RESEARCH ARTICLE



# An update on the taxonomy of Calamagrostis nagarum (Bor) G.Singh and its allies (Poaceae, Agrostidinae): morphometrics and micro-morphology

Dileshwar Prasad<sup>1,2</sup>, Ravindra Kumar<sup>1,2</sup>, Shubham Jaiswal<sup>1</sup>, Rekha Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Smita Tiwari<sup>1</sup>, Priyanka Agnihotri<sup>1,2</sup>

l Plant Diversity, Systematics & Herbarium Division, CSIR–National Botanical Research Institute, Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow–226001, India **2** Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Sector 19, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad–201002, India

Corresponding author: Priyanka Agnihotri (priyagni\_2006@yahoo.co.in)

Academic editor: Marcin Nobis | Received 18 June 2022 | Accepted 28 September 2022 | Published 4 November 2022

**Citation:** Prasad D, Kumar R, Jaiswal S, Yadav R, Tiwari S, Agnihotri P (2022) An update on the taxonomy of *Calamagrostis nagarum* (Bor) G.Singh and its allies (Poaceae, Agrostidinae): morphometrics and micro-morphology. PhytoKeys 212: 135–155. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.212.89253

#### Abstract

*Calamagrostis nagarum*, previously considered to be a poorly known species, has been reassessed taxonomically. It is a member of *C. lahulensis-C. scabrescens* complex and may be segregated by morphological characters such as the presence of pilose hairs on adaxial surface of leaf blades, spreading panicle branches, filiform awn and nerve prolongation of lemma. Besides, the micromorphology of adaxial surface of leaf blades, dorsal surface of glume and lemma differentiates *Calamagrostis nagarum* from its allies, *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens*. It is known from Nagaland and Uttarakhand, India, and Bhutan. In this study, we have provided an emended description of the species, a discussion of its habitat and distribution, and taxonomic notes along with field photographs and photo plates for its correct identification. In addition, we also lectotypify the names *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens*.

#### Keywords

Cool season grass, Deyeuxia, lectotypification, micro-morphology, taxonomy, Western Himalaya

#### Introduction

The genus Calamagrostis Adans. s. str., including Deyeuxia Clarion ex P.Beauv., belongs to the subtribe Agrostidinae (Poaceae, Pooideae, Poeae) and includes about 130 species globally (Soreng et al. 2022). Several American species previously recognized under Calamagrostis have been transferred to the genera Cinnagrostis Griseb., Greeneochloa P.M. Peterson, Soreng, Romasch. & Barberá, Laegaardia P.M. Peterson, Soreng, Romasch. & Barberá, Paramochloa P.M. Peterson, Soreng, Romasch. & Barberá, Peyritschia E. Fourn, and Deschampsia (Saarela et al. 2017; Peterson et al. 2019). Calamagrostis s. str. is characterized by plants rhizomatous or not, with or without extravaginal branching; lemma awns straight or slightly bent, readily distinguished from callus hairs, inserted at base to middle or rarely near the apex; rachilla extension penicillate hairy along the length or glabrous, or, if rudimentary, then callus with long hairs; callus hairs 1/10-3/4 as long as the lemma in length or longer than lemma; lodicules entire and lanceolate, sometimes with an isolated lateral lobe, glabrous; ovary glabrous, distinctly sulcate, hilum 1/6-1/3 the grain in length (Peterson et al. 2019). It is also similar to the genus Agrostis L. and both genera have several notoriously difficult species complexes, as well as hybrids at the global level (Howard et al. 2009; Paszko and Nobis 2010; Paszko and Ma 2011; Paszko 2012b, 2013). In India, Calamagrostis s. str. comprises about 22 species which are mainly confined to Himalayan region (Bor 1960; Paszko 2012a; Paszko and Soreng 2013; Kellogg et al. 2020; Prasad et al. 2021) and formed several species complexes such as the C. emodensis Griseb. complex, the C. epigeios (L.) Roth complex, the C. lahulensis G.Singh-C. scabrescens Griseb. complex, and the C. pseudophragmites (Haller f.) Koeler complex, however, the majority of these have been recently resolved (Paszko and Ma 2011; Paszko 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014a, 2014b). C. lahulensis-C. scabrescens complex is characterized by the presence of rachilla with penicillate hairs which is as long as or more than the length of lemma, callus hairs shorter than half of the length of lemma and awn inserted 2/3<sup>rd</sup> from base to near the tip on the dorsal side of lemma (Bor 1960; Paszko 2014a 2015, 2016).

Calamagrostis nagarum (Bor) G.Singh was originally described by Bor (1938) under the genus Deyeuxia Clarion ex P. Beauv as D. nagarum Bor. At the time of its description, it was only known from the type locality Naga Hills, Nagaland, India, but was later also reported in the grass flora of Bhutan (Noltie 2000). Furthermore, it has been documented in the updated checklist of grasses of Uttarakhand, India (Kandwal and Gupta 2009). The diagnosis and keys, provided by Bor (1940, 1960), Shukla (1996) and Noltie (2000), are overlapping with C. elatior, C. lahulensis and C. scabrescens, therefore, do not adequately segregate C. nagarum from them. Although the morphological descriptions provided by Bor (1940) and Shukla (1996) exactly correspond to C. nagarum, the features of panicles, narrow and up to 07 cm long, provided by Noltie (2000), have created confusion for the species identity. Furthermore, Bor (1940) and Shukla (1996) stated that C. nagarum is morphologically similar to C. lahulensis G.Singh and C. scabrescens Griseb., whereas Noltie (2000) assumed that it is more similar to C. elatior, perhaps on the basis of pilose hairs on adaxial side of leaf blades. Since the existing taxonomic literature is unable to distinguish C. nagarum from its allied species, we conducted a taxonomic reassessment of C. nagarum to clarify its identity.

In the present study, we also lectotypify the names *C. lahulensis* G. Singh and *C. scabrescens* Griseb. since no specimen was selected as type specimen for either name (Art. 9.3 of ICN; Turland et al. 2018). Both species were originally described by Grisebach, a German botanist, who worked in Kew herbarium and studied Hooker's specimens of grasses collected from India and described and/or recorded a total of about 43 taxa within the Agrostideen group including *Calamagrostis pulchella* Griseb. (replaced synonym of *C. lahulensis*) and *C. scabrescens* Griseb.

#### Materials and methods

This study is based on an examination of herbarium specimens as well as field collections belonging to Calamagrostis lahulensis, C. nagarum and C. scabrescens. Self-collected specimens, deposited at CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow (LWG) herbarium were gathered during botanical trips in several localities of Western and Eastern Himalaya. The following Indian Herbaria: Botanical Survey of India, Regional Centre, Dehradun (BSD), Central National Herbarium, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah (CAL), Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, Dehradun (DD), University of Kashmir, Srinagar (KASH) and LWG were also consulted in person (see Appendix 1). The identification of Calamagrostis spp. was done through a consultation of international, national and regional floras and taxonomic studies (Hooker 1896; Bor 1938, 1940, 1960; Chowdhary and Wadhwa 1984; Aswal and Mehrotra 1994; Shukla 1996; Gaur 1999; Noltie 2000; Lu and Phillips 2006; Pusalkar and Singh 2012; Paszko et al. 2013; Paszko 2014a; Paszko et al. 2017; Prasad et al. 2021). Besides, specimens that belong to C. lahulensis, C. nagarum and C. scabrescens were identified by their respective protologue (Grisebach 1868; Bor 1938). Morphological measurements were recorded from 26 spikelets of *C. lahulensis*, 23 spikelets of C. nagarum and 42 spikelets of C. scabrescens, from the specimens housed at LWG, usually on one spikelet from one individual, using a Stereo Zoom Trinnocular microscope equipped with a MC 120 HD camera. Photographs of C.nagarum were also taken. The recorded quantitative data of morphological characters (Table 1) of C. lahulensis, C. nagarum and C. scabrescens were subjected to univariate variance analysis. For Principal component analysis (PCA), the statistic software XLSTAT BASIC + (https://www.xlstat.com/en/) was used. The morphological data recorded from spikelets (except panicle length and ligule length) were included in the PCA analysis. To analyse the morphological boundaries between C. lahulensis, C. scabrescens and C. nagarum, a scatter plot of PCA loadings  $\geq 0.70$  of the selected morphological characters was conducted. We prepared distribution map of C. nagarum by using DIVA-GIS computational program (Hijmans et al. 2001) based on examined specimens and localities documented in Bor (1960) and Noltie (2000).

For the micro-morphological study of *C. lahulensis, C. nagarum*, and *C. scabrescens*, we examined leaf blades, glumes, and lemmas from the collections *D. Prasad et al. 326642, 339372* and *326717* (LWG), respectively. All the materials were fixed in formalin-aceticalcohol (ratio 1:3:1) solution for 48 hr. The samples were then dehydrated with increasing

Morphological characters	Character abbreviation (unit)
Panicle length	PNL (cm)
Ligule length	LIGL (mm)
Lower glume length	LGL (mm)
Lower glume width	LGW (mm)
Upper glume length	UGL (mm)
Upper glume width	UGW (mm)
Lemma length	LL (mm)
Palea length	PL (mm)
Awn length	AL (mm)
Rachilla hairs length	RHL (mm)
Lemma nerves prolongation length (intermediate nerve and lateral nerve)	LNP (mm)
Lemma base to awn insertion point length	LBTAIP (mm)
Awn insertion point to lemma tip length	AIPTLT (mm)
Ratio: lower glume length to upper glume length	LGL/UGL
Ratio: lower glume width to lower glume length	LGW/LGL
Ratio: lemma length to lower glume length	LL/LGL
Ratio: palea length to lemma length	PL/LL
Ratio: rachilla hair length to lemma length	RHL/LL
Ratio: awn length to lemma length	Al/LL
Ratio: awn insertion points to lemma tip, to lemma base to awn insertion point	AIPTLT/LBTAIP

Table 1. Morphological characters used in the present study.

strengths of ethyl alcohol solutions. Thereafter, the prepared samples were examined using a FEI QUANTA250F scanning electron microscope (SEM) in low vacuum mode (Doğan 1988) at CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow, India.

Specimens of *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens* matching the criteria of original material were examined online at Montpellier University, Montpellier, France (MPU), National Museum of Natural History, Paris, France (P), Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria (W), Royal Botanic Garden, U.K. Scotland, Edinburgh (E), Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, U.K. England, Kew (K) and The Natural History Museum, London (BM), as well as in person at CAL.

#### **Result and discussion**

#### Morphological variation and morphometrics

Analysis of selected morphological characters of *Calamagrostis nagarum* revealed that most of the characters overlap with *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens* except nerve prolongation of lemma (LNP) and ratio of lower glume width to lower glume length (LGW/LGL) (Fig. 1). The lemma apex in *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabresens* is erose (like four small unequal lobes), usually without nerve prolongation or rarely with a nerve prolongation, which is 0.08–0.26 (0.38) mm long, whereas in *C. nagarum* the nerve prolongation of lemma is (0.3–)0.4–0.7(–0.9) mm long. We have observed a nerve prolongation of lemma only in six spikelets of *C. scabrescens* and all spikelets of *C. nagarum*. A strongly geniculate and long awn is common in *C. scabrescens*, but straight and short awns are also observed in some



**Figure 1.** Box plots for selected morphological variables showing mean (cross), mean ± SD (box), outliers (dot) and range of variation (whiskers) for *C. lahulensis* (lah.), *C. nagarum* (nag.) and *C. scabrescens* (scab.).

spikelets in which the glume margin is ciliate. The point of awn insertion on the dorsal side of the lemma is highly variable in *C. lahulensis*, inserted on lower  $2/3^{rd}$  to the tip of the lemma, and similar variation is also observed in *C. nagarum*. In *C. scabrescens*, however, the awn is inserted on the middle to lower  $2/3^{rd}$  of lemma. The ratio of palea to lemma is constant in a range of (0.65-)0.70-0.85(-0.99) in both *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens* while a narrower range of variation, (0.48-)0.55-0.68(-0.75) is observed in *C. nagarum*. The ratio of rachilla hair length to lemma length in *C. lahulensis* ranges from (0.90-)1.06-1.19(-1.35) and has a wide range of variation in both *C. nagarum* and *C. scabrescens*.

The first principal component (PC1) had relatively high (positive or negative) loading for lower and upper glume length and width, lemma length, rachilla hairs length, awn length, awn insertion points to lemma tip length and palea length, however, PC2 had relatively high loading for lemma nerve prolongation and ratio of lower glume width to lower glume length (Fig. 2). According to PC1 vs. PC2, *C. nagarum* is separable from *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens* on the basis of nerve prolongation of lemma (Fig. 3), whereas *C. lahulensis* and *C. scabrescens* overlap.



**Figure 2.** Projection of variables on principal component (PC1  $\times$  PC2) scored for *C. lahulensis*, *C. nagarum* and *C. scabrescens*.



**Figure 3.** Scatterplot of lemma nerve prolongation length (LNP) again awn length (AL) for *C. lahulensis*, *C. nagarum* and *C. scabrescens*.

#### Micro-morphology of leaf blades, glume, and lemma

On the adaxial surface of leaves, C. lahulensis has less prominent grooves or sometimes absent (Fig. 5A), while in C. nagarum (Fig. 5B) and C. scabrescens (Fig. 5C) grooves are prominent with deep furrows. Rigid spicules of adaxial side of leaf blades are of two different types: prickle (short-pointed apex) and hooked (long pointed apex). The former is usually densely or sometimes sparsely present in *C. lahulensis* (Fig. 4A), while in C. nagarum (Fig. 4B) and C. scabrescens (Fig. 4C) both are present. In *C.nagarum*, spicules are arranged in four rows along the grooves (Fig. 4B), while in *C. scabrescens* there are two rows of densely and sparsely arranged spicules on grooves (Fig. 4C). Length of spicules is 49.7-57.2 µm long (Fig. 4A) and 42.5-54.9 µm long (Fig. 4C) in C. lahulensis and C. scabrescens, respectively, but in C. nagarum length of spicules is 21.7–45.60 µm long (Fig. 4B). However, the pilose hairs, present on the adaxial surface of leaf blades in C. nagarum, shed during the preparation of the sample. The dorsal glume surface is scabrous because of rigid spicules in all three species (Fig. 4D–F). Prickles on dorsal glume surface are sparsely arranged in C. nagarum (Fig. 4E), while in C. lahulensis (Fig. 4D) and C. scabrescens (Fig. 4F) prickles are absent, but hooks are densely arranged. C. lahulensis and C. scabrescens have spicules 35.8-47.3 µm long (Fig. 4D) and 47.27-75.01 µm long (Fig. 4F), respectively, that are comparatively longer than C. nagarum, in which spicules are usually 19.51–26.43 µm long (Fig. 4E). The dorsal lemma surface shows a high degree of variability among all three species (Fig. 4G–I). The hooks are arranged antrorsely



**Figure 4.** SEM morphology of adaxial side of leaf blades (**A–C**), dorsal surface of glume (**D–F**) and dorsal surface of lemma (**G–I**) in *C. lahulensis* (**A, D, G**), *C. nagarum* (**B, E, H**) and *C. scabrescens* (**C, F, I**).



Figure 5. Geographic distribution of *Calamagrostis nagarum*.

and retrorsely in *C. lahulensis* and are of two different lengths, short  $(27.42-37.80 \ \mu m \ long)$  and long  $(0.65-0.75 \ \mu m \ long)$  (Fig. 5G). However, in both *C. nagarum* and *C. scabrescens* prickles are 25.82-63.38  $\mu m \ long$  (Fig. 4H) and 25.37-39.51  $\mu m \ long$  (Fig. 4I), respectively.

#### Taxonomic treatment

# *Calamagrostis nagarum* (Bor) G.Singh in Taxon 33(1): 94 (1984); Shukla, Grass. N. E. India, 47 (1996)

Deyeuxia nagarum Bor in Indian Forest Rec., Bot. 1: 69 (1938); Bor, Fl. Assam, 5: 145 (1940); Bor, Grass. Burma, Ceylon, India & Pakistan, 399 (1960). Basionym.

**Type.** INDIA. Nagaland [earlier in Assam], Naga hills, Japvo range, 9,500 ft [2895 m], September 1937, *NL Bor 2834* (holo. K: K000032378, digital image!).

Amended description. A perennial, rhizomatous, robust grass, 50–100 cm tall. Culms 40-80 cm long, simple, terete, glabrous, 2-3 nodes below the panicle. Node glabrous, compressed. Leaf sheaths split, overlapping, loose, connate toward base, smooth, sometimes scaberulous. Leaf blades 7-20 × 0.3-0.7 cm, flat, narrowlylinear, adaxial scabrous with distantly pilose and abaxial surface scabrous; apex attenuate; margin scabrid. Ligules 0.75-4.2 mm long, membranous, adaxial surface glabrous, abaxial surface scabrous; apex obtuse, lacerate. Inflorescence a panicle,  $5-18 \times 5-8$  cm, very lax with spreading branches; lower panicle branches paired or in whorls of 3-5; 1-8 cm long, almost smooth or sometime scabrous, filiform, flexuous. Rachis slender, glabrous or scabrous. Spikelets 5.1-6.7 × 1.5-2 mm, lanceolate to wedge shaped at maturity, bearing 1-floret, disarticulating above the glume and below the floret, greenish with pink tinged; glumes subequal, persistent; floret hermaphroditic. Pedicel shorter than spikelet, slender, scabrous. Lower glume 3.7-5.2 × 0.65-1.3 mm, 1-nerved, 1-keeled, lanceolate, greenish with pink tinge near margin, scaberulous to somewhat glabrous; apex acuminate; margin narrowly hyaline, entire; keel scabrous. Upper glume 3.8-5.4 × 0.87-1.34 mm, 3-nerved, 1-keeled, lanceolate, greenish with pink tinged, scabrous; apex acuminate; margin narrowly hyaline, entire; keel scabrous. Callus evenly bearded, hairs 1.1-1.9 mm long, nearly half of the length of lemma or shorter. Lemma  $3.1-4.3 \times 1.0-1.6$  mm, 5-nerved, membranous, surface scaberulous with papillate, awned; apex acute with 4-nerve prolongation 0.27–0.9 mm long; margin hyaline. Rachilla 1.2–1.9 mm long, penicillate hairy, usually bare at base; rachilla with hairs 2.5-4.9 mm long. Awn 2.8-5.1 mm long, straight, filiform, slender, scabrous-antrorse, exerted from the spikelet, arising from above the middle of lemma back. Palea 1.8-2.7 mm long, 2-nerved, 2-keeled, hyaline-membranous, rounded on back; apex slightly bifid. Lodicules 2, 0.7–0.8 mm long, lanceolate. Stamens 3; anthers 1.5–2.3 mm long, narrowly linear. Mature caryopsis not seen.

Phenology. September to October (flowering and fruiting).

Habitat and distribution. Calmagrostis nagarum was discovered in the Japvo range of Naga Hills situated in Nagaland, which is geographically located in the eastern region of Assam, southernmost of Arunachal Pradesh and northern Manipur, India and close to the political boundary of Myanmar. Approximately 3% of the total geographical region of Nagaland is part of the Himalayan region, while the rest of the region is situated in a complex mountain system forming Naga Hills. Previously, it was only known from the type locality in a sub-temperate region at about 2800 m elevation and was considered to be endemic for that geographic range (Bor 1938, 1940, 1960; Shukla 1996). Later, it was recorded from Bhutan, geographically located in Eastern Himalayas, by Noltie (2000), where it was found not only on damp shady cliffs in blue pine and oak forest but also in riverbanks and scrubland, at 2400-2840 m elevation. Recently, it was documented in an updated checklist of grasses of Uttarakhand, Western Himalaya (Kandwal and Gupta 2009), but this geographic range was not included by Kellogg et al. (2020) as part of the species distributional range. During the present study, we collected specimens of C. nagarum from Pindari Valley, located in Bageshwar district of Uttarakhand, and confirmed its occurrence in Western Himalaya (Fig. 5). It was found growing in Danthonia grassland in association with Polygonum sp., Anagalis sp., and Gaultheria sp., at 3000-3050 m elevation in Phurkia village and, in Dhakuri top at about 2900 m elevation on forest margin as well as on Pindar riverbank in Dwali village at 2500–2800 m elevation. The vertical distribution shows C. nagarum is mainly confined to 2500–3100 m elevation, below the tree line, at about 3,300 m elevation, whereas C. lahulensis is widely distributed above the treeline at about 3350-4200 m elevation, which overlaps with the elevation range of C. scabrescens (Fig. 6).



Figure 6. Box plot for vertical distribution of C. lahulensis, C. nagarum and C. scabrescens.

**Taxonomic notes and allied species.** *Calamagrostis nagarum* should be placed in *C. lahulensis-C. scabrescens* complex because of its rachilla with penicillate hairs equal to longer than lemma, callus hairs shorter than half of the lemma and awn inserted at about middle to tip of the lemma. Within this complex, it should be recognized by the presence of pilose hairs on adaxial surface of leaf blade, widely spreading panicle branches, nerve prolongation of lemma (0.3–)0.4–0.7(–0.9) mm long and filiform awn within this complex (Figs 7, 8, 9). *Calamagrostis nagarum* is more similar to *C. lahulensis* than to



**Figure 7.** *Calamagrostis nagarum:* **A** habit **B** panicle **C** leaf blade and panicle. [Photos were taken through Samsung F41 by the first author, correspond to D. Prasad et al. 339372].



**Figure 8.** *Calamagrostis nagarum*: **A** culm and ligule **B** Panicle **C** lower panicle branch **D** leaf blade, adaxial view **E** ligules. [Photographs: **A–C** from Prasad et al. 339324 and **D–E** from D. Prasad et al. 339372].

*C. scabrescens.* It differs from *C. lahulensis* in panicle length  $[(5-)8.1-12(-18) \text{ cm} \log \text{ vs.} (1.5-)4-7(-9) \text{ cm} \log]$ , lower branches of panicle [spreading with  $(1.0-)3-7(-8) \text{ cm} \log \text{ vs.}$  ascending with  $(0.5-)1-3(-3.5) \text{ cm} \log]$  and, from the latter in culm (glabrous vs. scabrous), ligule length  $[(0.75-)0.83-1.2(-1.36) \text{ mm} \log \text{ vs.} (3.6-)4.9-7.1(-8.84) \text{ mm} \log]$ , lower glume width  $[(0.65-)0.81-1.1(-1.3) \text{ mm} \log \text{ vs.} (1.1-) 1.3-1.5 (-1.7) \text{ mm} \log]$  and awn length  $[(1.6-)2.3-3.2(-5.7) \text{ mm} \log \text{ vs.} (3.3-)5.1-6.8(-7.9) \text{ mm} \log]$ . *Calamagrostis nagarum* is somewhat similar to another member of this complex, *C. nandadeviensis*, in having  $(5-)8.1-12(-17) \text{ mm} \log$  panicles, but differs from the latter in culm pubescence (glabrous vs. scabrous), ligule length  $[(0.75-)0.83-1.2(-1.36) \text{ mm} \log \text{ vs.} (6.1-)6.5-7.5(-8.1) \text{ mm} \log]$ , upper glume nervation (3-nerved vs. 1-nerved) and ratio of palea length to lemma length [(0.49-)0.54-0.62(-0.72) vs. (0.75-)0.77-



**Figure 9.** *Calamagrostis nagarum*: **A** spikelet **B** remove glumes **C** floret **D**, **E** Open floret **F**, **G** lemma, **H** lemma apex **I**, **J** rachilla, **K**, **L** palea **M** anthers **N** lodicules **O** caryopsis. [Photographs: **A–E**, **H–I** and **L–O** from D. Prasad et al. 339324 and **F–G** and **J–K** from D. Prasad et al. 339372].

0.85(-0.90)]. Along with this, *C. himalaica* (L.Liu ex Wen L.Chen) Paszko, reported from China and Myanmar, and *C. nyingchiensis* (P.C.Kuo & S.L.Lu) Paszko, restricted to China (Paszko 2015; Paszko 2016) are also members of the *C. lahulensis-C. scabrescens* complex. *C. nagarum* differs from *C. himalaica* in awn (2.8–5.1 mm long, straight and filiform vs. 4.5–10 mm long, strongly geniculate) and from *C. nyingchiensis* in anther length (1.5–2.3 mm long vs. 0.7–1.1 mm long). *Calamagrostis nagarum* differs from *C. elatior* by having callus hairs shorter than half of the length of lemma and, straight and filiform awn.

#### Taxonomic key to the C. lahulensis, C. nagarum and C. scabrescens

1	Leaf blades pilose on adaxial surface; panicle branches widely spreading; nerve
	prolongation of lemma conspicuous with (0.3–)0.4–0.7(–0.9) mm long
	C. nagarum
_	Leaf blades without hairs on adaxial surface; panicle branched ascending;
	nerve prolongation of lemma usually absents, if present then < 0.4 mm long
2	Leaf blades with prominent grooves and deep furrows; panicle (6-)11-18(-
	25) cm long; awns usually strongly geniculate, rarely straight with (3.3–)5.0–
	6.8(-7.8) mm long <i>C. scabrescens</i>
_	Leaf blades without prominent grooves and deep furrows: panicles (1.5-
	)4-7(-9.0) cm long; awns not geniculate and straight with (1.6-)2.3-3.2(-
	4.5) mm long <i>C. labulensis</i>

#### Typification of names

#### 1. Calamagrostis lahulensis G.Singh, in Taxon 33 (1) 94 (1984)

Replaced name (typonym). Calamagrostis pulchella Griseb. Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math. -Phys. Kl., 78 (1868), non Saut. ex Rchb. Fl. Germ. Excurs.: 26 (1830)

Lectotype (designated here).–INDIA. Sikkim, Guantong, 12,000 ft. [3657 m], 5 September 1849, [*Deyeuxia* 10], *J.D. Hooker* s.n. (K000032374; digital image!). (Image available at https://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.5555/al.ap.specimen.k000032374)

Note. Calamagrostis lahulensis was originally described by Grisebach (1868) as C. pulchella. Later, Hooker (1896) assigned C. pulchella to the genus Deyeuxia. Thereafter, Singh (1984) transferred the Indian species of Deyeuxia into Calamagrostis and proposed a new name C. lahulensis for C. pulchella Griseb. as the epithet "pulchella" was preoccupied in *Calamagrostis* by the name *C. pulchella* Saut. ex Rchb. Grisebach (1868) proposed the name C. pulchella based on the heterogenous collections of Hooker, covering the regions between Garhwal and Sikkim Himalaya of India. Although all specimens seen by Grisebach, were 'sino numero' (without collection number), he cited localities, altitude, date of collection, name of the collector, and annotation "10Deyeuxia" in the protologue. While searching for the original specimens in various herbaria (BM, CAL, DD, E, K and P.), where most of the Hooker's specimens are housed, we traced seven specimens at K (K000032374, K000032375, K000032376, K000032377, K000838345, K0000838346, and K0000838348), three specimens at CAL (CAL0000002397, CAL0000002398, and CAL0000002399), four specimens at W (W0026815, W1889-0241774, W1889-0038498, and W1916-00037771) and one specimen at E (E00394124). All the specimens have a label consisting of the collection details with the annotation '10 Deyeuxia' and matched well with the protologue. Therefore, they should have been considered as syntypes (Art. 9.6 of the ICN; Turland et al. 2018). The specimens housed at K have been verified by H.J.

Noltie as syntypes, while the specimens preserved at W and CAL have been verified by Beata Paszko, Polish Academy of Sciences Poland (KRAM) as type materials. In addition to these, L. Pignotti has also identified the specimens housed at W as syntypes. Another specimen housed at K (K000838347) was from East Nepal, and thus we have excluded it from type materials. We have also examined the type specimens of *C. lahulensis* housed at CAL, which has the same morphological characters, such as panicle short with about 3–4 cm long and congested and awn straight and shortly or not exserted from the spikelet as found in the typical form of *C. lahulensis*. Since Grisebach (1868) examined the grass specimens which were housed at Kew herbarium for the description of *C. lahulensis*, the nomenclatural type should be from the Kew specimens. The presence of rhizomes, culms, ligules, inflorescences, and spikelets and its good preservation makes the specimen K000032374 suitable to choose as the lectotype; the same has been designated here lectotype for the name *C. lahulensis* following Art. 9.3 of the ICN (Turland et al. 2018).

#### 2. Calamagrostis scabrescens Griseb., Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Georg-Augusts-Univ. 3: 79. (1868)

C. scabrescens var. humilis Griseb. Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Georg-Augusts-Univ. 3: 79 (1868). Lectotype (designated here):-INDIA. Sikkim, Lachen, 11,000 ft. [3352.8 m], [D. nr. 9], 3 July 1849, J.D. Hooker s.n. (K: K000838368, digital image!). (Image available at https://plants.jstor.org/stable/viewer/10.5555/al.ap.specimen. k000032368).

**Lectotype (designated here).**–INDIA. Sikkim, Lachen, 12,000 ft [3600 m], [*Deyeuxia scabrescens* Munr.], 3 August 1849, *J.D. Hooker s.n.* (K: K000838333, digital image!). (Image available at https://plants.jstor.org/stable/viewer/10.5555/al.ap.specimen. k000838333)

**Note.** Grisebach (1868) described *Calamagrostis scabrescens* with three varieties viz. ( $\alpha$ ) var. *scabrescens* Griseb., ( $\beta$ ) var. *elatior* Griseb. and ( $\gamma$ ) var. *humilis* Griseb. based on Hooker's gatherings which were housed in Kew Herbarium. The name *C. scabrescens* ( $\equiv$ var. *scabrescens*) was given for H. [Hooker's]: *D. [Deyeuxia] scabrescens* Munr., characterized by ciliated glumes along the margin. The var. *elatior* was proposed for H. [Hooker's]: *D. [Deyeuxia]* nr. 7, in which glumes are not ciliated along the margin, panicle sub-violet and about 30 cm long. Var. *humilis* was proposed for the H. [Hooker's]: D. [*Deyeuxia*] nr. 9, which differs from the above by having non ciliated glumes, panicle narrow and greenish, and ligule short, truncate or obtuse. Later, var. *humilis* was synonymized with *C. scabrescens*, while var. *elatior* raised to rank of species as *C. elatior* (Griseb.) A. Camus (Camus 1928; Bor 1960).

While searching for Hooker's specimen(s) belonging to the name *C. scabrescens* in various herbaria we traced six specimens, two at K (K000838333 and K000838334), one specimen at BM (BM000573477), CAL (0000004002), MPU (MPU027066),

and W (W1889-0241775) each. All the specimens were collected from the different localities of Sikkim Himalaya and have the annotation "H.: *Deyeuxia scabrescens* Munr." The specimens at K, W, and CAL were verified as type materials by Beata Paszko. We have examined all the specimens including those which are housed in MPU and BM and determined as type material of *C. scabrescens*.

At CAL, the specimen, CAL0000002402, was stored under the type materials of *C. scabrescens*, without annotation of "*Deyeuxia scabrescens* Munr." on the sheet. This specimen was identified as the type of *Deyeuxia filiformis* senso. Hook. f., but later identified as *C. scabrescens* by Sunanda Bhattacharya, Botanical Survey of India. According to Paszko (2012a), it belongs to *Deyeuxia filiformis* senso. Hook. f. of *C. lahulensis-C. scabrescens* complex. Therefore, we excluded it from the type materials.

Since Grisebach examined Hooker's specimens housed at K for his new species *C. scabrescens*, the specimen with barcode K000838333 (left-hand side) is designated here as lectotype for the name *C. scabrescens* as per Art. 9.3 of the ICN (Turland et al. 2018), because of its good preservation with complete plants including inflorescence and spikelet and illustration of ciliated glumes on the sheet.

In addition to this, for the var. *humilis* we have traced another two specimens at K (K000838352 and K000032368) and one specimen at W (W0026817) which belong to the type materials of var. *humilis*. All of them bear the annotation "H.: D. nr. 9", and thus should be considered as syntypes (Art. 9.6 of the ICN; Turland et al. 2018). The specimen with barcode K000838368 is designated here as the lectotype for the name *C. scabrescens* var. *humilis* following Art. 9.3 of the ICN (Turland et al. 2018), as the specimen is well-preserved and also morphologically complete with roots, inflorescences, and spikelets.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Prof. Saroj K. Barik, Director of the CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow, India, for providing facilities and encouragement; Dr. Tariq Husain, former chief scientist of the CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, India, for his consistent guidance; Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife) and Sikkim Biodiversity Board of Sikkim for permitting access to the grass diversity of East Sikkim; Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife), Dehradun, Uttarakhand for giving the permission to collect voucher specimens from Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary (KWLS) and Valley of Flower's National Park (VoFNP). We also thank the curators of the following herbaria: BSD, CAL, DD, KASH and LWG for allowing the examination of herbarium specimens, and staff of the Herbaria BM, E, K and P for making specimens and/or their images/photos available. Institutional manuscript number provided by ethical committees: CSIR-NBRI\_MS/2022/05/05.

This work is a part of DP & RK PhD Thesis, co-funded by CSIR, New Delhi, India; and was also supported by SERB New Delhi under CRG scheme (grant: CRG/2021/000720).

#### References

- Aswal BS, Mehrotra BN (1994) Flora of Lahul Spiti (A Cold Desert in North-West Himalaya). Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, India, 761 pp.
- Bor NL (1938) A list of the grasses of Assam. Indian Forest Records. Botany 1(3): 100.
- Bor NL (1940) Gramineae vol. 5. In: Kanjilal UN, Kanjilal PC, Das A (Eds) Flora of Assam. Assam Government Press, Shillong, 480 pp.
- Bor NL (1960) Grasses of Burma, Ceylon, India and Pakistan (excluding Bambuseae). Pergamon Press, London, 767 pp.
- Camus A (1928) Quelques Graminées nouvelles pour la flore de l'Indo-Chine. Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France 75(3): 552–555. https://doi.org/10.1080/00378941.1928.1 0836296
- Chowdhary HJ, Wadhwa BM (1984) Flora of Himachal Pradesh: Analysis. Vols. 1–3. Botanical Survey of India. Calcutta, 860 pp.
- Doğan M (1988) A scanning electron microscope survey of the lemma in *Phleum, Pseudophle-um* and *Rhizocephalus* (Gramineae). Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh 45: 177–124.
- Gaur RD (1999) Flora of the District Garhwal Northwest Himalaya. Transmedia, Srinagar U.P., India.
- Grisebach A (1868) Ueber die Gramineen Hochasiens. Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften und von der Georg-Augusts-Universitat 1868: 61–93.
- Hijmans RJ, Guarino L, Cruz M, Rojas E (2001) Computer tools for spatial analysis of plant genetic resources data: 1 DIVA-GIS. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter (Rome, Italy) 127: 15–19. https://www.diva-gis.org/docs/pgr127\_15-19.pdf
- Hooker JD (1896) The flora of British India 7. L. Reeve & Co., London, 842 pp. https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.title.678
- Howard TG, Saarela JM, Paszko B, Peterson PM, Werier D (2009) New records and a taxonomic review of *Calamagrostis perplexa* (Poaceae: Poeae: Agrostidinae), a New York state endemic grass. Rhodora 111(946): 155–170. https://doi.org/10.3119/08-5.1
- Kandwal M, Gupta BK (2009) An update on grass flora of Uttarakhand. Indian Journal of Forestry 32(4): 657–668. https://doi.org/10.54207/bsmps1000-2009-WM8B5Q
- Kellogg EA, Abbott JR, Bawa KS, Gandhi KN, Kailash BR, Ganeshaiah KN, Shrestha UB, Raven P (2020) Checklist of the Grasses of India. PhytoKeys 163: 1–560. https://doi. org/10.3897/phytokeys.163.38393
- Lu SL, Phillips SM (2006) Calamagrostis. In: Wu, ZY, PH Raven, DY Hong (Eds) Flora of China—Poaceae 22. Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 359–361. http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora\_id=2&taxon\_id=105009
- Noltie HJ (2000) Flora of Bhutan, 3 (2)—the Grasses of Bhutan. Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh & Royal Government of Bhutan, Edinburgh, 883 pp.
- Paszko B (2012a) Taxonomic revision of *Calamagrostis filiformis*, *C. tripilifera* and their allies (Poaceae: Agrostidinae). Polish Botanical Journal 57: 335–346.
- Paszko B (2012b) Calamagrostis gamblei sp. nov. (Poaceae) from the Western Himalayas, NW India. Polish Botanical Journal 57: 327–334.

- Paszko B (2013) The identity of *Calamagrostis emodensis* var. *breviseta* (Poaceae, Agrostidinae). Phytotaxa 118(2): 35–42. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.118.2.2
- Paszko B (2014a) *Deyeuxia himalaica* (Poaceae, Agrostidinae): Taxonomy and its first record from Myanmar. Phytotaxa 156(5): 285–290. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.156.5.4
- Paszko B (2014b) Typification of Grisebach's name *Calamagrostis emodensis* (Poaceae, Agrostidinae). Phytotaxa 172(3): 297–300. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.172.3.13
- Paszko B (2015) First record of the Sino-Himalayan species *Deyeuxia himalaica* in Yunnan province, SW China, and three new combinations in *Calamagrostis* (Poaceae, Agrostidinae). Polish Botanical Journal 60(2): 141–145. https://doi.org/10.1515/pbj-2015-0029
- Paszko B (2016) Calamagrostis nyingchiensis, a new combination for Deyeuxia nyingchiensis (Poaceae, Agrostidinae), and its first record from Yunnan Province, SW China. Polish Botanical Journal 61(1): 53–57. https://doi.org/10.1515/pbj-2016-0011
- Paszko B, Chen WL, Szczepaniak M (2013) Deyeuxia debilis (Poaceae, Agrostidinae): Typification, taxonomy and update of the Chinese distribution. Phytotaxa 135(1): 1–10. https:// doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.135.1.1
- Paszko B, Liu B, Ma HY (2017) Calamagrostis (Poaceae, Agrostidinae) in Vietnam. Polish Botanical Journal 62(2): 213–228. https://doi.org/10.1515/pbj-2017-0013
- Paszko B, Ma HY (2011) Taxonomic revision of the *Calamagrostis epigeios* complex with particular reference to China. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 49(5): 495–504. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-6831.2011.00140.x
- Paszko B, Nobis M (2010) The hybrid origin of *Calamagrostis × gracilescens* (Poaceae) in Poland inferred from morphology and AFLP data. Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae 79(1): 51–61. https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2010.008
- Paszko B, Soreng RJ (2013) Species delimitation and name application in *Deyeuxia abnormis*, *Agrostis zenkeri*, *A. pleiophylla* and related taxa (Poaceae: Agrostidinae). Phytotaxa 111(1): 1–26. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.111.1.1
- Peterson PM, Soreng RJ, Romaschenko K, Barberá P, Quintanar A, Aedo C (2019) New combinations and new names in American *Cinnagrostis*, *Peyritschia*, and *Deschampsia*, and three new genera: *Greeneochloa*, *Laegaardia*, and *Paramochloa* (Poeae, Poaceae). Phytoneuron 2019–39: 1–23.
- Prasad D, Tripathi S, Jaiswal S, Yadav R, Agnihotri P (2021) Calamagrostis nandadeviensis (Poaceae, Agrostidinae), a new grass species from India. Phytotaxa 505(2): 221–228. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.505.2.8
- Pusalkar PK, Singh Dk (2012) Flora of Gangotri National Park, Western Himalaya, India. Kolkata: Botanical Survey of India, 708 pp.
- Saarela JM, Bull RD, Paradis MJ, Ebata SN, Peterson PM, Soreng RJ, Paszko B (2017) Molecular phylogenetics of cool-season grasses in the subtribes Agrostidinae, Anthoxanthinae, Aveninae, Brizinae, Calothecinae, Koeleriinae and Phalaridinae (Poaceae, Pooideae, Poeae, Poeae chloroplast group 1). PhytoKeys 87: 1–139. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.87.12774
- Singh G (1984) Nomenclatural notes on Asiatic *Calamagrostis* (Poaceae). Taxon 33: 94–95. https://doi.org/10.2307/1222039
- Shukla U (1996) The Grasses of North-Eastern India. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, 404 pp.

- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Zuloaga FO, Romaschenko K, Clark LG, Teisher JK, Gillespie LJ, Barberá P, Welker CAD, Kellogg EA, Li D, Davidse G (2022) A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) III: An update. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 60(3): 476–521. https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12847
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Kusber WH, Li DZ, Marhold K, May TW, McNeill J, Monro AM, Prado J, Price MJ, Smith GF (Eds) (2018) International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Regnum Vegetabile 159. Koeltz Botanical Books, Glashütten. https:// doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018

### Appendix I

C. lahulensis: INDIA. Himachal Pradesh, Kullu, Manali, near bridge of Marhi, 32.348869N, 77.223234E, 3372 m, 7 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326811 (LWG!); near bridge of Marhi, 32.348869N, 77.223234E, 3390 m, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326811 (LWG! ?); 10 km before from Rohtang Pass, 32.35789N, 77.21695E, 3635 m, 5 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 316253, 316250 (LWG!); Marhi, 32.341507N, 77.216715E, 3260 m, 5 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 316299 (LWG!); Manali, 1 km after Gulaba check post, on the way to Marhi, 32.319782N, 77.20379E, 2944 m, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326868 (LWG!); Uttarakhand, Chamoli, Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711627N, 79.595142E, 3250 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326641 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711627N, 79.595142E, 3380 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326642 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 2 km after Ghanghria, 30.7114N, 79.5962E, 3137 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, S Jaiswal, R Yadav & P Agnihotri 326698 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711627N, 79.595142E, 3380 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, S Jaiswal, R Yadav & P Agnihotri 326642 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers of National Park, 30.729139N, 79.596606E, 3567 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326628 (LWG!); Sikkim, Gangtok, 13000ft [3962.4 m], [9 Deyeuxia], 6 September 1849, JD Hooker s.n. (K, K000838352); no specific locality, 15000ft [4572 m], 12 August 1913, GH Gave 975 (CAL!); no specific locality, 14500 ft [4419.6 m], 7 October 1869, [Trisetum?], sc.l. s.n. (CAL!); no specific locality, 13000ft [3962.4 m], September 1885, G King s.n. (CAL!); no specific locality, 16000ft [4876.8 m], 19 August 1892, GA Gammie s.n. (CAL!); Meghalaya, Shillong, Kamegh, Sangetsar lake road, on hill slope, 19 August 1976, PK Hajra 68327 (CAL!) (Seen rosette spikelet); Pankensaw, Nahula road, 16 August 1976, PK Hajra 68551 (CAL!). Nagaland, Khasia, Khasi Hills, 13 September 1883, *CB Clarke* 40446 (CAL!); NEPAL. no specific locality, alpine habitat, 12–16000ft [3657.6-4876.8 m], [10 Deyeuxia], JD Hooker s.n., (K, K000838347); East Nepal, Chaika Pahar, 13000ft [3962.4 m], 25 September 1954, Stainton, Sykes & Williams 4583 (CAL!); Chaika Pahar, 15000ft [4572 m], 22 September 1954, *Stainton, Sykes & Williams 4546* (CAL!); Gyang, Kyangsin, 13,500ft [4114.8 m], August 1949, *O. Polunin 1682* (CAL!); Sauwla Khola, 12,500ft [3810 m], 23 July 1954, *Stainton, Sykes & Williams 3606* (CAL!); **BHUTAN.** GaFoola, upper Phu Chu, 14500ft [4419.6], July 1949, *F Ludlow, G Sheriff & JH Hicks 16761* (CAL!); without specific locality, 12000ft [3657.6 m], sc.l. s.n., (CAL! ac. no. 56614), (intermix with *C. scabrescens*).

C. nagarum: INDIA. Uttarakhand, Bageshwar, Pindari Valley, Dwali, 30.1783N, 79.9958E, 2800 m, 28 September 2021, D Prasad, S Sharma, K Yadav & P Dey 339324 (LWG!): same locality, 28 September 2021, D Prasad, S Sharma, K Yadav & P Dey 339325 (LWG!); Phurkia, 30.2192N, 80.0002E, 3300 m, 30 September 2021, D Prasad, S Sharma, K Yadav & P Dey 339372 (LWG!); same locality, 30 September 2021, D Prasad, S Sharma, K Yadav & P Dey 339374 (LWG!); same locality, 30.072219N, 79.920847E, 2900 m, 21 August 2022, Ravindra Kumar 342005 (LWG!).

C. scabrescens: INDIA. Jammu & Kashmir, Hazara, 26 July 1899, Inaiyat s.n. (CAL!); Himachal Pradesh, Kullu, Manali, 12 km after Marhi, on the way to Rohtang pass, 32.356838N, 77.222554E, 3013 m, 7 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326829, (LWG); 12 km after Marhi, on the way to Rohtang Pass, 32.356858N, 77.222554E, 7 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326842 (LWG); Marhi, on the way to Rohtang pass, 32.3568N, 77.2225E, 3528 m, 7 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326843 (LWG!); Marhi, 32.34150N, 77.216715E, 3260 m, 5 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 326888 (LWG!); on the way to Marhi, 32.3565N, 77.2225E, 3528 m, 7 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav & P Rajput 314813 (LWG); Kinnaur, Nachar, upper Bahshar, 10,000ft [3048 m], 25 September 1858, PC Nanda 1802 (CAL!); Uttarakhand, Garhwal, Sri Nagar, University Campus, 30.226388N, 78.5022E, 587 m, 22 September 2018, S Tripathi 315803, (LWG!); Chamoli, Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711895N, 79.595247E, 3428 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326657, (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711077N, 79.596004E, 3417 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326657, (LWG!); Chamoli, Ghanghria, on the way to Himkund, 30.70594N, 79.598963E, 3224 m, 22 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326717, (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.711896N, 79.59524E, 3296 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326651 (LWG!); Ghanghria, on the way to Himkund, 30.705944N, 79.59896E, 3224 m, 22 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326729 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.7059N, 79.6022E, 3438 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326683, (LWG!); Valley of Flowers of National Park, 30.712096N, 79.592776E, 3417 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326863 (LWG!); 2 km after Ghanghria, on the way to Valley of Flowers, 30.71114N, 79.596208E, 3137 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326691 (LWG!); Valley of Flowers National Park, 30.712096N, 79.592776E, 3417 m, 23 August 2019, D Prasad, R Yadav, S Jaiswal & P Agnihotri 326745 (LWG!); Pithoragarh, Kali Valley, 12000ft [3657.6 m],

15 September 1884, JF Duthie 3584 (CAL!); Kumaon, Bageshwar, Dwali, 10-11000ft [3048-3352.8 m], 6 August 1886, JF Duthie 6216 (CAL); Pithoragarh, Malpa, 2200-2500 m, 12 June 1960, TA Rao 1178 (CAL!); Tehri-Garhwal, Rhudaghara, 10-11000ft [3048-3352.8 m], JF Duthie 145 (CAL!); Garhwal, Jaunsar, 8500ft [2590.8 m], September 1898, JS Gamble 27238 (CAL!); Kumaon, 4,300 m, 10 August 1972, CM Arora 49828 (LWG!); Pithoragarh, Kali Valley, 12-13000ft [3657.6-3962.4 m], 27 July 1888, JF Duthie 6223 (CAL!); Kumaon, 14-15000ft [4267.2-4572 m], 31 August 1884, JF Duthie 3538 (CAL!); Sansal-Nala, Killar Valley, 13-14000ft [3962.4-4267.2 m], 31 July 1893, JF Duthie13349 (CAL!); Teyum, Haya, 4300 m, 10 August 1972, CM Arora 49826, (CAL!); BhojPass, 3600 m, 8 September 1972, S. cl, 69 (CAL!); Sikkim, Kupup-Chango road, 13300ft [4114.8 m], 10 October 1928, NL Bor 487 (CAL!); without precise locality, 12000ft [3657.6 m], 27 July 1910, WW Smith 3875 (CAL!); Gangtong, 13000ft [3962.4 m], 21 September 1926, NL Bor 153 (CAL!); West Bengal, Darjeeling, 11917ft [3632.3 m], 27 June 1960, AB Chaoudhary 33 (CAL!); Darjeeling, 12000ft [3657.6 m], JS Gamble s.n, (CAL!); Meghalaya, Shillong, Kamegh, Sangetswar-ZImithang road, 20 August 1976, PK Hajra 68351 (CAL!). North-East, 3600 m, 19 September 1962, B. Safui 1781, (CAL!); BHUTAN, without precise locality, Griffith 6599 (CAL!); NEPAL. Muktinath, on open slope, 12,500ft [3810 m], 26 July 1954, Stainton, Sykes & Williams 1429 (CAL!); Near Tarakot, 10,000 m [3048 m], 10 July 1990, O Polunin, WR Sykes & LHJ Williams 2426 (CAL!); North of Muktinath, Damoda Kund, 14,000ft [4267.2 m], 30 July 1954, Stainton, Sykes & William 2102, 7371 (CAL!).

# Supplementary material I

## Quantitative data of morphological characters and elevation data of *Calamagrostis lahulensis*, *C. nagarum*, and *C. scabrescens*

Author: Dileshwar Prasad

Data type: table

Explanation note: Morphological and Elevation (m).

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.212.89253.suppl1