupy similar ashy slopes elsewhere in dry interior regions of western North America (Grimes 1984; Reveal and Björk 2004; Brown and Mansfield 2017). The Lemhi Valley is lined on the east and west by alpine ridges, and the valley floor sits at a high elevation, making it cooler than the sagebrush steppes both further south on the adjacent Snake River Plains and further north in the Salmon-Challis Valleys region.


**Phenology.** We observed *T. lemhiensis* in flower in mid-May over three years (2012, 2013, 2018). At this time, some individuals had some capitula in bud, and others had mature seeds or open flowers. On this basis, we describe flowering as likely occurring throughout May, and seedset through late May or possibly into June. Further studies are needed to document the timing of bud formation, and potential variation in flowering and fruiting phenology. Another early-flowering species, *Townsendia hookeri*, co-occurs with *T. lemhiensis*, and has been observed to set buds in fall that open soon after snow melt (Lee and Whitton, personal observation). Given the early flowering
of *Townsendia lemhiensis* and the presence of snow patches persisting in surrounding areas, we suspect fall bud set may also occur in this species. Co-occurring species of *Townsendia* were found on site in bud (*T. parryi*) and late-bud (*T. leptotes* and *T. hookeri*).

**Discussion**

*Townsendia lemhiensis* is most similar in morphology to *T. spathulata* Nutt., which shares a generally hairy appearance. However, *T. lemhiensis* is morphologically distinct because its leaves are narrowly oblanceolate with short dense pubescence, instead of the fleshy, spathulate leaves covered in long, tangled hairs in *T. spathulata*. Also, the cypselae of *T. lemhiensis* have persistent pappi rather than the rare characteristic (in *Townsendia*) of deciduous pappi found only in *T. spathulata*, *T. microcephala* and *T. condensata* (Beaman 1957; Dorn 1992). Its capitulum is protected by fewer phyllary series (2–3), rather than 3–4 phyllary series found in *T. spathulata*.

*Townsendia lemhiensis* is morphologically distinct from the seven other species of *Townsendia* known from this region. We include *T. spathulata* here, because of its similarity to *T. lemhiensis*, and because it has been documented in the Beaverhead range overlooking the Lemhi Valley, Idaho (>9000 ft) at the border with Montana (Fig. 3). The seven species of *Townsendia* in Idaho and adjacent Montana can be distinguished using the taxonomic key below. Four of these species tend to occur at higher elevations (*T. condensata*, *T. leptotes*, *T. spathulata* and *T. montana*), while *T. florifera* is often at lower elevations than *T. lemhiensis*, and is associated with basalt. We found *T. parryi*, *T. leptotes* and *T. hookeri* co-occurring with *T. lemhiensis*, but these species were in bud, while *Townsendia lemhiensis* was in full flower. The nearby locality of *T. spathulata* is

---

**Figure 2.** A capitulum B outer involucral bract C inner involucral bract D ray floret E disc floret F mature disc cypsela. Photos by Spencer Goyette (UBC Herbarium).
somewhat unusual; more typically, *T. spathulata* inhabits the semi-arid plains of Wyoming and Montana along the eastern side of the Great Divide.

Although many *Townsendia* species occupy distinct geographical ranges and possess a multitude of unique character traits, Beaman (1957) describes them as lacking strong (intrinsic) genetic isolating barriers, based on crossing studies in the greenhouse, and on observed patterns of morphological intergradation in nature (Beaman 1954). While interbreeding is sometimes possible in cultivation, and likely occurs in nature, geographic isolation, phenological differences, and habitat differentiation, especially edaphic specialization, contribute to maintaining species boundaries in this genus. The species recognized by Beaman all include sexually reproducing populations, but apomictic populations also occur in a number of *Townsendia* species (Beaman 1954, 1957). Sexual populations are diploid and outcrossing, while known apomicts are triploid or tetraploid (Beaman 1954). Sexual and apomictic forms typically have distinct (sometimes overlapping) distributions, and provide classic examples of geographical parthenogenesis (Bierzychudek 1985). Apomixis also contributes to maintaining species boundaries, because hybridization involving apomicts is not likely. As a result, hybrids will not necessarily be produced, even where multiple *Townsendia* species co-occur.
Although direct genetic or experimental evidence of apomixis is not available for *T. lemhiensis*, no pollen was detected on florets sampled from our collections, which strongly suggests that these populations are apomictic. As a result, despite the physical proximity of *T. lemhiensis* to *T. hookeri*, *T. leptotes*, and *T. parryi*, hybridization is unlikely given that in this region, all four species are likely apomicts (Beaman 1957; Thompson and Whitton 2006). We note that while it is uncommon for species in *Townsendia* to be recognized based solely on apomictic populations, to date, apomicts have generally fit within the boundaries of morphological descriptions of known sexual populations. In this case, *T. lemhiensis* has no known sexual populations; whether these are undiscovered or extinct, or whether *T. lemhiensis* is of hybrid origin is not known.

The first author examined the majority of *Townsendia* specimens from UBC, UAC, SASK, RM, CS, and UNLV from 2008–2014, and the second author examined all Asteraceae at ID in 2002, and did not find any specimens of *Townsendia lemhiensis*. Additionally, from 2008–2014, the first author undertook targeted searches for all species of *Townsendia* throughout their range in WA, ID, MT, WY, CO, NV, NM and CA, and no similar populations were encountered.

Despite the second author’s searches in apparently suitable habitats throughout the Lemhi Valley and adjacent valleys in Idaho and Montana in the years 1999–2013, only the three reported populations have been located. Thus it appears that *T. lemhiensis* is a high priority for conservation. All known occurrences of *T. lemhiensis* are situated on public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management. Hence, the landscape surrounding this species is somewhat protected from threats, but such a small, rare species may still undergo population reduction from factors such as trampling by cattle or recreationists, invasive exotic plant species, or from climate change that could cause higher frequency and severity of drought and excessive heat events.

**Key to *Townsendia* of Idaho and adjacent Montana**

1a Lax-growing plants with elongated stems, many internodes > 2 mm long, plants often lacking sterile rosettes when flowering ..............................................2

2a Stems erect or sub-erect; longest phyllaries > 9 mm long ..............................................3

3a Rays purplish; longest phyllaries mostly > 10 mm long; stems erect, unbranched .............................................. *T. parryi*

3b Rays white or pink; longest phyllaries mostly < 10 mm long; stems sub-erect, often branched .............................................. *T. florifera*

2b Stems decumbent; longest phyllaries up to 9 mm long ..............................................4

4a Stems gray-white, obscured by dense hairs .............................................. *T. incana*

4b Stems lightly to moderately strigose .............................................. *T. strigosa*

1b Compact plants, stems scarcely elongated, internodes < 2 mm long; sterile rosettes commonly present ..............................................5

5a Plants with abundant spreading hairs ..............................................6

6a Pappus persistent; leaf hairs sericeous .............................................. *T. lemhiensis*
6b Pappus easily breaking away from the cypselae at maturity; leaf hairs villous.

7a Phyllaries > 40, the longest ones > 9 mm long, involucres > 12 mm long........

T. condensata

7b Phyllaries < 40, the longest ones < 9 mm long, involucres < 12 mm long........

T. spathulata

5b Plants with appressed hairs only, or spreading hairs few and inconspicuous ..

8a Phyllaries 2–5 × long as wide; rays purplish, often glandular abaxially ....... 9

8b Phyllaries at least 6 × long as wide; rays white to pink, glabrous............ 10

9a Phyllaries acute to acuminate; ray laminae 5–8 mm long........... T. leptotes

9b Phyllaries blunt; ray laminae 7–16 mm long...................... T. montana

10a Longest phyllaries > 12 mm long and > 1.5 mm wide; disc pappi 6.5–11+ mm long; leaf midvein apparent ........................................... T. exscapa

10b Longest phyllaries < 12 mm long and ca. 1 mm wide; disc pappi 4–6(-7.5) mm long; leaf midvein obscure ........................................... T. hookeri
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Abstract

Elsholtzia zhongyangii (Lamiaceae), a new species from Sichuan Province, China, is described and illustrated. The new species is morphologically similar to E. feddei f. feddei, but it can be easily distinguished from E. feddei f. feddei by smaller corolla (3.2–3.5 mm vs. 4.5–5.3 mm), bract indumentum (glabrous, except margin ciliate vs. villous, especially on veins abaxially, glabrous adaxially) and bract stalked (ca. 1.2 mm vs. sessile). Phylogenetic analyses, based on two nuclear ribosomal (ETS, ITS) and five plastid (rbcL, matK, trnL-F, ycf1, ycf1-rps15) regions, confirmed that the new species formed a monophyletic clade with robust support. The new species is currently known from western Sichuan.
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Introduction

*Elsholtzia* Willdenow (Lamiaceae) is a member of the tribe Elsholtzieae (Harley et al. 2004). The genus is characterised by verticillasters in continuous or interrupted spikes or capitula, compact spikes cylindric or secund, and two-lipped corolla (Huang 1977). *Elsholtzia* is mainly distributed in East Asia, with 35 species found in China (Huang 1977; Li and Hedge 1994; Harley et al. 2004; Pu et al. 2012; Xiang and Liu 2012). Many species of *Elsholtzia* are important plant resources used both as medicine and flavouring. More than 60% of the Chinese species have been widely used as traditional medicinal herbs, nectar source plants, vegetables and spices (Huang 1977; Li and Hedge 1994; Editorial Board of Zhong Hua Ben Cao 1999).

During our botanical expedition to Yajiang County, Sichuan Province in September 2012, we discovered an unknown species of *Elsholtzia*. It is similar to *Elsholtzia feddei* f. *feddei* in calyx (villous, with two long and three short teeth with spinescent apices) and leaf apices acute, but differs from the latter by its smaller corolla (3.2–3.5 mm vs. 4.5–5.3 mm), bract glabrous, with a ciliate margin (vs. villous, especially on veins abaxially, glabrous adaxially) and bract stalked (ca. 1.2 mm vs. sessile). After carefully checking specimens and literature, together with evidence from molecular phylogenetic analyses, based on combined nrDNA (ETS, ITS) and combined ptDNA (*rbcL*, *matK*, *trnL-F*, *ycf1*, *ycf1-rps15*) datasets, we demonstrated that it is, indeed, a new species which is described and illustrated here.

Materials and methods

Morphological study

The morphological characters were examined, based on the living plants and specimens. *Elsholtzia* specimens, collected from Sichuan, were checked in the Herbaria of CDBI, CDCM, CQNW, HITBC, HNWP, HZU, IBK, IBSC, KUN, NAS, PE, SM, SZ, TIE, WCSBG, WUK and WZUH (acronyms as in Thiers 2021).

Taxon sampling and molecular analyses

A total of 48 individuals of *E. zhongyangii* were collected from Yajiang County, Sichuan Province, China from September 2012 to December 2021 (Fig. 1). Voucher specimens were deposited in Wenzhou University (WZUH). The nuclear (ETS, ITS) and plastid (*rbcL*, *matK*, *trnL-F*, *ycf1*, *ycf1-rps15*) regions were used for reconstructing the phylogeny of the new species and its related taxa (Li et al. 2017). A total of 20 individuals, representing 16 species of *Elsholtzia*, were sampled, with *Elsholtzia densa* Benth. as the outgroup. The GenBank accession numbers are listed in Suppl. material 1. Most sequences were downloaded from GenBank, except for the new species, which was newly sequenced in the present study.
Two samples of the new species were sequenced using the genome skimming approach, then 14 sequences [each sample has two nrDNA (ETS, ITS) and five ptDNA ($rbcL$, $matK$, $trnL-F$, $yfe1$, $ycf1- rps15$) sequences as listed in Suppl. material 1] were mined for the phylogenetic analyses. Genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 20 mg of silica-gel-dried leaves using DNA Plantzol Reagent (Hangzhou Lifefeng Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For each species, genomic DNA ($m > 1 \mu g, c > 12.5 \text{ng/\mu l}$) was sheared (yielding < 800 bp fragments) and the quality of fragmentation was checked on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Preparation of short-insert (350 bp) paired-end libraries and sequencing were performed by the Beijing Genomics Institute (Shenzhen, China). These samples were pooled with others and run in a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq XTEN with a read length of 150 bp. For the two samples of the new species, we used the GetOrganelle pipeline (Jin et al. 2020) for de novo assembly of plant plastome and nuclear ribosomal RNA (ETS-18S-ITS1-5.8S-ITS2-26S-ETS). The nuclear (ETS, ITS) and chloroplast ($rbcL$, $matK$, $trnL-F$, $yfe1$, $ycf1- rps15$) segments were extracted from these assembled genomic sequences.

Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses were performed in IQtree v.1.6.12 (Nguyen et al. 2015). The best-fitting substitution models (GTR+F+G4 for nrDNA and TVM+F+G4 for ptDNA) were selected by ModelFinder (Chernomor et al. 2016; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). An ultrafast bootstrap (UFB) (Bui et al. 2013) of 1000 replications and the SH-aLRT

Figure 1. Distribution of *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* Pan Li & X.J. Jin. The red stars indicate the recorded localities of *E. zhongyangii*. 
test were used in the analysis to assess branch supports. Bayesian Inference analyses (BI) were conducted in MrBayes 3.2.6 online interface (Miller et al. 2010). MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander 2004) was used to determine the appropriate DNA substitution model using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the results indicated that GTR+G (both for nrDNA and ptDNA) is the best-fit model. Four (one cold and three hot) simultaneous Markov chains were run for one million generations with sampling every 1000th generation until the average deviation of split frequencies fell below 0.01. The posterior distribution of trees was summarised by the > 50% majority rule consensus tree after discarding the first 25% of samples as burn-in.

Incongruences amongst different datasets (combined ptDNA dataset, combined nrDNA dataset) were explored through visual comparison of tree topologies and support values. Hard incongruence was defined as BS ≥ 80% and/or PP ≥ 0.95 (Pelser et al. 2010).

Results

Morphological comparison

Detailed morphological comparisons between the new species and four other sympatric or morphologically similar taxa are summarised in Table 1. In morphology, the putative new species is most similar to Elsholtzia feddei f. feddei, sharing features, such as two long and three short calyx teeth with spinescent apices, white villous outside of the calyx, acute leaves apex, obtuse serrate leave margin and villous leaves. However, the new species differs from the latter by smaller corolla (3.2–3.5 mm), bract stalked (ca. 1.2 mm vs. sessile) and bract glabrous, except margin ciliate (Table 1, Figs 3–4). The other four species could be easily distinguished from the new species by their larger corolla (4.5–7 mm vs. 3.2–3.5 mm) and sessile bract (sessile vs. stalked ca. 1.2 mm) (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Molecular phylogenetics

The aligned sequences of nrDNA (ETS, ITS) and ptDNA (rbcL, matK, trnL-F, ycf1, ycf1-rps15) for phylogenetic analyses were 1,023 bp and 7,010 bp in length, respectively. Elsholtzia zhongyangii was recovered as a monophyletic clade in the two resulting phylogenetic trees obtained in this study (PP: 100, BS: 1, Fig. 2). In the ptDNA tree, E. zhongyangii formed a sister group to the E. argyi-E. splendens clade consisting of E. argyi, E. minima, E. hallasanensis, E. splendens 1, E. splendens 2 and E. saxatilis (PP: 100, BS: 1, Fig. 2). Elsholtzia zhongyangii and the E. argyi-E. splendens clade were sister to the E. feddei-E. strobilifera clade (PP: 95, BS: 1, Fig. 2). In the nrDNA tree, based on nrDNA, E. zhongyangii was sister to the E. feddei f. feddei-E. ciliata clade and the E. argyi-E. splendens clade, with E. strobilifera as one of the basal clades (Fig. 2).
**Table 1.** Morphological comparisons among *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* and its close relatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Characters</th>
<th><em>Elsholtzia zhongyangii</em></th>
<th><em>E. splendens</em></th>
<th><em>E. feddei f. robusta</em></th>
<th><em>E. feddei f. feddei</em></th>
<th><em>E. ciliata</em></th>
<th><em>E. souliei</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Tall</td>
<td>10–45 cm</td>
<td>30–50 cm</td>
<td>20–30 cm</td>
<td>10–20 cm</td>
<td>30–50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflorescence</td>
<td>Bract villous</td>
<td>Glabrous</td>
<td>Glabrous</td>
<td>Densely villous</td>
<td>Villous, especially on veins abaxially, glabrous adaxially</td>
<td>Subglabrous abaxially, glabrous adaxially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corolla size</td>
<td>3.2–3.5 mm</td>
<td>6–7 mm</td>
<td>4.6–5.0 mm</td>
<td>4.5–5.3 mm</td>
<td>ca. 4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calyx villous</td>
<td>White villous outside</td>
<td>White hispidulous</td>
<td>White villous outside</td>
<td>White villous outside</td>
<td>Pilese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calyx apices</td>
<td>Apex spinosec</td>
<td>Apex spinosec</td>
<td>Apex spinosec</td>
<td>Apex spinosec</td>
<td>Apex spinosec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calyx length</td>
<td>Two long and three short</td>
<td>Two long and three short</td>
<td>Two long and three short</td>
<td>Two long and three short</td>
<td>Two long and three short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bract stalked, ca. 1.2 mm</td>
<td>Sessile</td>
<td>Sessile</td>
<td>Sessile</td>
<td>Sessile</td>
<td>Sessile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Apices</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Acuminate</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Acuminate</td>
<td>Acuminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Margin</td>
<td>Obtuse serrate</td>
<td>Remotely serrate</td>
<td>Obtuse serrate</td>
<td>Obtuse serrate</td>
<td>Denticle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blade</td>
<td>Villous</td>
<td>Villous</td>
<td>Villous</td>
<td>Sparsely minutely hispid</td>
<td>Villous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visual comparison of the resulting topologies, based on supporting values, revealed well-supported discrepancies for the combined nrDNA (ITS, ETS) and combined ptDNA (*rbcL*, *matK*, *trnL-F*, *ycf1*, *ycf1-rps15*) datasets. Two trees received strong support, but with conflicting tree topologies. The *E. feddei-E. ciliata* clade was sister to *E. strobilifera* in the ptDNA tree (PP: 99, BS: 1, Fig. 2), but was sister to *E. argyi-E. splendens* clade in the nrDNA phylogeny (PP: -, BS: 0.89, Fig. 2). Due to the discrepancies between the ptDNA and nrDNA datasets, we did not combine the two matrices to further reconstruct the phylogeny.

**Figure 2.** The Maximum Likelihood tree, based on the combined ptDNA (*ycf1*, *ycf1-rps15*, *rbcL*, *trnL-F*, *matK*) and nrDNA (ITS, ETS) dataset without gap coding. Support values displayed on the branches follow the order ML-BS/BI-PP (“-” indicates support values of less than 75% or less than 0.85).
**Taxonomic treatment**

*Elsholtzia zhongyangii* P. Li & X.J. Jin, sp. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296134-1

**Type.** China. Sichuan: Yajiang County, Agakeyong, 30˚2.54’N, 100˚15.01’E, 3237 m a.s.l., 18 Sep 2018, Pan Li LP185940 (holotype, ZM; isotypes CSH, CDBI, HZU, KUN, PE, SZ, WZUH). (Fig. 3 and Fig. 5)

**Diagnosis.** *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* is most similar to *E. feddei* f. *feddei* morphologically in having calyx villous, spinescent calyx apex, two long and three short calyces and acute leaf apices, but differs from the latter by its smaller corolla (3.2–3.5 mm vs. 4.5–5.3 mm), bract stalked (ca. 1.2 mm vs. sessile) and bract glabrous, except margin ciliate (vs. villous, especially on veins abaxially, glabrous adaxially).

**Description.** Annual herbs, erect. Stems 10–45 cm tall, tawny purple; pilose or pilulose, multi-branched above base, branch apex with inflorescence, internode 0.5–4.8 cm long. Leaves ovate triangular, ovate oblong to oblong lanceolate or lanceolate, 0.7–3.6 cm long and 0.3–1.7 cm wide, apex acute, base broadly or narrowly cuneate, extending to petiole, with dense glandular. Leaves villous adaxially, villous especially on veins abaxially. Leaf margin serrate, obtuse, occasionally acute, serrate margin usually purple. Inflorescence a terminal spike, secund, ca. 0.9–7.9 cm, flowers 8–14 at each node of the inflorescence (a pair of cymes); bracts subcircular to broadly ovate, ca. 1.2–3.2 × 1.1–4.5 mm, caudate cuspidate, apex ca. 0.7–2.1 mm, glabrous, except margin ciliate, with dense glandular, dark magenta at maturity, bract stalked, ca. 1.2 mm. Pedicel ca. 2 mm long, enlarged at apex, glabrous. Calyx ca. 2.0–3.2 mm, teeth 5, teeth triangular, two long and three short, apex spinescent, white hirsute, margin ciliate. Corolla deep purple, 3.2–3.5 mm, slightly incurved, narrowly funnel-shaped, with hairs transparent and purple interlaced, villous outside, pilose annulate inside, the base of the corolla tube is about 0.5 mm wide, widening upwards, throat about 1.2 mm wide, upper lip emarginated; middle lobe of lower lip semicircular, lateral lobes subcircular, shorter than middle lobe. Stamens 4, protruding from corolla, the anterior longer than the posterior, filaments are glabrous, anthers purple-black. Style longer than stamens at maturity, exserted, 2-cleft, with lobe equal, linear. Ovary 4-cleft; disc persistent, 1 nectary rises on the edge of the ovary. Nutlets 4, brown, oblong, ca. 1.1 mm long, 0.7 mm in diameter, surface becoming mucilaginous when wetted.

**Phenology.** Flowering and fruiting from September to December.

**Chinese name.** Zhong-yang-xiang-ru (钟扬香薷).

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is named in memory of Prof. Yang Zhong, a Chinese botanist who was dedicated to botanical research and education in Xizang (Tibet), China.

**Distribution, ecology and habitat.** – Endemic to China, Sichuan Province, Yajiang County (Wolongsi, Weidi, Agakeyong, Jialulongba and Zhusang), Litang County (Wenquan), Ganzi County, Dege County (Ezhi), Luhuo County (Zhuwo) and Batang County. Growing in grassland on mountain slopes or even along the road, at an elevation of 3000–4000 m.
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Figure 3. *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* sp. nov. A blooming plant B front view of inflorescence C dorsal view of inflorescence D side view of inflorescence E cyme F bract G flower H side view of flower I front part of flower J villous outside corolla K dissected corolla L pilose annulate inside M ovary 4-cleft, 1 nectary rises on the edge of the ovary N outside of calyx O nutlet (with mucilage) P adaxial surface of leaf Q abaxial surface of leaf. Photos by Xin-Jie Jin & Pan Li.
Figure 4. Four other sympatric or morphologically similar species A *Elsholtzia ciliata* B *Elsholtzia splendens* C *Elsholtzia feddei* f. *feddei* D *Elsholtzia feddei* f. *robusta*. Photos by Pan Li.
Figure 5. Illustration of *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* Pan Li & X.J. Jin. A the whole plant, B cyme, C bract, D outside of calyx, E flowers and villous outside corolla, F dissected corolla, G pilose annulate inside. Drawn by Xin-Jie Jin.
Conservation status. The known localities of *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* are not in protected areas. During our field surveys between September 2012 and December 2021, populations in Wolongsi, Weidi, Agakeyong, Jialulongba and Zhusang of Yajiang County were found. Specimen records show that it also occurs in Litang County (Wenquan), Ganzi County, Dege County (Ezhi), Luhuo County (Zhuwo) and Batang County. Taking into consideration that it was distributed along the roadsides like weeds, we believe that it should have a much wider distribution than what is now known. Due to its wide distribution range and large population size, *Elsholtzia zhongyangii* is here recommended as Least Concern (LC), according to the IUCN Categories (IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee 2019).

Additional specimens examined (paratypes). China. Sichuan: Yajiang County, Wolongsi, 30°2.54’N, 101°15.08’E, 3293 m a.s.l., 26 August 2015, Pan Li & Xinglv Xie LP150689 (PE, KUN, WZUH); Yajiang County, Weidi, 30°3.13’N, 101°12.66’E, 3028 m a.s.l., 18 September 2018, Pan Li LP185942 (PE, WZUH); Yajiang County, Jialulongba, 30°2.94’N, 101°18.42’E, 3760 m a.s.l., 26 August 2015, Pan Li & Xinglv Xie LP150686 (PE, KUN, CDBI, SZ, CSH, WZUH); Yajiang County, Zhusang, 19 September 2012, Pan Li PNLI20120191 (WZUH); Litang County, Wenquan, 4000 m a.s.l., 11 September 1974, Y.Q. He & H.J. Wang 8281 (WUK); Ganzi County, 10 September 1951, W.G. Hu 13099 (WUK); Dege County, Ezhi, 1900 m a.s.l., 31 August 1979, Dege team 0685 (SM); Luhuo County, Zhuwo, 3700 m a.s.l., 2 August 1974, Sichuan vegetation team 07693 (CDBI); Batang County, 3100 m a.s.l., 2 September 1973, Sichuan vegetation team 3971 (CDBI).

Discussion

*Elsholtzia zhongyangii* usually grows together with *E. ciliata* or other *Elsholtzia* species in grassland on mountainsides or even along roadsides, thus it must have been overlooked previously. We found that the plant is fragrant with dense glands. This species may be suitable as an aromatic plant, and thus it has the potential for development and application values.

There is one other *Elsholtzia* species with small corolla recorded in Sichuan, i.e. *E. souliei*. However, *E. souliei* is distantly related to the new species in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2) and the plant is much smaller (less than 10 cm tall).

Within the genus *Elsholtzia*, the taxonomy of the *E. splendens-E. ciliata* clade is the most problematic. For example, in the Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae, Huang (1977) divided *E. feddei* into four different forms, which looks very different from each other. However, Li and Hedge (1994) treated all the forms as synonyms of *E. feddei* in the Flora of China. A recent molecular phylogenetic study (Li et al. 2017) included two forms of *E. feddei* and showed that they did not cluster together, with *E. feddei f. robusta* sister to *E. souliei*. Besides, they also found that *E. splendens* from China and South Korea did not form a clade, with the Chinese *E. splendens* sister to a sympatric *E. saxatilis*, and the Korean *E. splendens* sister to *E. hallasanensis* (endemic to Jeju
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Our phylogenetic result (Fig. 2) is consistent with previous study. Together with the extremely variable morphology and controversial taxonomic treatments, these findings imply that the so-called “E. feddei” and “E. splendens” may contain some hidden diversity. Future studies based on comprehensive sampling and genomic data are needed to shed light on the systematics of this problematic group.
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Abstract

Actinostephanus, a new genus from southern China, is described and colorfully illustrated with a single species, A. enpingensis. This new genus is morphologically most similar to Boeica and Leptoboea, nevertheless, it can be easily distinguished from the latter two by the following characteristics, such as leaves in whorls of three, all closely clustered at the top; corolla bowl-shaped, 5-lobed, actinomorphic; capsule hard, oblong-ovoid, short, 3–4 mm long, densely appressed villous, wrapped by persistent densely pubescent calyx lobes, style persistent. The new genus and related genera were sequenced using the next-generation sequencing technique. The whole plastid genome of the new genus is 154, 315 – 154, 344 bp in length. We reconstructed phylogenetic trees using the dataset of 80 encoded protein genes of the whole plastid genome from 47 accessions based on ML and BI analyses. The result revealed that the new genus was recovering in a polytomy including Boeica,
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Rhynchotechum, and Leptoboea with strong support, congruent to the morphological evidence. A global conservation assessment was also performed and classifies A. enpingensis as Least Concern (LC). In addition, after a review of recently described species of Gesneriaceae, we propose that plant enthusiasts, especially Gesneriad fans, have been playing an increasingly important role in the process of new taxa-discoveries.
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Introduction

The family Gesneriaceae has been extensively studied since the 1970s in China. There have been 19 new genera from China discovered and published by Chinese taxonomists and botanical researchers before 2005, i.e., Allostigma W.T.Wang, Boeicopsis H.W.Li, Briggsiopsis K.Y.Pan, Buxiphyllum W.T.Wang & C.Z.Gao, Calcareoboea C.Y.Wu ex H.W.Li, Chiritopsis W.T.Wang, Didymostigma W.T. Wang, Deltcoheels W.T.Wang, Dolicholoma D.Fang & W.T.Wang, Gyrocheilos W.T.Wang, Gyrogyne W.T.Wang, Hemiboeoeopsis W.T.Wang, Metabriggsia W.T.Wang, Paralagarosolen Y.G.Wei, Pseudocharita W.T.Wang, Schistolobos W.T.Wang, Thamnocharis W.T.Wang, Tumidinodus H.W.Li, Wentsaiboea D.Fang & D.H.Qin (Wang 1981a, b; Li 1982, 1983; Wang 1983a, b, 1984a, b; Pan 1985; Fang and Qin 2004; Wei 2004). However, the confirmation of all the genera mentioned-above relied only on the significances of morphological characters. Some were subsequently canceled in the process of compiling Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae (Vol. 69) and Flora of China (Vol. 18) (Wang et al. 1990, Wang et al. 1998). Boeicopsis, Buxiphyllum, Schistolobos and Tumidinodus were treated as synonyms of Boeica Clarke, Paraboea (Clarke), Ridley, Opithandra Burtt, and Anna Pellegr, respectively (Wang et al. 1990, Wang et al. 1998; Li and Wang 2005). In addition, Opithandra was merged into Oreocharis Benth. (Möller et al. 2011).

Apart from morphological data, recognizing and describing new taxa with molecular evidence will result in a more robust and rational taxa (Fu et al. 2021). The first Chinese paper to mention the molecular method, estimated a molecular phylogeny of the previous subfamily Cyrtandroideae using two DNA regions (Wang and Li 1998). However, the Chinese described new taxa of Gesneriaceae in China using molecular evidence starting around 2010. The first one was a new genus, Litostigma Y.G.Wei, F.Wen & M.Möller. It was confirmed and published using classical taxonomy, palynology, and phylogenetic analysis (Wei et al. 2010). Since then, some redefined genera, such as Hemiboea Clarke (Weber et al. 2011a), Loxostigma Clarke (Möller et al. 2014), Oreocharis (Möller et al. 2011), Paraboea (Clarke) Ridley (Puglisi et al. 2011), Petrocodon Hance (Weber et al. 2011b), Primulina Hance (Weber et al. 2011c), etc., and newly divided or restored genera, for example, Glabrella Mich.Möller & W.H.Chen (Möller et al. 2014) and Bournea Oliv. (Chen et al. 2020), were confirmed by the molecular and morphological evidence.

In 2017, a plant enthusiast, Mr. Yi Huang, sent the authors some photos of a rare and distinct Gesneriaceae plant, and we considered it a new taxon but could not undertake further studies since no material was collected at that time. Coincidentally, in
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2019, one of the authors, Mr. Hui-Feng Wang, collected this species while undertaking a field trip in southern Guangdong, China.

After a careful morphological comparison, we could not place it into any genus of Gesneriaceae despite it sharing some similarities with Boeica or Leptoboea. To better understand the generic placement of this species, molecular phylogenetic analysis was also performed. After consulting the relevant literature (Wang et al. 1998; Li and Wang 2005; Wei et al. 2010; Wei 2018; Wen et al. 2019) and the molecular evidence, we concluded that this new species was assignable to a new genus, Actinostephanus gen. nov.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The only known location where this new species was found and collected was in the Qixingkeng provincial natural reserve, Enping, Guangdong. Two authors, Ms. Xiao-Yun Chen and Mr. Jun-Jie Liang, are staff at this natural reserve. They helped us get specific permission to enter the reserve and collect specimens. Our field studies did not involve any endangered or protected species. Further, special permits to conduct this research were not required.

Material collection

This new species/genus has been monitored in the field by staff from Qixingkeng provincial natural reserve and grown by the authors at the nursery of the Gesneriad Conservation Center of China (GCCCC) and National Gesneriaceae Germplasm Resources Bank of Guangxi Institute of Botany (GXIB) since the plants were collected. We also collected leaf materials of this proposed new species, using silica gel to dry them in the field for DNA extraction.

Genomic DNA extraction and sequencing

Leaf material for DNA extraction was dried using silica gel (Chase and Hills 1991). Genomic DNA was extracted using the CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle 1987). The total gDNA sample was sent to Majorbio (http://www.majorbio.com/, China) for library construction and next-generation sequencing. Short-insert (350 bp) paired-end read libraries preparation and 2 × 150 bp sequencing were performed on an Illumina (HiSeq4000) genome analyzer platform. Approximately 6 Gb of raw data for the new species was filtered using the FASTX-Toolkit to obtain high-quality clean data by removing adaptors and low-quality reads (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/download.html).

Whole plastid genome assembly and annotation

Clean reads were paired and imported in Geneious Prime (Kearse et al. 2012). For plastid genome assembly, the clean reads were mapped to published plastid genome
sequence (*Petrocodon jingxiensis*, Genbank accession number: NC_044477.1) as reference (Xin et al. 2019) using the Fine Tuning option in Geneious Prime (iterating set as 10 times) to exclude nuclear and mitochondrial reads. Then, de novo assembly was performed using Geneious Prime with a medium-low sensitivity setting to assemble the plastid genome sequence. The clean reads mapped the generated contigs using the Fine Tuning option in Geneious Prime (iterating set as 10 times) to fill gaps. Contigs could be concatenated using the Repeat Finder option implemented in Geneious Prime until a ~130 kb contig (including SSC, IR, and LSC) was built. The Inverted Repeat (IR) region was determined by the Repeat Finder option in Geneious Prime and was reverse copied to obtain the complete plastid genome. The annotation approach of the plastid genome was performed using CPGAVAS2 and PGA (Qu et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2019).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

To confirm the placement of this new plant, we reconstructed phylogenetic trees using the dataset of 80 encoded protein genes of the whole plastid genome. The new plant is morphologically similar to *Boeica* or *Leptoboea*, both of which belong to Subtr. Lepto-baeinae C.B.Clarke (Clarke 1883). Therefore, we sampled all genera within this subtribe except for *Championia* Gardner and representatives of other subtribes within the Gesneriaceae as in-group, and 11 species represented other families as out-group. Consequently, 11 accessions were newly generated, while 36 accessions were downloaded from NCBI. Sequences obtained from this study and their information are listed in Appendix I.

All gene sequences were extracted using the PhyloSuite v1.2.2 (Zhang et al. 2020) and aligned by MAFFT v7.4 (Katoh and Standley 2013). The aligned sequences were then concatenated with PhyloSuite v1.2.2 (Zhang et al. 2020). Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inferences (BI), respectively. For the BI tree, we employed MrBayes v3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) to obtain a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree. The parameters set as follows: nst = 6, rates = invgamma. Bayesian inference was performed with the concatenate sequence, using two million generations, two runs, four chains, a temperature of 0.001, and 25% trees were discarded as burn-in, and trees were sampled every 1,000 generations. Then, we used ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) to find the best fit model for ML analysis and further conducted the ML tree using IQ-TREE v2.1.2 (Nguyen et al. 2014) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Tree visualization was achieved in Figtree v1.4.3.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the complete plastid genome and ribosomal DNA**

The complete plastid genome of *Actinostephanus enpingensis* comprised 154,315 – 154,344 bp (Fig. 1). The characteristics and statistics of the plastid genome are summarized in Tables 1, 2.
Figure 1. Plastid genome map of *Actinostephanus enpingensis*. The thick lines on the outer complete circle identify the inverted repeat regions (IRa and IRb). The innermost track of the plastome shows the GC content. Genes on the outside and inside of the map are transcribed in clockwise and counter directions, respectively.

Table 1. Summary of plastid genome of *Actinostephanus enpingensis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th><em>Actinostephanus enpingensis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size (base pair, bp)</td>
<td>154,315-154,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC length (bp)</td>
<td>85,450-85,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC length (bp)</td>
<td>17,887-17,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR length (bp)</td>
<td>25,489-25,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of genes</td>
<td>111-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-coding genes</td>
<td>77-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA genes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA genes</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC GC%</td>
<td>35.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC GC%</td>
<td>31.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR GC%</td>
<td>43.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Molecular phylogenetic studies

BI and ML analyses of the dataset of 80 encoded protein genes of whole plastid genome resulted in the identical tree topologies that both indicate the three accessions of the new plant formed a strongly supported clade that was recovering in a polytomy including *Boeica*, *Rhynchotechum*, and *Leptoboea* in the clade of Subtr. Leptobaeeinae (posterior probability (PP) = 1, bootstrap support (BS) = 100%) (Fig. 2).

Ecology

Plants of the new taxon were primarily accessible in the Qixingkeng provincial natural reserve, growing on nearly vertical or steep slopes of montane yellow soil under tropical evergreen broad-leaved forest. Voucher specimens were made in the usual way (Bridson and Forman 1998) from some accessible plants that could be reached from the type locality. The conservation assessment was prepared following IUCN (2019).
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**Taxonomic treatment**

**Subfam. Didymocarpoideae**

*Actinostephanus* F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & L.F.Fu, gen. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296131-1

**Diagnosis.** *Actinostephanus* F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & L.F.Fu resembles two small genera, *Boeica* C.B.Clarke and *Leptoboea* Benth. according to the molecular evidence and some morphological data, but differs from the latter two by the following distinguishing characters: leaves in whorls of three, all closely clustered at the top; corolla bowl-shaped, 5-lobed, actinomorphic; capsule hard, oblong-ovoid, short, 3–4 mm long, densely

---

**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree of Gesneriaceae generated from maximum likelihood (ML) based on the dataset of whole-chloroplast protein-coding genes. Numbers on the branches indicate the bootstrap support (>70%) of the ML and the posterior probability (>0.8) of BI analyses.
appressed villous, wrapped by persistent densely pubescent calyx lobes, style persistent. The detailed distinguishing characters of this new genus and its congeners are listed in Table 1.

**Type and only known species.** *Actinostephanus enpingensis* F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & Z.B.Xin, sp. nov.

**Description.** Herbs, perennial, acaulescent, or forming elongated rhizome slightly fleshy growing after some years, rhizomes cylindrical, surface densely brown pubescent, fibrous root filiform, forming adventitious buds and plantlets in the middle or at the end of the fibrous root. Leaves all basal, whorls of three, sometimes opposite, all closely clustered at the top, forming a rosette, or clustered forming a rosette at the top of the rhizome after years of growth. Leaf-blades obovate elliptic, asymmetric, rarely symmetric, attenuate to base and base usually oblique, rarely aequilateral. Bracts 2. Calyx actinomorphic, 5-parted to the base. Corolla actinomorphic, bowl-shaped; tube very short, shallow bowl-shaped; limb quinquelobate, lobes equal. Stamens 4, separated, anthers dorsifixed, free, dehiscing longitudinally. Disc glabrous, margin crenulate. Ovary conical, stigma punctate. Capsule oblong-ovoid, appressed villous, wrapped by persistent calyx lobes, and the abaxial surfaces of calyx lobes covered densely pubescent. The number of seeds per capsule fewer. Seeds bigger, elliptic, both ends pointed.

**Etymology.** The genus name, “*Actinostephanus*”, consists of two parts, both derived from the Greek. The front part, “Actino-” is derived from ἀκτίς (aktís, “ray, beam”), means radiating; the latter half, “-stephanus”, is derived from Στέφανος (Stéphanos, “crown”), is also closely associated in ἄνθος (ánthos, “flower, blossom, bloom”), hints corolla. The combined Greek word-roots characterize the uncommon corolla characteristic of the new genus and species. The character of the corolla, in China’s Gesneriaceae, is rare. Only three species belonging to two genera were known to have actinoform corolla in China, namely *Bournea sinensis* Oliv., *B. leiophylla* (W.T.Wang) W.T.Wang & K.Y.Pan ex W.T.Wang and *Oreocharis esquirolii* H.Lév. before this new genus was discovered.

**Vernacular name of the new genus.** Chinese mandarin: Fú Guàn Jù Tái Shǔ (辐冠苣苔属).

**Distribution and habitat.** Endemic to Enping county, Guangdong province, China, under evergreen broadleaved forests in a montane mountain yellow soil area at 170–250 m altitude.

*Actinostephanus enpingensis* F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & Z.B.Xin sp. nov.

urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296132-1

Figs 3–4

**Type.** China. Guangdong province, Enping city, Naji town, Qixingkeng provincial natural reserve, growing on the cliffs or slopes of montane yellow soil and sandy loam near a stream. 22°11.1808’N, 112°5.841’E, ca. 153 m, *Chen Xiaoyun & Liang Junjie 210519-01* (holotype: IBK!, isotypes IBK!).

**Description.** Herbs, perennial, acaulescent, or forming elongated rhizome slightly fleshy after some years, rhizomes cylindrical, surfaces densely brown pubescent,
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5–15 mm long, 3–8 mm in diam., fibrous root filiform, 4–6 cm long, up to 10 cm, forming adventitious buds and plantlets in the middle or at the end of fibrous root. Leaves all basal, whorls of three, sometimes opposite, all closely clustered at top forming rosette, or clustered forming rosette at the top of rhizome after years of growth, (8)9–(16)18 or more, subsessile or shortly petiolate, short petiole cylindrical, 6–15 × 3.5–4.8 mm, densely brown villous. Leaf blades chartaceous to thickly herbaceous, thin chartaceous when dried, obovate elliptic, occasionally obovate lanceolate, greenery to green, dark green after a year of growth, 7.5–15.0 × 3.5–6.0 cm, asymmetric, rarely symmetric, attenuate to base and base usually oblique, rarely aequilateral, apex rounded, obtuse to subacute, margin numerous crenulate, adaxial surfaces of young leaf blades sparsely puberulent, subsequently gradually deciduous, adaxial surfaces of mature leaf blades nearly glabrous, but abaxial surfaces of young and mature leaf blades pubescent, covered by long and obvious strigose hairs along the main and lateral veins; venation alternate along main vein, lateral veins 7–9 on each side of the midrib, main and lateral veins on adaxial surface obviously sunken and on abaxial surface distinctly

Figure 3. Photos of Actinostephanus F. Wen, Y.G. Wei & L.F. Fu gen. nov. (A. enpingensis F. Wen, Y.G. Wei & Z.B. Xin sp. nov.), the individuals in natural habitat. A habitat B habit C plant in flowering D plant in fruiting.
prominent. Inflorescence dichasium, 4–8, axially, 8–14-flowered, rarely 4–5-flowered and occasionally more than 14, 1–2-branched; peduncle sturdier, 2.2–4.5 cm long, 1.0–1.5 mm in diam., brownish-green to brownish-red, densely upward short strigose, the hairs brownish red, occasionally pink to pinkish white. Bracts 2, brownish-green, opposite, linear-lanceolate, ca. 6.0 × 1.0 mm, adaxial surface appressed pubescent, abaxial surface puberulent, apex acute, margin entire; pedicel 4.0–9.0 mm long, ca. 1.0 mm in diam., pale brownish-green to green, pubescent. Calyx actinomorphic, 5-parted to the base, segments pinkishwhite, pale pinkish-purple to pale brownish-red, equal, lanceolate, 3.5–4.0 × 1.2–1.4 mm, apex acute, margin entire, abaxial surface puberulent, adaxial surface glabrous, but persistent in the fruiting period. Corolla pale purple to pale bluish-purple, actinomorphic, bowl-shaped, 4.0–5.0 mm long/high, 65–75 mm in diam., outside puberulent, inside nearly glabrous and sparsely very few glandular-puberulent; tube very short, 1.5–2.0 mm long/high, shallow bowl-shaped; limb quinquelobate, lobes equal, half elliptic, the major axis ca. 3.5 mm long, the minor axis ca. 1.2 mm long, apex cambered, margin revolute. Stamens 4, separated, filaments nearly slender cylinder, glabrous, two longer and two shorter, longer pairs ca. 1.5 mm long, shorter pairs ca. 1.0 mm long, the four adnate to the base of corolla tube, anthers dorsifixed, free, cordate, yellowish-brown to pale greenish-brown, ca. 1.0 mm height, ca. 1.0 mm across at the bottom of the cordate shape, dehiscing longitudinally, glabrous. Disc wax yellow, ca. 1.0 mm high, glabrous, smooth, margin crenulate. Pistil 4.5–4.8 mm long, ovary pale pink, conical, sparsely inconspicuously puberulent, ca. 0.9 mm long, ca. 1.0 mm across at bottom, style translucent to white, 3.8–4.0 mm long, stigma punctate, yellow. Capsule oblong-ovoid, 4.5–5.0 mm long, 1.2–1.3 mm across, appressed villous, wrapped by persistent calyx lobes and the abaxial surfaces of calyx lobes densely pubescent; capsule hard when mature, style usually persistent, rarely dehiscent, occasionally split into 4-valves. The number of seeds per capsule fewer than 100, only 50–80, the macroaxis of seeds bigger, ca. 0.5 × 0.3 mm, brownish-black, not appendant, elliptic, both ends pointed.

**Preliminary conservation status.** Based on the result of our joint field surveys in the type locality and adjacent regions, the EOO and AOO of *Actinostephanus enpingensis* are about 79.5 km² and 0.1 km², respectively. So far, only one population of this species has been recorded along the local stream in the Qixingkeng provincial natural reserve, Enping city, Guangdong province, southern China, but we believe that more *A. enpingensis* populations can be found in the hills of Enping and its adjacent counties. If that is the case, the Extent of Occurrence (EOO) and Area of Occupancy (AOO) of this species will increase. Because the flowers and leaves of this species are inconspicuous, and after learning from some local people that it has no known medicinal value we feel that this species faces little risk. Moreover, almost all of these plants are growing in the protected areas of this reserve so that the species are well protected. According to the Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria (IUCN 2019), we access this taxon as a Least Concern species (LC).
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Figure 4. Photos of Actinostephanus F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & L.F.Fu gen. nov., the individuals in natural habitat. A. enpingensis F.Wen, Y.G.Wei & Z.B.Xin sp. nov. A top view of plant B upward view of plant for showing root system C top view of bud at the end of root D upward view of bud at the end of root E adaxial surfaces of leaves F abaxial surfaces of leaves G cymes H lateral view of flower I posterior view of flower J frontal view of corolla K stamens and staminodes L abaxial surfaces of calyx lobes M pistil N infructescence O capsule and persistent calyx lobes P opened capsule Q cross-section of capsule R seeds.
Discussion

Our phylogenetic studies revealed that the new plant fell into Subtr. Leptoboeinae C.B.Clarke (PP = 1, BS = 100%). This subtribe belongs to Tribe Trichosporeae Nees, Subfamily Didymocarpoideae. At present, six genera have been included in this subtribe (Möller et al. 2017). Although their morphologies from different genera of this Subtribe are heterogeneous, several characters, such as the absence of large flowers, the inconspicuous to capitate stigma, straight but no-twisted fruits, and commonly 4-valved and dehiscent capsules or fleshy berries were concluded as common ones (Weber at al. 2013, Weber at al. 2020). The new plant is morphologically congruent with these characters that further indicate the monophyly of Subtr. Leptoboeinae. Within Subtr. Leptoboeinae, the new plant, was recovering in a polytomy including Boeica, Rhynchotechum, and Leptoboea. Two Boeica spp. are most closely related to Rhynchotechum, and both sisters to the type species of Boeica (B. furruginea) indicated that Boeica is not monophyletic. This relationship was congruent with previous studies (Yang et al. 2020). Expanding the sampling, and exploring key characters, is needed to re-define the Boeica. Despite this, the new genus is morphologically similar to these genera based on phyllotaxis and inflorescence cyme. However, it can be easily distinguished by corolla bowl-shaped, 5-lobed, actinomorphic, capsule densely appressed villous, wrapped by persistent densely pubescent calyx lobes and style persistent (Table 3). The most distinct characters of the new plant are its actinomorphic corolla, tiny fruit hard when mature, rarely dehiscent, occasionally split into 4-valves, style usually persistent, which are likely to be derived characters or autapomorphies. We, therefore, based on the molecular and morphological evidence, treat it as a new genus, namely, Actinostephanus.

The high levels of plant species diversity and endemism in southern and southwestern China are more and more renowned, especially in karst regions. Nevertheless, it is evident that the geographic accessibility of those mountainous areas (including townships, villages, and surrounding regions) has been hindered by terrible transport problems. It also seriously affected the understanding of plant diversity in South and Southwest China. But with the fast development of the Chinese economic and construction systems, more and more road construction projects are being carried out, forming a relatively completed road transportation system in China. Thus, there are more opportunities to discover many taxa new to science. The people have easy access to those places that were difficult to reach in past decades.

Not only do more and more taxonomists focus on the biodiversity of Gesneriaceae in China, but plant enthusiasts are also making an enormous contribution to help botanists discover rare and new Gesneriads. As previously mentioned, Mr. Yi Huang, a plant enthusiast, found the interesting Boeica-like species of Gesneriaceae in South China, and he offered this critical information to us. Thus, we will be more conscious of this uncertain species over the next few years. Therefore, we propose that plant enthusiasts, especially Gesneriad fans, are playing an increasingly important role in the process of new taxa-discoveries.

Several new species, for example, Primulina papillosa Z.B.Xin, W.C.Chou & F.Wen (Xin et al. 2021), P. purpureokylin F.Wen, Yi Huang & W.C.Chou, P. niveolanosa F.Wen, S.Li & W.C.Chou, P. persica F.Wen, Yi Huang & W.C.Chou (Li et al. 2019), were
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Table 3. Comparison of morphological characters of *Actinostephanus, Boeica* and *Leptoboea.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Actinostephanus</th>
<th>Boeica</th>
<th>Leptoboea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habit</td>
<td>perennial herb but acaulescent, or elongated rhizome slightly fleshy growing after some years</td>
<td>subshrub, or perennial herb</td>
<td>Subshrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Acaulescent</td>
<td>erect aerial stem, sometimes stolon, stem more or less lignified</td>
<td>erect aerial stem, lignified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolon and root system</td>
<td>no stolon, fibrous root filiform, at the end of root with ability for cloning</td>
<td>stolon or no stolon; roots no fecundity</td>
<td>no stolon; roots no fecundity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>whorls of three, all closely clustered at top</td>
<td>alternative</td>
<td>branches and leaves opposite, usually clustered at annual shoots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflorescence</td>
<td>cyme, corymbose, 1- or 2-branched; peduncle sturdier</td>
<td>cyme, multi-branched, occasionally no-branched; peduncle sturdier</td>
<td>cyme, corymbose, multi-branched; peduncle and pedicel slender similar to filiform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corolla</td>
<td>actinomorphic, bowl-shaped, limb and tube nearly isometric, lobes deflexed</td>
<td>campanulate, corolla tube shorter than limb; limb slightly bilabiate, 5-lobed, lobes equal or slightly unequal</td>
<td>campanulate, small; limb slightly bilabiate, 5-lobed, lobes nearly equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsule</td>
<td>oblong-ovoid, short, appressed villous, wrapped by persistent calyx lobes, and the calyx lobes also outside covered densely pubescent; hard when mature, style usually persistent, rarely dehiscing, occasionally split into 4-valves</td>
<td>linear, long, glabrous, apex pointed, style no persistent</td>
<td>long linear, long, glabrous, style no persistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>the number of seeds per capsule fewer, only 50–80</td>
<td>the number of seeds per capsule numerous, hundreds</td>
<td>the number of seeds per capsule numerous, hundreds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix I

The following is a list of used samples that are ordered alphabetically by taxon with their GenBank accession number of whole plastid genome sequences respectively. The samples with newly generated sequences are listed with the complete voucher information.

Actinostephanus enpingensis_B5 Qixingkeng Provincial Nature reserve, Enping City, Guangdong, WF210730-02, IBK!, OM176663; Actinostephanus enpingensis_B6 Qixingkeng Provincial Nature reserve, Enping City, Guangdong, FZY210502-01, IBK!, OM176664; Actinostephanus enpingensis_B7 Qixingkeng Provincial Nature reserve, Enping City, Guangdong, WF210730-01, IBK!, OM176665; Boeica baiense_G37 Liujuan village, Youjiang District, Baise City, Guangxi, WYG180520-05, IBK!, OM176669; Boeica ferruginea_G38 Pu Luong Nature Reserve, Thanh Hoa Province, Vietnam, WF21030328-01, IBK!, OM176670; Boeica multinervia_G39 Jingping County, WF190814-01, IBK!, OM176671; Beccarinda cordifolia_B4 Dulongjiang, Gongshan County, Yunnan, XZB2104, IBK!, OM176662; Leptoboea multiflora_B15 Kauai National Forest, Thailand, WF180508-05, IBK!, OM176668; Litostigma coriaceifolium_WF174 Maling Gorge, Xingyi City, Guizhou, FLF170420-01, IBK!, OM176672; Rhynchotechum nirijuliense_B9 Beibeng to Mihan, Medog County, Xizang, WF200910-06, IBK!, OM176666; Rhynchotechum vestitum_B10 Beibeng to Mihan, Medog County, Xizang, WF200910-22, IBK!, OM176667; Achimenes cettoana NC_050917; Achimenes erecta NC_051524.1; Catalpa fargesii NC_053866.1; Chiritra eburnea NC_036100.1; Corallodiscus flabellatus NC_050944.1; Doroceras hygrometricum NC_016468.1; Fraxinus hupehensis NC_052770.1; Haberlea rhodopensis NC_031852.1; Hemiboea ovalifolia NC_054358.1; Lysionotus pauciflorus NC_034660.1; Nicotiana alata NC_032724.1; Noronhia intermedia NC_042276.1; Oreocharist cotinifolia NC_053771.1; Oreocharist esquirelii MT612436.1; Oreocharist mileensis MK342624.1; Oxyxylum indicum NC_049086.1; Paulownia elongata NC_045085.1; Petrocodon jingxiensis NC_044477.1; Premna microphylla NC_026291.1; Primulina brachytricha var. magnibracteata MF177037.1; Primulina eburnea MF472011.1; Primulina huaijiensis NC_036413.1; Primulina liboensis NC_036101.1; Primulina linearifolia NC_036414.1; Primulina ophiopygoides NC_054175.1; Primulina tenuituba MW245830.1; Solanum dulcamara NC_035724.1; Stachys sylvatica NC_029824.1; Streptocarpus ionanthus subsp. grandifolius MN935471.1; Streptocarpus ionanthus subsp. grootii MN935469.1; Streptocarpus ionanthus subsp. orbicularis MN935470.1; Streptocarpus ionanthus subsp. rupicola MN935473.1; Streptocarpus ionanthus subsp. velutinus MN935472.1; Streptocarpus teitensis NC_037184.1; Syringa oblata MT872639.1; Verbena officinalis NC_056142.1.
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**Abstract**

The freshwater red algal order Thoreales has a triphasic life history, of which the “Chantransia” phase is a small filamentous sporophyte. The “Chantransia” stage is difficult to distinguish from species in the genus *Audouinella* by its morphological characteristics. In this study, five “Chantransia” isolates (GX41, GX81, GD224, GD225, GD228) were collected from Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and Guangdong Province in China. Based on morphological data, all five isolates were similar to *A. pygmaea*, whereas sequence data from the large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (*rbcL*) gene and the 5' region of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene (COI-5P) determined that these specimens represented the “Chantransia” stage of two species in the genus *Thorea* rather than *Audouinella*. Phylogenetic analyses of the concatenated genes supported the proposal of a new species, *T. baiyunensis*, and a new geographic record of *T. okadae*, a species previously described only in Japan. Therefore, combined with previous records, four species of this genus are now recognized in China, including *T. hispida*, *T. violacea*, *T. baiyunensis* and *T. okadae*.

**Keywords**
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Introduction

Currently, more than 7,000 red algal species are reported worldwide, of which freshwater species only account for 3%. In the Rhodophyta, only four orders (Balbianiales, Batrachospermales, Compsopogonales, and Thoreales) have all members that are strictly freshwater species. Among the freshwater red algae, the genus *Thorea* Bory was established by Bory de Saint-Vincent (1808), since when its taxonomic status has undergone numerous changes. Based on the pit plug structure, Pueschel and Cole (1982) removed the Thoreaceae and Batrachospermaceae from the Nemaliales and established the order Batrachospermales. However, molecular research showed that species in the Thoreaceae were distantly related to the other Batrachospermales taxa (Vis et al. 1998). Subsequently, based on DNA sequence data, secondary structure of the SSU gene and characteristics of the outer layer of the pit plug, Müller et al. (2002) established the Thoreales. Species of this order are characterized by having multiaxial gametophytes, a uniaxial “Chantransia” stage, and pit plugs with two cap layers, the outer one of which is usually plate-like.

As currently recognized, the Thoreales contain a single family Thoreaceae with two genera, *Thorea* and *Nemalionopsis*. The main difference between the genera *Thorea* and *Nemalionopsis* is that *Thorea* has assimilatory filaments that are not contained in a common gelatinous matrix with reproductive structures (carpogonia, spermatangia, carposporangia and monosporangia) at their base and a lower ratio of sporangial branch to assimilatory filament length and loose aggregation (Skuja 1934; Sheath and Cole 1993). In a recent review of *Thorea*, sixteen species were recognized worldwide (Johnston et al. 2018). However, only two species (*T. hispida* (Thore) Desvaux, *T. violacea* Bory) of this genus have been reported in China (Shi 2006). The type species of the genus, *T. hispida*, was widely distributed in China, while *T. violacea* was only reported in Guizhou Province (Xie and Shi 2003; Shi 2006). Asexual reproduction by monosporangia is commonly reported in *Thorea*, while sexual reproduction is observed in a few species, including *T. hispida*, *T. conturba* Entwisle & Foard, *T. okadae* Yamada, *T. bachmannii* C.Pujals ex R.G.Sheath, M.L.Vis & K.M.Cole, *T. kokosinga-pueschelii* E.T.Johnston & M.L.Vis, and *T. maitukitukii* E.T.Johnston, K.R.Dixon, J.A.West & M.L.Vis (Kumano 2002; Johnston et al. 2018). Among them, *T. okadae* is widely distributed in Japan (Yamada 1949; Johnston et al. 2018), but so far, this species has not been reported in other countries and regions. In addition, according to Kumano (2002), *T. okadae* is the largest species in the genus *Thorea*, and the length of its gametophyte often exceeds 1 m, and may reach 3 m.

Like other sexually reproductive species of freshwater red algae, *Thorea* species have a triphasic life history, including gametophyte, carposporophyte, and a diminutive diploid sporophyte termed “Chantransia” stage. Recently, several studies have focused on the phylogenetic affinities of the “Chantransia” stages of *Thorea* and the relationship between the isolates in this stage and a phylogenetically distant genus *Audouinella* Bory (Necchi and Oliveira 2011; Han et al. 2021). Based on these studies, new species were proposed, new distributions were found, and higher *Thorea* species diversity was
revealed (Johnston et al. 2018; Han et al. 2021). In terms of morphological characters, the “Chantransia” stages of the Thoreales are very similar to those of *Audouinella* taxa, although some studies have indicated that thallus color can be used as a reliable character (Zucchi and Necchi 2003) to distinguish true *Audouinella* (reddish) from “Chantransia” (bluish). However, “Chantransia” stages of the Thoreales can be brownish (Chiasson et al. 2007), and some species of *Sheathia* can be brownish to reddish in addition to bluish (Han et al. 2020). Thus, morphological characteristics that can unequivocally distinguish them have not been found (Pueschel et al. 2000; Chiasson et al. 2005). It was not until the emergence of molecular data that the “Chantransia” stage could be used for species identification. Recently, researchers have shown that some “Chantransia” isolates of *Thorea* were misclassified as *Audouinella* (Han 2012; Chen et al. 2014; Nan et al. 2016). Based on different gene markers, Han (2012), Chen et al. (2014) and Nan et al. (2016) proposed that *A. sinensis* C.-C. Jao and *A. heterospora* S.L. Xie & Y.J. Ling were “Chantransia” of *T. hispida* rather than belong to *Audouinella*. Besides, numerous surveys (Pueschel et al. 2000; Zucchi and Necchi 2003; Chiasson et al. 2005, 2007; Necchi and Oliveira 2011; Han et al. 2020) demonstrated that eleven species, including members of the Thoreales (*T. hispida* and *N. tortuosa* Yoneda & Yagi), were associated with “*A. pygmaea*”. Furthermore, the molecular phylogeny based on the *rbcL*, COI-5P and the plastid 23S rRNA (UPA) genes unequivocally demonstrated that a sample morphologically similar to *A. macrospora* (Wood) Sheath & Burkholder represented the “Chantransia” phase of *T. hispida* (Han et al. 2021).

It is therefore evident that the morphological difference between “Chantransia” stages and *Audouinella* is not clear. Relying solely on traditional morphological methods can often cause confusion in the classification and identification of *Audouinella*-like freshwater red algae. All samples in this study are “Chantransia” stages, meaning that key diagnostic morphological characters were unavailable. Therefore, the present work has attempted to use two molecular markers (*rbcL* and COI-5P) to infer the phylogenetic position of all isolates in this study. In addition, a secondary aim of this investigation is to provide reference for local resource survey of freshwater red algae biodiversity in China.

**Methods**

Five “Chantransia” specimens (GX41, GX81, GD224, GD225 and GD228) were collected from Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and Guangdong Province in China (Fig. 1, Table 1). Handheld meters (YSI Professional Plus Multiparameter Water Quality Instrument 19E102487, YSI Incorporated, Brannum Lane Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA) were used to measure water quality parameters, including water temperature and pH. Materials were picked up carefully using a knife and tweezers and transferred to the laboratory as soon as possible. After transfer to the laboratory, the samples were rinsed with sterile water to remove impurities. Other algae attached to the samples were carefully removed using tweezers and other tools. Then microscopic
examination was undertaken to ensure that all epiphytic algae were removed. Morphological and genetic analysis was performed for each sample. For observations and measurements, we used a BX-51 Olympus microscope equipped with a charge-coupled device (DP72; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Figure 1. Map showing approximate locations of samples investigated in this study. More detailed location information is provided in Table 1. The red circles and the green circles indicate the locations of *Thorea okadae* in China and Japan, respectively.

Table 1. Collection information and sequence accession numbers for taxa analyzed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Locality with longitude and latitude</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Voucher number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GX41</td>
<td>Baimo Cave, Bama County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China (24°18.03’N, 107°05.96’E)</td>
<td>22 December 2019</td>
<td>Kunpeng Fang</td>
<td>GX19041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX81</td>
<td>Tongling Great Falls, Jinxian County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China (23°43.10’N, 106°39.77’E)</td>
<td>23 December 2019</td>
<td>Kunpeng Fang</td>
<td>GX19081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD224</td>
<td>Baiyun Mountain, Guangzhou, Guangdong province, China (23°36.00’N, 113°49.53’E)</td>
<td>22 November 2020</td>
<td>Jinfen Han and Kunpeng Fang</td>
<td>GD20224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD225</td>
<td>Baiyun Mountain, Guangzhou, Guangdong province, China (23°36.00’N, 113°49.53’E)</td>
<td>22 November 2020</td>
<td>Jinfen Han and Kunpeng Fang</td>
<td>GD20225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD228</td>
<td>Baiyun Mountain, Guangzhou, Guangdong province, China (23°36.00’N, 113°49.53’E)</td>
<td>22 November 2020</td>
<td>Jinfen Han and Kunpeng Fang</td>
<td>GD20228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** GenBank accession numbers of *rbc*L and COI-5P sequences generated in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon Isolate</th>
<th><em>rbc</em>L accession No.</th>
<th>COI-5P accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Thorea okadae</em> GX41</td>
<td>MZ648088</td>
<td>MZ676778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. okadae</em> GX81</td>
<td>MZ648089</td>
<td>MZ676779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. baiyunensis</em> GD224</td>
<td>MZ648090</td>
<td>MZ676780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. baiyunensis</em> GD225</td>
<td>MZ648091</td>
<td>MZ676781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. baiyunensis</em> GD228</td>
<td>MZ648092</td>
<td>MZ676782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total DNA was extracted following the protocol originally described by Saunders (1993) and revised by Vis and Sheath (1997). Two molecular markers, *rbc*L and COI-5P, were amplified using the primers and protocols described by Vis et al. (1998) and Saunders (2005). The PCR products with their amplification primers were sent to BGI Tech Corporation (Beijing, China) for sequencing on an ABI 3730XL sequencer. Sequences generated in this study were submitted to the GenBank databases (Table 2). Additional related sequence data of the Thoreales order and outgroup taxa *Batrachospermum* were downloaded from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) (Suppl. material 3: Table S1). The 58 *rbc*L, and 47 COI-5P sequences were aligned by CLUSTAL-X 2.0 (Thompson et al. 1997) and MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011). Pairwise distance and the number of nucleotide variances for the taxa’s molecular markers were calculated in MEGA 5.0. For phylogenetic analyses, the appropriate models for the sequence evolution were determined by MODELTEST 3.7 (Posada and Buckley 2004). The parameters for the concatenated sequences (*rbc*L and COI-5P) maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were as follows: GTR+I+G model; gamma distribution=0.5411; proportion of invariant sites=1.1344; base frequencies A=0.3352, C=0.1247, G=0.1641, and T=0.3760; and rate matrix A–C=3.7852, A–G=9.4223, A–T=1.1874, C–G=0.6077, and C–T=20.8378. PHYML software (Felsenstein 1981; Guindon and Gascuel 2003) was utilized to construct the ML trees with 1,000 replicates of bootstrap analysis. Bayesian Inferences (B.I.) were performed in MRBAYES VERSION 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) and runs 5,000,000 generations sampling every 1,000 generations until the standard error was lower than 0.01. The resulting phylogenetic trees were edited using FIGTREE 1.3.1 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

**Results**

**Molecular analysis**

The *rbc*L data matrix included 56 specimens of Thoreales and 2 outgroup taxa, consisting of 1203 characters, of which 410 (34.08%) were variable and 380 (31.59%) were parsimony informative. The *rbc*L p-distance among the five “Chantransia” isolates and other specimens of order Thoreales (Suppl. material 4: Table S2) showed that divergences between the isolates (GX41 and GX81) collected from Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and five *T. okadae* specimens previous reported from Japan were 0%–1%, corresponding to 4–6-bp
differences, the average distance between these two samples (GX41 and GX81) and the other species of genus *Thorea* was 8.5%. These results supported the close relationship between the two isolates (GX41 and GX81) and *T. okadae* and further substantiated that GX41 and GX81 were the “Chantransia” of *T. okadae*. However, three other isolates (GD224, GD225, and GD228) collected from Guangdong Province had unique sequences. The distances between them and the other species of *Thorea* were higher than the intraspecific distances of this genus (10.88% VS 8.28%).

The COI-5P sequence determined for the five “Chantransia” isolates from this study were 676 bp, of which 297 (43.93%) were variable and 273 (40.38%) were parsimony informative. The pairwise distance among the “Chantransia” isolates collected in this study and other Thoreales taxa (Suppl. material 5: Table S3) showed that isolates GX41 and GX81 were closely related to *T. okadae* from Japan. The mean *p*-distance between samples from China and Japan was 3%. The intraspecific *p*-distances of species in this genus were 0–6%, whereas the interspecies *p*-distances of COI-5P sequences among the species of *Thorea* were 7.3–18.0%. For the remaining three isolates GD224, GD225, and GD228, their pairwise distances with other Thoreales specimens also supported the unique taxonomic status of GD224, GD225 and GD228: the *p*-distance between them and the other species of *Thorea* was 14.17%, which was larger than the intraspecific distance of this genus (0–6%).

Phylogenetic analyses based on single gene and concatenated genes produced trees with similar tree topologies, such that only the concatenated B.I. tree with supporting values calculated from two methods was displayed (Fig. 2). The single gene phylogenetic trees based on *rbcL* and COI-5P are shown in the supporting materials (Suppl. material 1, 2: Figs S1, S2).

The phylogenetic analyses strongly supported the monophyly of the Thoreales, *Nemalionopsis* and *Thorea* (Fig. 2). The *Thorea* clade contained two major subclades, one comprising four species: *T. quisqueyana* E.T.Johnston & M.L.Vis, *T. riekei* Bischoff, *T. gaudichaudii* C.Agardh, and *T. maitukitukii* (1.00/99.9); and the second subclade including six species (*T. batyunensis*, *T. bachmannii*, *Kokosinga-puescheli*, *T. okadae*, *T. indica* Necchi, E.K.Ganesan & J.A.West, and *T. hispida*) and an undetermined species (1.00/90.3). Isolates from this study were in the second subclade and formed two distant clusters. The isolates GX41 and GX81 were within a clade with five *T. okadae* specimens collected from Japan. This relationship was well supported by Bayesian posterior probabilities (100%) and ML bootstrap (100%). The remaining three isolates, GD224, GD225, and GD228 were an independent clade distantly related from any of the previously described species with high support values (1.00/90.3).

**Morphological observations**

The morphometric data showed that all samples used in this study fit the morphological description of *Audouinella pygmaea* (Roth) Duby, although the tuft length of GX81 is significantly smaller than others (Fig. 3A–T, Table 3). Their characteristics are as follows: tuft-shape, 1.2–25.9 mm length, bluish or brownish in color; basal portion consisting
of an irregular prostrate system of densely aggregated filaments; erect filaments dense, irregular branched, apical cells obtuse, without hair; vegetative cells of main branches cylindrical, 8.2–68.2 µm in length and 7.2–22.7 µm in diameter; monosporangial branches short, mostly grow at the tip of vegetative branch, with few small branches; monosporangia are obovoidal, 11.8–22.7 µm in length and 7.2–17.3 µm in diameter.

Figure 2. Bayesian inference tree for *Thorea* and *Nemalionopsis* based on concatenated sequences from *rbcL* and *COI-5P* genes. Support values for all analyses are shown as follows: Bayesian posterior probabilities/ML bootstrap. ‘-’ denotes <50% support for those analyses at that node. All new sequences generated in this study are indicated in red boxes.
Taxonomic proposals

The genetic distance and phylogenetic analysis based on rbcL, COI-5P and the concatenated genes all supported the identification of new species described below.

*Thorea baiyunensis* Han, Nan & Xie, sp. nov.

Fig. 3I–T

**Description.** Known only from the “Chantransia” sporophyte generation. Plant macroscopic, up to 25.9 mm, bluish; basal portion consisting of an irregular prostrate system of densely aggregated filaments; lateral branches developing at angle < 25°. Vegetative cells of main branches cylindrical, (12.7) 13.6–63.6 (68.2) µm long and (8.2) 10.9–20.9 (22.7) µm in diameter. Monosporangia numerous, mostly grow at the tip of 1–5-celled, short branchlets, singly or in clusters, obovoidal, (11.8) 12.7–21.8 (23.6) µm long and (10.9) 11.8–16.4 (17.3) µm in diameter. Chloroplasts laminate or irregularly lobed, 2–4 per cell.

**Diagnosis.** Diagnostic DNA sequence: rbcL and COI-5P (accession number: MZ648090, MZ648091, and MZ648092 for rbcL and MZ676780, MZ676781, and MZ676782 for COI-5P).

**Type.** China, Guangdong Province, Baiyun Mountain, 540 m alt., 23°36.00’N, 113°49.53’E: epilithic on the rocks in spring water, November 2020, J.F. Han & K.P Fang (Holotype: SXU-SAS18040; Paratype: SXU-SAS18041). Deposited in Herbarium of Shanxi University (SXU), Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, China.

**Habitat and distribution.** Baiyun Mountain (23°36.00’N, 113°49.53’E), Guangdong Province, China: on the rocks in spring water; water temperature 17.3–20.6 °C, pH 6.5–7.6 (Figs 1, 4A–C).

**Etymology.** The species epithet refers to the type locality (Baiyun Mountain, China).

**Authentic strain.** SXU-GD20224.
Discussion

Several studies have confirmed that it is difficult to distinguish “Chantransia” stages from true *Audouinella* based only on morphological characteristics, which often hinders species identification and brings confusion to the classification system of freshwater red algae (Chiasson et al. 2005; Necchi and Oliveira 2011; Han et al. 2020). More surprising is that even individuals with completely different gametophyte morphology, belonging to different species, genera or orders, may also produce “Chantransia” stages with extremely similar morphology. In this study, morphological data showed that all samples collected from South China were similar and within the circumscription of *A. pygmaea*. However, molecular analysis confirmed that they were not *Audouinella* taxa but the “Chantransia” stages of two different *Thorea* species, *T. okadae* and *T. baiyunensis*. Based on sequence data and culture studies (Pueschel et al. 2000; Zucchi and Necchi 2003; Chiasson et al. 2005, 2007; Necchi and Oliveira 2011; Han et al. 2020), eleven species of orders Batrachospermales and Thoreales have been confirmed to form “Chantransia” stages similar to *A. pygmaea* in morphology. Thus, at least thirteen species of the orders Batrachospermales and Thoreales are associated with “*A. pygmaea*” so far. It is clear that the morphological characteristics of the “Chantransia” stages cannot be used to determine the identity individual species (Necchi and Oliveira 2011; Han et al. 2021). However, how genetic information and environmental factors regulate the morphological expression of “Chantransia” stages remain unclear.

With the widespread application of molecular data in the taxonomy of freshwater red algae, the view that morphologically simple organisms have considerable genetic diversity and species richness has been widely recognized (Johnston et al. 2018; Han et al. 2020, 2021). In the past few years, several new species of the Batrachospermales and

---

**Table 3.** Morphological characteristics of specimens in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thalli characteristics</th>
<th>GX41</th>
<th>GX81</th>
<th>GD224</th>
<th>GD225</th>
<th>GD228</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Bluish</td>
<td>Brownish</td>
<td>Bluish</td>
<td>Bluish</td>
<td>Bluish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (mm)</td>
<td>(4.8) 5–9.4 (10.0)</td>
<td>(1.2) 1.5–3.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>(3.4) 4.1–9.3 (10.8)</td>
<td>(4.1) 5.4–22.9 (25.9)</td>
<td>(8.5) 8.8–12.9 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch angle*</td>
<td>≤ 25°</td>
<td>&lt; 25°</td>
<td>&lt; 25°</td>
<td>&lt; 25°</td>
<td>&lt; 25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative cells</td>
<td>(8.2) 10–28.2 (31.8)</td>
<td>(20.9) 22.7–54.5 (59.1)</td>
<td>(12.7) 13.6–63.6 (68.2)</td>
<td>(21.8) 22.7–59.1 (60.9)</td>
<td>(22.7) 23.6–43.6 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (µm)</td>
<td>(7.2) 8.2–10.9 (11.8)</td>
<td>(8.2) 9.1–10.9 (11.8)</td>
<td>(8.2) 10.9–15.5 (16.4)</td>
<td>(10.9) 11.8–15.5 (16.4)</td>
<td>(11.8) 12.7–20.9 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosporangia shape</td>
<td>Obovoidal</td>
<td>Obovoidal</td>
<td>Obovoidal</td>
<td>Obovoidal</td>
<td>Obovoidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosporangia length</td>
<td>(12.7) 13.6–14.5 (15.5)</td>
<td>(12.7) 13.6–18.2 (19.1)</td>
<td>(11.8) 12.7–21.8 (22.7)</td>
<td>(12.7) 13.6–17.3 (19.1)</td>
<td>(13.6) 14.5–21.8 (23.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosporangia diameter</td>
<td>(7.2) 8.2–14.5 (15.5)</td>
<td>(8.2) 9.1–12.7 (13.6)</td>
<td>(10.9) 11.8–15.5 (16.4)</td>
<td>(11.8–13.6 (14.5)</td>
<td>(10.9) 11.8–16.4 (17.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroplast number</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroplast shape</td>
<td>laminate or irregularly lobed</td>
<td>laminate or irregularly lobed</td>
<td>laminate or irregularly lobed</td>
<td>laminate or irregularly lobed</td>
<td>laminate or irregularly lobed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Definition follows Necchi and Zucchi (1995).
Thorea baiyunshanensis sp. nov. and T. okadae a new record from China

Thoreales were proposed based on the “Chantransia” sporophyte generation, such as T. quisqueyana, Sheathia shimenxiaensis J.-F. Han, F.-R. Nan et S.-L. Xie, S. jiugongshanensis J.-F. Han, F.-R. Nan et S.-L. Xie, and S. qinyuanensis J.-F. Han, F.-R. Nan et S.-L. Xie (Johnston et al. 2018; Han et al. 2020, 2021). In this article, based on the rbcL and COI-5P sequences, all five samples utilized in this study were unquestionably proved to be the “Chantransia” stages of two species of genus Thorea, whose gametophytes have never been reported in China before. Therefore, taking into account the two stages, the geographical distribution of genus Thorea would be larger, and the number of species would be more than that identified only by morphological data. Combined with previous reports in China, four species of genus Thorea have been recognized, including T. hispida, T. violacea, T. baiyunensis, and T. okadae. At the same time, the number of the Thorea distribution sites is proposed to have expanded to eight, including Shanxi, Jiangsu, Yunnan, Guizhou, Hunan, Henan, Guangdong provinces and Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. This study reinforces the importance of collecting and sequencing specimens of “Chantransia” stages as a tool to reveal the hidden diversity of freshwater red algae of the orders Batrachospermales and Thoreales in different regions of the world.

In 1949, Yamada reported a new species, T. okadae, when studying the specimens of genus Thorea in Kagoshima prefecture, Japan (Yamada 1949). According to Kuman (2002) and Kozono (2020), T. okadae is the largest species known in the genus Thorea and is widely distributed in different areas of Honshu Island and Kyushu Island in Japan. This species has long been considered endemic to Japan. However, in this study we reported two “Chantransia” stages (GX41 and GX81) of T. okadae from Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. Sequence analysis based on rbcL and COI-5P showed that there were only a few base differences between T. okadae from China and Japan. As shown in Fig. 1, the distribution of T. okadae in China (red circle) is far away from that in Japan (green circle). However, these regions all belong to the subtropical monsoon climate, which means that these places have similar climatic conditions, including light, temperature and precipitation. According to Higa et al. (2007), both gametophytes and “Chantransia” stage specimens of T. okadae were observed in Kikuchi River, Japan where water pH is 6.6–7.5, temperature is 26.3 °C in summer and 9.5 °C in winter. In addition, the mean day length of this place ranged from 9.9 h in December to 14.3 h in June. In this study, the “Chantransia” isolates of T. okadae were collected in Baimo Cave and Tongling Great Falls (Fig. 4D, E). The habitat characteristics of these two places are as follows: mean water temperature ranged from 14 °C to 21 °C and pH values fluctuated between 7.2 and 7.8, while the day length is about 10.7 h in December and 13.5 in June. The environmental factors of Baimo Cave and Tongling Great Falls were similar to those of Kikuchi River, thus, it is not surprising that T. okadae was found in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. It is therefore reasonable to infer that T. okadae also occurs in other areas of China with the same regional climate.

In a study on the seasonality of gametophyte occurrence, maturation and fertilization of the freshwater red alga T. okadae, Higa et al. (2007) pointed out...
that gametophytes generally form from December and can be observed from early autumn to late spring, while “Chantransia” stages (sporophytes) last throughout the year. The “Chantransia” stages of *T. okadae* in this study were collected in December 2019, but we did not find its gametophytes. We speculate that this may be due to

**Figure 4.** Habitat of *Thorea baiyunensis* and *T. okadae* **A–C** habitat of *Thorea baiyunensis* **D, E** habitat of *T. okadae.*
the following reasons: firstly, since the samples were only collected in December, the gametophytes of \textit{T. okadae} may have just begun to form. In this case, the small number of gametophytes and the short length of assimilatory filaments make them difficult to detect; additionally, the absence of the gametophytes of \textit{T. okadae} may also be due to the environmental conditions that were not suitable for inducing their formation.
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Abstract

New data on the phylogeny of four rare and endemic species of *Ranunculus* L. (sect. *Ranunculastrum* DC.) of western Pamir-Alai, one of which is new to science, have been obtained. *Ranunculus tojibaevii* sp. nov., from the Baysuntau Mountains, Western Hissar Range of Uzbekistan, is described. The new species is closely related to *R. botschantzevii* Ovcz., *R. convexiusculus* Kovalevsk. and *R. alpigenus* Kom., but differs in the blade of the radical leaves, which is rounded-reniform, segments 3–5-dissected, each 2–5-partite with elongated, rounded apical lobes. A phylogenetic analysis, using both the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and cpDNA (*matK*, *rbcl*, *trnL-trnF*), was informative in placing *R. tojibaevii* in context with its most closely-related species. Discussion on the geographic distribution, updated identification key, a detailed description, insights about its habitat and illustrations are provided.
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Introduction

*Ranunculus* L., the largest genus in the Ranunculaceae Juss., includes ca. 600 genetically diverse species (Tamura 1995). The genus is distinguished by its high ecological-geographical diversity over a wide zonal spectrum ranging from the Arctic tundra through varied forests, steppes, deserts to exclusively aquatic habitats and high-altitude communities on nearly all continents (Paun et al. 2005). However, the main centres of speciation of *Ranunculus* are often in large mountain systems, where the formation of species is not only directly related to isolation, but also significantly depends on specific conditions of the highlands (Emadzade et al. 2015; Fernández Prieto et al. 2017; Shchegoleva 2018; Shchegoleva et al. 2020; Zverev et al. 2020).

More than 90 species of *Ranunculus* are distributed in Central Asia (Kovalevskaya 1972). Differentiation in the genus and the formation of locally endemic species are closely related to the history of the Tian Shan and Pamir-Alay Mountain formations. Here, more than half of the members of the genus are autochthonous representatives of the mountainous Central Asian flora, which arose in the process of regional adaptive diversification from ancient Mediterranean predecessors (Ovchinnikov 1971; Kamelin 1973). In the dry Central Asian seasonal climate, the features of these species are observed only in the short-term hydrothermal period of active vegetative growth.

*Ranunculus tojibaevii* was first discovered in 2013 on the Baysuntau Highlands (Khodzha-Gurgur-ata Mountain) on the south-western spur of the Hissar Range (Pamir-Alay). The populations were detected again in 2019 while working on the *Flora of Uzbekistan Project* (Sennikov et al. 2016). It should be noted that the flora of the Western Pamir-Alay is characterised by a high taxonomic diversity (Kamelin 1973; Vasilchenko and Vasileva 1985; Tojibaev et al. 2016; Makhmudjanov et al. 2019; Yusupov et al. 2020).

The morphological features indicated that the unknown plants belonged to *R.* subg. *Ranunculus* sect. *Ranunculastrum* (Hörandl and Emadzade 2012; Baltisberger and Hörandl 2016). The main differences between sect. *Ranunculastrum* and other sections of *Ranunculus* are the presence of a triangular beak equal to or longer than the achene body, a receptacle completely glabrous, a taproot partly tuberous and a mostly elongate fruit (Hörandl and Emadzade 2012).

The unknown plants closely resembled *R. botschantzevii* Ovcz. (Ovchinnikov 1941) and *R. convexiusculus* Kovalevsk. (Kovalevskaya 1972), as well as *R. alpigenus* Kom. (Komarov 1896) in their main morphological features. The molecular results presented here also clearly substantiated its independent taxonomic status. In this study, we present a morphological description of these plants, which we named *Ranunculus tojibaevii* Schegol. & Turginov. Figures showing its features, a map of its distribution, taxonomy and an identification key separating it from the most closely-related species are also provided.
Materials and methods

Morphological observations and measurements of *R. tojibaevii* were made on two populations; in total, 34 individuals were compared. Voucher specimens have been deposited in the National Herbarium of Uzbekistan – TASH (Tashkent, Uzbekistan). Additionally, two closely-related species, *R. convexiusculus* and *R. botschantzevii*, from the same territory and high-altitude regions were examined. Herbarium specimens at TASH, TAD, LE, FRU, AA, MW, LE and TK were also compared. Studies of closely related species were performed during field expeditions to Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan, from 2017–2021 (Shchegoleva et al. 2020). The distribution map was generated in ESRI ArcGIS 10 software using GPS coordinates (www.esri.com). The conservation status was established, based on IUCN Criteria (IUCN 2019).

Molecular methods

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

DNA isolation was performed using a Plant Genomic DNA Kit (TIENGEN Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. CTAB extraction protocol with some modifications was used to extract genomic DNA from herbarium specimens of *R. alpigenus* (Doyle and Doyle 1987).

Selected nuclear DNA regions ITS1-ITS2 (for herbarium specimen *R. alpigenus*) and ITS1-ITS4; plastid DNA regions *matK*, *rbcL* and *trnL-F* were amplified on a thermal cycler (BioRad) using the 2X PCR Taq Plus MasterMix with dye (Applied Biological Materials Inc., Canada). Amplification of the DNA regions was carried out by using primers of the forward and reverse primer sets (TsingKe, China) (Table 1).

To obtain sequences of the genes of interest, PCR amplification was carried out according to the following parameters (except *R. alpigenus*): for ITS1-ITS4, initial denaturation for 3 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 amplification cycles: 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 50–54 °C, 1 min at 72 °C; elongation 7 min at 72 °C; for *matK*– an initial denaturation for 3 min 94 °C, followed by 35 amplification cycles: 30 s 94 °C, 1 min 51 °C, 1 min 72 °C; final extension 10 min at 72 °C; for *rbcL*, an initial denaturation for 4 min 95 °C, followed by 34 amplification cycles: 1 min 94 °C, 1 min 50 °C, 1 min 72 °C; final extension 10 min at 72 °C; for *trnL-F*, an initial denaturation for 3 min 94 °C, followed by 32 amplification cycles: 45 s 94 °C, 45 s 50 °C, 1 min 72 °C; final extension 8 min at 72 °C.

PCR amplification for *R. alpigenus* was performed for ITS1-ITS2, with the following programme: initial denaturation at 94 °C/5 min; 35 amplification cycles at 94 °C/30 s, at 54 °C/30 s, at 72 °C/ 45 s; elongation at 72 °C/7 min; for *rbcL*, 94 °C/4 min, 34 cycles: 94 °C/30 s, 54 °C/ 45 s, 72 °C/45 s; final extension at 72 °C/10 min.
Table 1. Primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequences (forward / reverse)</th>
<th>DNA fragment size, bp</th>
<th>Primer source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS1-18S</td>
<td>5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3'</td>
<td>~700 bp</td>
<td>White et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS4-26S</td>
<td>5'-TCCTCCGCTTATGATTATGC-3'</td>
<td>~650 bp</td>
<td>White et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS1</td>
<td>5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3'</td>
<td>~650 bp</td>
<td>White et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS2</td>
<td>5'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3'</td>
<td>~650 bp</td>
<td>White et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matK-390F</td>
<td>5'-CGATCTATTTCATTCAATATTT-3'</td>
<td>~900 bp</td>
<td>Cuenoud et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matK-1326R</td>
<td>5'-TCTAGCACACGAAAGTCAAGT-3'</td>
<td>~900 bp</td>
<td>Cuenoud et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trnL-F_F</td>
<td>5'-CGAAATCGGTAGACGCTACG-3'</td>
<td>~900 bp</td>
<td>Taberlet et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trnL-F_R</td>
<td>5'-ATTTGAACTGGTGACGAGT-3'</td>
<td>~900 bp</td>
<td>Taberlet et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbcLaF</td>
<td>5'-ATGTCACCACAAACAGAGACTAAGC-3'</td>
<td>~600 bp</td>
<td>Kress and Erickson (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbcLaR</td>
<td>5'-GTAAGATCAATCCACACCRG-3'</td>
<td>~600 bp</td>
<td>Kress and Erickson (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taxon sampling

To determine the taxonomic status and systematic position of *R. tojibaevii*, we sampled 24 species of *Ranunculus*. New nDNA (ITS) and cpDNA intergenic spacers (*matK*, *rbcL*, *trnL-trnF*) sequences for nine species were generated. We also used available sequences of 15 *Ranunculus* species from GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank) (Table 2). We used *Ranunculus* subgenus *Auricomus* as the outgroup (Hörandl and Emadzade 2012; Almerekova et al. 2020).

Phylogenetic analyses

Sequence alignments were performed using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 2002) as implemented in MEGA X software (Kumar et al. 2018). The best partitioning scheme for the combined dataset contained two partitions: the ITS data; and the three plastid sequences data (*matK*, *rbcL*, *trnL-trnF*). Phylogenetic reconstruction was first conducted separately, based on the nuclear and the plastid data. Visual inspection determined that differences between the nuclear and the plastid trees were solely due to resolved/collapsed clades. No topological incongruence with a high support value (posterior probabilities and bootstrap percentages) was found. To further test whether the nuclear and plastid data could be combined for phylogenetic reconstruction, the incongruence length difference (ILD, Farris et al. 1995) test was conducted in PAUP* 4.0a169 (current) by using only the informative sites, heuristic search, tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping algorithm, simple addition sequence and 1,000 replicates. The ILD test between the nuclear and the plastid data found $p = 0.322$, indicating insignificant support for incongruence between the two datasets. Therefore, the nuclear and the plastid sequences were combined into one dataset for phylogenetic analyses using SequenceMatrix software (Vaidya et al. 2011).
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Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using Maximum Likelihood (ML), Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Bayesian Inference (BI). For ML, we employed raxmlGUI 2.0 (Edler et al. 2020), with 1,000 bootstrap replicates and, for BI, we used MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001) with 10,000,000 generations with random trees sampled every 1,000 generations. In the latter analysis, after discarding the first 25% trees as burn-in, a 50% majority-rule consensus tree was constructed from the remaining trees to estimate Posterior Probabilities (PP). For analyses, a model of nucleotide substitution was selected, based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using jModelTest2 on XSEDE (www.phylo.org). Phylogenetic analyses were also performed with the MP method using PAUP* 4.0a169. The MP bootstrap analysis was performed with heuristic search, TBR branch-swapping, 1,000 bootstrap replicates, random addition sequence with ten replicates, a maximum of 1,000 trees saved per round. Trees were visualised in FigTree v.1.4.0 (Rambaut 2012).

**Table 2.** Accession numbers of samples used for phylogenetic analyses of *Ranunculus* (* newly-generated sequences).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ranunculus acris</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AY680167</td>
<td>AY954199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ590232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. alpigenus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OM283824</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OM287560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. arvensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ650550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ650551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. auricomus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM242803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FM242739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. botshanztevii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW540744</td>
<td>MW748677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. convexusculus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW540743</td>
<td>MW748676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. flavulata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AY680185</td>
<td>AY954204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MK526480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. glaberrimus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KP687273</td>
<td>JP509974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MG247649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. inamoenus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KP687279</td>
<td>KP687302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MG249011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. japonicus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EU591982</td>
<td>AY954200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MH657741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. leptorrhynchos</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW737444</td>
<td>MW748673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. linearilobus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW737445</td>
<td>MW748674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. lingua</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AY680184</td>
<td>AY954206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>JN892742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. muriatus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DQ410718</td>
<td>AY954191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HM850929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. pautidentatus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW540747</td>
<td>MW748679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. pygmaeus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KP687287</td>
<td>KP687310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC438360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. regelians</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW737446</td>
<td>MW748675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. repens</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MT271835</td>
<td>HM656166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MK25397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. seleratus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MT271836</td>
<td>GU257993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AB517148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. sulphurea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JF509969</td>
<td>JF509983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC438370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. talassicus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW540748</td>
<td>MW748680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. tojibaei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW540745</td>
<td>MW748678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MW748686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. tojibaei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OM278385</td>
<td>OM287558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OM287559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. trichophyllus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KC620483</td>
<td>AY954133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L087666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. turneri</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM242817</td>
<td>FM242741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MG249550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussion

The phylogenetic tree, based on the nuclear and plastid sequences (Fig. 1), showed that *R. tojibaevii* is sister to *R. convexiusculus* and *R. botschantzevii* with high support values PP = 1, MP = 94% and ML = 94%. *Ranunculus tojibaevii, R. convexiusculus* and *R. botschantzevii* formed a clade with well supported values (PP = 0.8, MP = 71% and ML = 64%).

The results of the phylogenetic analysis were similar to the results of Hörandl and Emadzade (2012) and Almerekova et al. (2020). Species of *R. sect. Ranunculastrum* are of particular interest. In our case, these native Asian species are mainly limited to the foothills and mountains of Central Asia (southern Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan), as well as to the mountains of Afghanistan, Iran and Pakistan.

It is obvious that *R. sect. Ranunculastrum* in Central Asia is heterogeneous. The species forming sub-clusters in this section differ in their area of distribution, altitude confinement and time of origin, thereby confirming the neoendemic nature and origin of *R. tojibaevii*.
**Taxonomy**

*Ranunculus tojibaevii* Schegol. & Turginov, sp. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296907-1
Figs 2, 3

**Diagnosis.** Similar to *R. botschantzevii*, *R. convexiusculus* and *R. alpigenus* morphologically, but differing in the rounded-reniform radical leaves dissected into 3–5 segments, each 2–5-partite into elongated lobes rounded at the apex (Fig. 4). *Ranunculus tojibaevii* differs from *R. alpigenus* in having fewer levels of leaf blade dissection. It differs from *R. convexiusculus* in the dissection of the radical leaf blades, larger flowers and having somewhat white, bristle-like hairs on the root collar and also from *R. botschantzevii* by the rounded apical lobes of the basal leaves and more xeromorphic habit.


**Description.** *Herbs* perennial. *Roots* dimorphic, some roots subulate, up to 0.5 mm thick, storage roots palmately-thickened, ca. 2.5 mm thick; root collar with milk-white bristle-like hairs. *Stems* 10–12(–15) cm tall, up to 2 mm diam., erect, branched, pubescent with white curly hairs, 1–3-flowered. *Leaves* dimorphic, radical leaves 2–3, 1.6–2 × 1.5–2.2 cm, blade rounded-reniform, 3–5-dissected, segments 2–5-partite, elongate, lobes apically rounded; cauline leaves 1–2, petiole short, slender, blade trisected, lobes 0.6–0.9 × 0.1–0.2 cm, oblong-lanceolate. *Flowers* 1.6–2.4 cm diam., sepals 0.4–0.6 mm long, ovate-concave, sparsely white pubescent; petals 0.9–1.2 cm long, well-developed, ovate, apex rounded. Infructescence globose-ovoid; receptacle oblongoid, glabrous; achenes 1–1.8 mm long, with white bristle-like hairs; beak hamate-curved.

**Specimen seen (paratype).** Uzbekistan. Pamir-Alay, South-western spurs of the Hissar Ridge, Baysuntau, vicinity of the Village Gumatag, amongst the stones, 4 June 2013, O. Turginov (TASH-003754).

**Phenology.** Flowering in May. Fruiting in May and June.

**Distribution.** *Ranunculus tojibaevii* is distributed in the Khodzha-Gurgur-ata of the Baysuntau Mountains area of Hissar Ridge (Fig. 5). The closely-related *R. convexiusculus* is endemic to Central Asia and *R. botschantzevii* is endemic to the Western Pamir-Alay.

**Habitat and plant associations.** *Ranunculus tojibaevii* is rupicolous on southern and western exposed limestone outcrops and in cracks and crevices of large boulders at 2,450–2,500 m. a.s.l. The region is alpine and rather xerophytic. The common taxonomic composition of phytocenos includes *Cerasus amygdaliflora* Nevski (Rosaceae), *Corydalis ledebouriana* Kar. & Kir. (Papaveraceae), *Cousinia regelii* C.Winkl. (Asteraceae), *Eremurus regelii* Vved. (Asphodelaceae), *Gagea gymnopoda* Vved.
Figure 2. *Ranunculus tojibaevii* Schegol. & Turginov (Shchegoleva, Jabborov, Turginov, holotype TASH-003743).
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Figure 3. *Ranunculus tojibaevii* Schegol. & Turginov. Habitat (A flowering B fruiting) and flower (C). Scale bar: 1 cm.
Figure 4. Series of basal leaves in related species A Ranunculus tojibaevii (from the holotype) B R. alpigenus C R. botschantzevii D R. convexiusculus. Scale bar: 1 cm.

**Conservation status.** *Ranunculus tojibaevii* is a local, narrowly distributed endemic, represented by two or three individuals per m$^2$ within an area of < 500 m$^2$. It should therefore be assigned the status EN (Endangered), Criteria B1 ab(i, ii, iii)+ B2 ab(i, ii, iii), following the IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee (IUCN 2019).

**Notes.** *Ranunculus tojibaevii* differs from closely-related species by its habitat on well-heated limestone outcrops, as well as in cracks and crevices of large boulders at ca. 2,500 m a.s.l., which is atypical of related species. *Ranunculus convexiusculus* is on clayey-stony soil, less often on slopes of fine soil, at 2,000–2,600 m a.s.l. *Ranunculus botschantzevii* is hygrophilous in wet mountain meadows with melting snow and on slopes of fine clayey soil at 2,400–3,500 m a.s.l. *Ranunculus alpigenus* grows on slopes of fine soil of the alpine belt at 2,800–4,000 m a.s.l. All these species are endemic to the western Pamir-Alay. The vicariant species to *R. alpigenus* is *R. badachschanicus* Ovcz. & Koch. from the western Pamirs.

**Etymology.** *Ranunculus tojibaevii* is named after Komiljon Tojibaev, a leading botanist, professor and academician from Uzbekistan who actively promotes the botanical sciences in Central Asia.
Key to *Ranunculus tojibaevii* and similar species (Table 3)

1. Blades of basal leaves broadly ovate, dissected into pinnatipartite segments, with tripartite-oblong terminal lobules .................................................. *R. alpigenus*

- Radical leaves 3–5-dissected or lobed-incised .............................................. 2

2. Blade of radical leaves 1/3 or nearly 1/2 unequally partite into broad incised-dentate lobes .......................................................... *R. convexiusculus*

- Blade of radical leaves 3–5-dissected .......................................................... 3

3. Blade of basal leaves triangular-reniform, 3–5-dissected almost to the base, wedge-shaped segments, unequally sharp-toothed .......... *R. botschantzevii*

- Blade of radical leaves is round-reniform, 3–5-dissected, each section divided into 2–5 elongated lobules; apex of lobules rounded ............ *R. tojibaevii*

Table 3. Comparison of *R. tojibaevii*, *R. botschantzevii*, *R. convexiusculus* and *R. alpigenus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>R. tojibaevii</em></th>
<th><em>R. botschantzevii</em></th>
<th><em>R. convexiusculus</em></th>
<th><em>R. alpigenus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blade of radical leaves</td>
<td>rounded-ovate, 3–5-dissected, segments further 2–5-dissected, lobules elongated, rounded at apex</td>
<td>triangular-reniform, 3–5-partite, segments narrowly cuneate, unequally and subcutely dentate</td>
<td>reniform, dissected 1/3 to nearly 1/2 of its length, lobes broad incised-dentate</td>
<td>broadly ovate, dissected into pinnatipartite segments, segments tripartite, terminal lobules oblong elliptic, concave, with scattered hairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepals</td>
<td>narrowly elliptic, concave, less than half as long as petals, with long reclinate hairs</td>
<td>elliptic, concave, some shorter than the petals, with sparse, long reclinate hairs</td>
<td>elliptic, concave, half as long as petals, with short reclinate spreading hairs</td>
<td>elliptic, concave, some shorter than the petals, with sparse, long reclinate hairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petals</td>
<td>oblong-ovate, greenish-yellow, base cuneate, margin undulate</td>
<td>obovate, bright yellow, becoming dark when dry, base broadly cuneate, margin undulate</td>
<td>very broadly ovate, golden yellow, base cuneate, margin slightly undulate</td>
<td>oblong-ovate, yellow-green, base narrowly cuneate, marginundulate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achenes</td>
<td>1.0–1.8 mm long, asymmetrical ovate, slightly convex, with semi-appressed hairs</td>
<td>2.2–2.5 mm long, oblong, slightly laterally compressed, with appressed hairs</td>
<td>1.8–2.5 mm long, oblong, slightly convex, with appressed hairs</td>
<td>1.5–2.0 mm long, asymmetrical obovate, laterally compressed, with scattered not appressed hairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract
Rubus dianchuanensis, a new name for the species previously named as R. vicarius by W. O. Focke in 1911, is proposed. A detailed description, illustrations, and remarks on its phenology, ecology, and geographic distribution are provided. This raspberry (subg. Idaeobatus) is endemic to China and was only found in Sichuan and Yunnan, southwest China. Morphologically, it is most similar to R. ovatisepalus but clearly differs from the latter by having leaf densely white or grey tomentose abaxially, usually leaf-like bracts at the base of inflorescence, 1–6 cm long pedicels, and triangular-ovate sepals with acute to caudate apex.

Keywords
Endemism, later homonym, Rubus subornatus, synonymy, taxonomy

Introduction
The genus Rubus was established by Linnaeus (1753) in his Species Plantarum and ten species were described there. Currently, about 700 species of Rubus are recognized, making it one of the largest genera of Rosaceae (Robertson 1974; Lu and Boufford 2003). Plants of this genus are usually shrubs, rarely subshrubs or perennial herbs, and more or less prickly. Their leaves are compound or simple, flowers are pentameric and mostly bisexual, fruits are fleshy aggregates of drupelets, and tori are usually convex, conical or cylindrical (Kalkman 1993; Lu and Boufford 2003; Wang and Wang 2019).
Members of *Rubus* are distributed nearly worldwide except for Antarctica and can be found in most types of land biomes from tropical to subarctic regions (Gustafsson 1942; Spies and Du Plessis 1984; Hummer 1996; Lu and Boufford 2003). There are more than 250 species of *Rubus* in East Asia, and this region is the center of diversity for the subgenera *Malachobatus* and *Idaeobatus* (Wang and Wang 2019). More than 200 species are recorded in China, and most of them occur in the southern and southwestern provinces (Lu and Boufford 2003). Recently, new species and nomenclatural changes of *Rubus* in China have been constantly reported (e.g., Huang and Hu 2009; Byalt 2011; Sun and Boufford 2012; Wang et al. 2013, 2017, 2019; Wang and Wang 2019).

During our fieldwork and the herbarium studies on a taxonomic revision for the Chinese species of *Rubus*, we encountered a raspberry difficult to assign to any species recognized by Yu and Lu (1985) and Lu and Boufford (2003). Further research showed that it should be identified as *R. vicarius* Focke, which had been synonymized with *R. subornatus* Focke previously (Yu and Lu 1985; Lu and Boufford 2003). This plant represents a separate species, therefore, should be resurrected. Nevertheless, Focke’s name is a later homonym of *R. vicarius* Sudre (1902); consequently, a new name for this distinctive species is required.

**Materials and methods**

We studied the newly named species both in the field and the herbaria. Type specimens (or type photos) of accepted names and their synonyms in *Rubus* subg. *Idaeobatus* were extensively examined and compared, as well as herbarium materials from CDBI, IBSC, KUN, P, PE, PYU and YUKU (acronyms after Thiers 2022). Pertinent taxonomic literature (e.g., Focke 1877, 1910, 1911, 1914; Yu and Lu 1985; Lu and Boufford 2003) were extensively consulted. Morphological studies were carried out on dried material under a stereomicroscope, and measurements were conducted using a ruler or a metric vernier calliper.

**Taxonomy**

*Rubus dianchuanensis* Huan C. Wang & Q. P. Wang, sp. nov.
urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77296909-1  
Figs 1, 2, 3A1–A5

**Type.** China. Sichuan Province: Liangshan Prefecture, Muli County, on the way from Wujiao to Yiji, 27°58'21.73"N, 100°41'51.20"E, 3300–3500 m a.s.l., 23 July 2021, Q. P. Wang et al. ML12992 (holotype YUKU!, isotypes YUKU!).

**Diagnosis.** *Rubus dianchuanensis* is most similar to *R. ovatisepalus* Huan C. Wang, but clearly differs from the latter by its leaf abaxially densely white or grey tomentose, bracts in the inflorescence often leaf-like, pedicels 1–6 cm long, sepals triangular-ovate and with acute to caudate apex.

**Description.** Arching shrubs, 1 to 2 m high, deciduous or semi-evergreen. Stems with dense ferruginous glandular hairs and soft eglandular hairs. Branchlets cylindric, grey-green to brown, villous, with curved prickles and nearly straight, ferruginous, 1–2 mm long, glandular hairs. Leaves imparipinnate, usually 3-foliolate, rarely 5-foliolate. Stipules persistent, linear, 5–8 mm long, ca. 1.5 mm wide, pubescent, with glandular hairs, base shortly adnate to petioles. Petioles 0.5–4 cm long, petiolule of

---

**Figure 1.** *Rubus dianchuanensis* **A** habit **B** bract at the base of inflorescence **C** bract at the upper part of inflorescence **D** calyx **E** flower (side view) **F** aggregate fruit with persistent calyx.
terminal leaflets 1–3.5 cm long, lateral leaflets sessile or subsessile; petiolule and rachis with glandular hairs, intermixed pubescence and erect or recurved prickles. Leaf blades cordate or ovate-cordate in outline, papery, adaxially pubescent and with sparse glan-

Figure 2. *Rubus dianchuanensis* A, B habit C abaxial surfaces of leaves D portion of branchlet showing glandular hairs E flower F an immature aggregate fruit with calyx.
Rubus dianchuanensis sp. nov. from China

dular hairs, abaxially densely white or grey tomentose throughout, with sparse glandular hairs along veins. Terminal leaflets cordate, broadly ovate or ovate, 3–11 cm long, 2–7 cm wide, apex acute to acuminate, base rounded to subcordate; margin slightly lobed or not, double serrate; nervation pinnate, with 6–9 lateral veins on each side of the midrib. Lateral leaflets ovate or elliptic, apex acute, base cuneate to round, slightly oblique, 1.5–7 cm long, 1–5 cm wide, lateral veins 5–7 paired. Terminal inflorescences racemose-cymose, 4–10-flowered, 5–15 cm long; bracts at the base usually leaf-like, simple, ovate, ovate-lanceolate or lanceolate, with similar indumentum as the leaves,

Figure 3. Rubus dianchuanensis (A1–A5) and R. subornatus (B1–B5) A1 a flowering branch showing terminal inflorescence A2 portion of stem showing indumentum A3 bract at the base of inflorescence A4 flower (side view) A5 mature aggregate fruit B1 habit B2 portion of the stem B3 bracts B4 flower (side view) B5 mature aggregate fruit.
2.5–11 cm long, 1–5 cm wide, apex acute to acuminate, base rounded to subcordate; bracts at the upper portion linear, 4–12 mm long, ca. 1 mm wide, pubescent, with glandular hairs. Axillary flowers usually solitary, rarely 2–3-flowered. Pedicels 1–6 cm in length, densely pilose, with dense glandular hairs and curved prickles. Flowers 1–1.5 cm in diameter. Calyx grey-green or reddish, abaxially with soft hairs and glandular hairs; sepals triangular-ovate, erect or spreading after anthesis, 5–10 mm long, 2–4 mm wide, margin grey tomentose and entire, apex acute to caudate. Petals pink to white, obovate, 5–8 mm long, 4–5 mm broad, apex repand, base shortly clawed. Stamens numerous in 2 whorls; filaments linear, glabrous, ca. 5 mm long. Pistils numerous; ovaries sparsely pilose, styles glabrous. Aggregate fruit ovoid, orange-red to red.

**Taxonomic notes.** *Rubus dianchuanensis* was firstly collected by Ernest Henry Wilson in 1908 from Wa Shan (Leshan City) in western Sichuan, southwest China. Based on Wilson’s collection, Focke (1911) published “*R. vicarius* n. form. (?)” with a Latin description in his monograph *Species Ruborum*. However, the name *R. vicarius* Focke was not validly published there under Article 36.1 of the Shenzhen Code (Turland et al. 2018). Shortly afterwards, in July 1911, the name *R. vicarius* Focke was definitely accepted by Focke (in Sargent 1911) and accompanied by a complete and direct reference, namely “Bibl. Bot. LXXII 211 (Spec. Rub.) (1911)”, to his previous Latin description, it was therefore validated. Unfortunately, the name *R. vicarius* had been previously used by Sudre (1902) for a European species; thus, Focke’s name as a later homonym was illegitimate (Article 53.1 of the Shenzhen Code).

Morphologically, *Rubus dianchuanensis* is most similar to *R. ovatisepalus* Huan C. Wang (Fig. 4), a species described recently from northwestern Yunnan and southeastern Xizang, southwest China (Wang and Wang 2019), in having dense glandular hairs throughout the plant and the racemose-cymose terminal inflorescences. However, *R. dianchuanensis* differs markedly from the latter by its leaf abaxially densely white or grey tomentose (*vs.* sparsely pubescent, with glandular hairs), bracts at base of the inflorescence usually leaf-like, ovate, ovate-lanceolate or lanceolate (*vs.* lanceolate to linear), 2.5–11×1–5 cm (*vs.* 0.7–1.2×0.1–0.2 cm), flower usually larger, 1–1.5 cm (*vs.* 0.8–1.2 cm) in diameter, pedicels 1–6 cm (*vs.* 0.7–1.5 cm) long, apex of sepals acute to caudate (*vs.* long acuminate to caudate).

*Rubus dianchuanensis* is also similar to *R. subornatus* Focke (including its variety *R. subornatus* var. *melanodenus* Focke) (Fig. 3B1–B5), with which *R. vicarius* Focke had been erroneously synonymized by Yu and Lu (1985) as well as Lu and Boufford (2003). Nevertheless, *R. dianchuanensis* differs from it by its not glaucous stems usually covered with dense ferruginous glandular hairs and soft eglandular hairs (*vs.* more or less glaucous, glabrous), terminal inflorescences racemose-cymose (*vs.* corymbose), bracts at base of the inflorescence often leaf-like, rarely trifoliolate, ovate, ovate-lanceolate or lanceolate (*vs.* linear) (Fig. 3: A3, B3), pedicels usually longer, 1–6 cm (*vs.* 1–2.5 cm) long, calyx abaxially with grey pubescent (*vs.* intermixed tomentose) and dense ferruginous glandular hairs (*vs.* spare or not), without needle-like prickles (*vs.* with needle-like prickles), and slightly pink to white (*vs.* purplish-red) petals. Some specimens of *R. dianchuanensis* had been identified as *R. phoenicolasius* Maxim., but it
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Figure 4. Rubus ovatisepalus A habit B abaxial surfaces of leaflets C mature aggregate fruit.

is well differentiated from the latter by stems and branches with short glandular hairs (vs. long glandular hairs) and without bristles (vs. dense bristles), terminal inflorescence racemose-cymose (vs. short racemes) 5–15 cm (vs. 1–6 cm) long, pedicels 1–6 cm (vs. 0.5–1.5 cm) long, flowers 1–1.5 cm (vs. 0.6–1.5 cm) in diameter, calyx without bristles (vs. with dense bristles), sepals triangular-ovate (vs. lanceolate). A detailed morphological comparison between these four species is summarized in Table 1.

**Phenology.** Rubus dianchuanensis flowering from June to August, fruiting from July to September.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “dianchuanensis” refers to the Yunnan (called dian for short in Chinese) and Sichuan (called chuan for short in Chinese) provinces, where this species occurs.

**Distribution and habitat.** Rubus dianchuanensis is endemic to southwest China, where it has been collected from western Sichuan and northwestern Yunnan (Fig. 5). It usually occurs at elevations ranging from 2500–3600 meters and grows in open woods and thickets.

**Additional specimens examined (Paratypes):** China. Sichuan: Muli County, Damadian, 3000 m a.s.l., 16 Aug 1937, T. T. Yu 7740 (PE); Shimian County, Liziping Country, 2700 m a.s.l., 28 Jul 1978, Shimian Team 78-0875 (SM); Shimian County, 1955, C. C. Hsieh 41198 (IBSC, PE); Kangding City, Zheduotang village, 3100 m a.s.l., 1 Aug 1963, K. C. Kuan et all 1218 (PE); same location, 3450 m a.s.l., 5 July 1953, X. L. Jiang 36185 (IBK, IBSC, PE); same location, 3600 m a.s.l., 16 Jul 1953, W. P. Fang & X. L. Jiang 36323 (IBK, ISBC, PE); Kangding County, 2750 m a.s.l., 24 Jun 1980, Z. J. Zhao 112962 (CDBI) and Z. J. Zhao 119262 (PE); Kangding...
Table 1. A morphological comparison of *Rubus dianchuanensis* with its relatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>R. dianchuanensis</em></th>
<th><em>R. ovatisepalus</em></th>
<th><em>R. subornatus</em></th>
<th><em>R. phoenicosius</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indumentum of stems</strong></td>
<td>dense glandular hairs</td>
<td>dense glandular hairs</td>
<td>glabrous</td>
<td>dense glandular hairs and bristles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abaxial indumentum</strong></td>
<td>densely grey tomentose</td>
<td>sparsely pubescent, with glandular hairs</td>
<td>densely grey tomentose</td>
<td>densely grey tomentose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terminal Inflorescence</strong></td>
<td>racemose-cymose</td>
<td>racemiform cymes</td>
<td>corymbose</td>
<td>short racemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of pedicel</strong></td>
<td>1–6 cm</td>
<td>0.7–1.5 cm</td>
<td>1–2.5 cm</td>
<td>0.5–1.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diameter of flower</strong></td>
<td>1–1.5 cm</td>
<td>0.8–1.2 cm</td>
<td>2–3 cm</td>
<td>0.6–1.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Petal colour</strong></td>
<td>white or slightly pink</td>
<td>white or slightly pink</td>
<td>purplish-red</td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Petal vs. sepal</strong></td>
<td>petal slightly longer than sepals</td>
<td>petal shorter than sepals</td>
<td>petal shorter than sepals</td>
<td>petal much shorter than sepals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City, Yajiageng, Laoyunshachang, 3318 m a.s.l., 101°58'17"E, 29°56'00"N, 28 Aug 2008, Y. L. Peng & W. G. Tu Gaoxf-0856 (KUN); Xiaojin County, 3500 m a.s.l., 1 Jul 1959, Z. G. Liu 0412 (CDBI, PE); Lixian County, 26 Aug 1957, X. Li 74160 (IBSC, PE, NAS); Lixian County, Miyaluo village, 25 Jul 1958, Z. L. Wu 33375 (PE; CAF);
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Barkam City, Barkam County, Dalangjiao River, 2300–2900 m a.s.l., 12 Jul 1960, *Sichuan Medicine Source Survey Team* 22297 (NAS, SM); Barkam City, 2800 m a.s.l., 11 Jul 1957, *H. F. Zhou & Z. Y. Zhang* 22772 (IBSC, NAS, KUN, PE); Barkam City, Dalangzugou, 2700 m a.s.l., 27 Aug 1957, *X. Li* 72288 (IBSC, NAS, PE); Heishui County, Naizigou, 2900 m a.s.l., 22 Jul 1957, *X. Li* 73260 (IBSC, NAS, KUN; PE).

**Yunnan:** Ninglang County, Lugu Lake, 27°39′21″N, 100°48′36″E, 2500–2600 m a.s.l., 6 Aug 2015, *H. C. Wang* et al. LGH8164 (YUKU).
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