RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Leaf epidermal micromorphology of Zingiber (Zingiberaceae) from China and its systematic significance

Hui Zhao<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Mei-Hua Xiao<sup>1\*</sup>, Yan Zhong<sup>1</sup>, Ying-Qiang Wang<sup>1,2</sup>

I Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biotechnology for Plant Development, School of Life Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China **2** Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Subtropical Biodiversity and Biomonitoring, School of Life Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China

Corresponding author: Ying-Qiang Wang (wangyq@scnu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: T. Haevermans | Received 2 November 2021 | Accepted 15 February 2022 | Published 28 February 2022

**Citation:** Zhao H, Xiao M-H, Zhong Y, Wang Y-Q (2022) Leaf epidermal micromorphology of *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) from China and its systematic significance. PhytoKeys 190: 131–146. https://doi.org/10.3897/ phytokeys.190.77526

#### Abstract

Leaf epidermal characteristics are important for phylogenetic and taxonomic studies of many plants, but there is currently insufficient such data for this application in Zingiber species. Therefore, the leaf epidermal micromorphology of 22 species in three sections of Zingiber was investigated by light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. Differences between various taxonomic groups of Zingiberaceae were also compared to assess their phylogenetic and taxonomic significance. As in other genera of Zingiberaceae, the epidermal cells in both the adaxial and abaxial epidermis of Zingiber species were found to be hexagonal or polygonal, with non-sinuous anticlinal walls that are arranged parallel to leaf veins. Tetracytic stomata are mostly randomly distributed in the intercostal regions of both surfaces and are more common on the abaxial surface. The stomatal density of the species in sect. Pleuranthesis is significantly lower than that in sects. Zingiber and Cryptanthium. There are two types of trichome in Zingiber: so-called "delicate" trichomes are present in most species, while "stout" trichomes with a swollen base are only found in Z. corallinum and Z. montanum. Oil cells occur in both epidermal layers of some species in sects. Zingiber and Cryptanthium, but only in the abaxial epidermis of Z. ellipticum in sect. Pleuranthesis. Crystals are found in the abaxial epidermis only in all species, but are present in both epidermal layers of Z. corallinum and Z. montanum. Although the epidermal morphology is similar in most Zingiber species, stomatal density, type of trichome and distribution of oil cells and crystals offer valuable information for the systematic and taxonomic studies in this genus.

<sup>\*</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### **Keywords**

crystal, oil cell, stomata, trichome, Zingiberales

#### Introduction

The type genus Zingiber of Zingiberaceae was established by Miller in 1754 and contains about 150 species, widely distributed from tropical to subtropical Asia (Theilade 1999; Theerakulpisut et al. 2012). The center of Zingiber diversity is located in Southeast Asia, where China has 42 species (Wu and Larsen 2000). Given the recent discovery of several new species, this figure is probably an underestimate (Bai et al. 2015a, 2016, 2018; Li et al. 2020a; Wang et al. 2020). Zingiber has been confirmed to be monophyletic by molecular analyses (Kress et al. 2002; Li et al. 2020b) and is easily distinguished from other genera of Zingiberaceae by specific features of the flower structure; for example, the lateral staminodes are fused to the labellum; there is an elongated, horn-shaped anther crest wrapped around the upper part of style; and there is a pulvinus at the base of the petiole (Wu and Larsen 2000; Bai et al. 2015b). The traditional infrageneric classification of Zingiber recognizes four sections based on the habit and position of the inflorescence (Schumann 1904), namely sects. Zingiber (basal with long peduncle), *Cryptanthium* (radical with short procumbent peduncle), Pleuranthesis (spikes breaking through the leaf sheaths laterally) and Dymczewiczia (terminal inflorescence). However, some species (such as Z. junceum and Z. barbatum) have been found to have both basal and terminal inflorescences, making it difficult to place them unequivocally in a particular section (Kishor and Leong-Škorničková 2013). A recent study proposed that sect. Dymczewiczia should be merged with sect. Zingiber because palynological evidence suggests that the pollen is very similar in both sections, being spherical with cerebroid sculpturing, which differs from the ellipsoidal pollen grains with spira-striate sculpturing found in sect. Cryptanthium (Theilade et al. 1993). Phylogenetic evidence also shows that sect. Dymczewiczia is nested within sect. Zingiber in the phylogenetic tree (Theerakulpisut et al. 2012). In addition, the taxonomic identification of this genus tends to rely on floral characters, mainly flower color and labellum shape (Wu and Larsen 2000), while leaf features have received little attention. However, the flower characters are ineffective in identifying related species due to variation within the same species (Bai et al. 2015b). For example, Z. monglaense and Z. flavomaculosum have the same leaf and flower characteristics, and are considered to be merged (Tong 1998). The same was observed for Z. nudicarpum, Z. peninsulare and Z. newmanii (Bai et al. 2019). Moreover, the flower characters can be difficult to define precisely in Zingiber species, because the florescence time is short and the characters are often not well preserved in herbarium specimens (Bai et al. 2015b). Thus, more experimental studies, for example, involving leaf characteristics and leaf epidermal micromorphology, are needed on the infrageneric systematic classification of Zingiber. Leaves have some advantages over flowers, as they can be obtained at all stages of plant growth and leaf features are easier to preserve.

Leaf epidermal micromorphology, which describes the shape of epidermal cells, the outline of anticlinal walls, stomatal type, surface ornamentation and trichome type, has become a tool for the study of phylogeny and taxonomy in many plant species (Baranova 1972; Wilkinson 1979; Stace 1984), especially in those families where identification is complicated, such as Salicaceae (Chen et al. 2008; Ghahremaninejad et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012), Rosaceae (Tahir and Rajput 2009; Zamani et al. 2017) and Lamiaceae (Moon et al. 2009, 2010; Eiji and Salmaki 2016; Mannethody and Purayidathkandy 2018; Gul et al. 2019). For example, papilla patterns were useful in the discrimination of several Poaceae species (Zhang et al. 2014). The type of trichome, and the form of the trichome base and anticlinal cell walls have all been valuable for the identification of Fagaceae species (Zhou and Xia 2012; Deng et al. 2013, 2014). The trichome type and stomatal length were instrumental in assessing the phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae (Hoot 1991; Shi and Li 2003). Based on evidence that the range of variation in leaf epidermis overlaps completely between the two families, den Hartog and Baas (1978) transferred Hippocrateaceae into Celastraceae. In Zingiberaceae, leaf anatomical characters have also proved useful for taxonomic studies. The subfamily Costoideae (= Costaceae) is anatomically very distinct from the remainder of the family, and thus supports the separation of Costoideae from the Zingiberaceae (Tomlinson 1956). The type of silica inclusion serves to distinguish the tribes Globbeae, Hedychieae and Alpinieae (Tomlinson 1956).

The leaf epidermal features of some genera of Zingiberaceae, such as Amomum, Alpinia, Boesenbergia, Kaempferia, Curcuma Hedychium, Elettaria and Globba, have been described to some extent (Tomlinson 1956; Patel 1975; Hussin et al. 2000, 2001; Xiao et al. 2004; Talip et al. 2005; Jayasree 2007; Chen and Xia 2010; Martins et al. 2010; Tang et al. 2010; Kajornjit et al. 2018; Salasiah and Meekiong 2018). Nevertheless, only a few representative species in these genera have been studied. So far, studies of leaf epidermis of Zingiber have only covered seven species (Olatunji 1980; Nyawuame and Gill 1990; Jayasree 2007), including two widely cultivated species (Z. officinale and Z. montanum), one widely distributed species (Z. zerumbet), and four taxa from South India, but these accounts were not detailed. All seven species studied are from two sections, sects. Zingiber and Cryptanthium (only one species, Z. wightianum), which is insufficient to represent the entire genus. In this study, we used multiple samples from the three sections of Zingiber (sects. Zingiber, Cryptanthium and Pleuranthesis) in China to investigate leaf epidermal micromorphology by light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and then compared the leaf epidermal characters at different classification levels in Zingiberaceae. Thus, the aim of the present study was to describe the leaf epidermal features of Zingiber and to assess their phylogenetic and taxonomic significance.

#### Materials and methods

More than 300 samples from 22 *Zingiber* species (Table 1) in China were used in the study. Leaf material from mature plants was collected by the authors in the field and voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium of South China Normal University (SN). Fresh leaves were fixed in 90% ethyl alcohol solution, 5% formaldehyde and 5%

**Table 1.** Comparable leaf epidermal characters of 22 Zingiber species. Numbers indicate mean  $\pm$  stand-ard deviation. Stomatal index = number of stomatal apparatuses/ (number of stomatal apparatuses + number of epidermal cells); Stomatal density = number of stomatal apparatuses/ mm² leaf area.

Taxa	Voucher	Adaxial epidermis			Abaxial epidermis				
		Epidermal cell size (L ×W) (μm)	Stomatal size (L ×W) (µm)	Stomatal index	Stomatal density (mm <sup>-2</sup> )	Epidermal cell size (L ×W) (μm)	Stomatal size (L×W) (µm)	Stomatal index	Stomatal density (mm <sup>-2</sup> )
Sect. Pleuranthesis									
Z. ellipticum	xmh-14-23	72.46±13.15 × 37.04±5.62	37.42±3.30 × 27.57±3.28	0.16±0.26	0.28±0.44	61.38±8.43 × 46.78±6.80	36.73±2.25 × 27.56±1.92	3.67±0.95	19.30±4.94
Sect.Zingiber		64.85(53.41– 76.52) × 37.01(30.54– 46.59)	43.02(37.99– 47.45) × 26.18(21.42– 30.37)	1.53(0.22– 2.87)	8.08(1.06– 19.05)	49.64(40.98– 56.47) × 34.98(28.65– 43.45)	40.64(36.14– 46.90) × 23.69(19.86– 26.45)	6.70(5.12– 9.17)	60.92(45.93– 79.20)
Z. corallinum	wyq-14-46	75.27±10.41 × 46.59±6.79	47.45±2.20 × 26.57±2.21	1.54±0.69	3.93±2.38	56.47±9.05 × 43.45±6.40	46.90±3.77 × 24.26±1.69	5.67±0.79	45.93±6.89
Z. neotruncatum	xmh-15-16	76.52±12.36 × 30.54±4.04	44.37±3.49 × 30.37±2.43	0.22±0.16	1.06±0.78	55.46±10.12 × 28.65±4.15	38.42±1.99 × 25.29±1.86	5.12±0.69	48.60±6.99
Z. nudicarpum	wyq-14-22	57.44±14.90 × 34.15±2.68	37.99±1.46 × 25.20±1.30	0.41±0.28	2.33±2.06	46.25±4.82 × 32.56±2.15	36.14±2.86 × 22.59±4.54	6.82±0.59	67.74±10.38
Z. montanum	wyq-15-65	61.63±3.08 × 42.83±3.64	43.97±3.37 × 27.32±1.36	2.87±0.90	14.02±3.73	49.04±2.38 × 38.62±1.25	45.55±3.37 × 26.45±1.16	9.17±1.69	63.11±6.36
Z. zerumbet	wyq-14-44	53.41±1.74 × 30.96±1.83	41.32±2.60 × 21.42±0.38	2.60±0.51	19.05±2.31	40.98±2.56 × 31.61±2.73	36.20±0.52 × 19.86±0.21	6.70±0.52	79.20±3.98
Sect.Cryptanthium		73.49(61.10– 86.03) × 36.71(28.81– 45.61)	42.97(37.08– 54.83) × 27.20(23.08– 32.07)	1.12(0.45– 2.19)	5.48(1.99– 14.52)	55.12(43.36– 72.89) × 39.35(31.06– 49.64)	41.81(34.78– 49.11) × 25.00(21.41– 29.15)	6.80(4.74– 9.34)	49.55(23.59– 88.46)
Z. atrorubens	hn- zzj-14-01	72.44±15.14 × 39.86±7.24	54.83±3.47 × 28.82±2.33	2.19±0.68	7.98±2.41	55.35±5.73 × 44.39±6.19	44.50±4.22 × 26.21±2.37	6.32±1.66	28.49±11.54
Z. bisectum	xmh-14-15	62.18±8.02 × 37.53±2.19	44.35±2.14 × 26.51±5.54	1.39±0.35	7.50±1.82	43.36±3.23 × 33.39±1.45	42.16±3.90 × 21.58±3.92	9.34±0.58	88.46±16.44
Z. cochleariforme	wyq-14-56	84.36±8.46 × 36.22±2.28	42.45±2.29 × 27.69±3.50	0.55±0.34	1.99±1.73	60.29±5.44 × 41.08±1.97	42.55±3.44 × 25.16±3.42	6.89±2.33	45.27±9.26
Z. densissimum	wyq-14-96	84.81±6.75 × 45.15±5.65	44.30±1.98 × 32.07±3.36	0.71±0.25	2.68±1.11	55.74±10.41 × 40.61±5.42	44.52±1.54 × 29.15±2.18	7.33±1.11	50.55±7.22
Z. flavomaculosum	wyq-14-72	71.32±9.11 × 35.66±3.76	40.10±4.11 × 25.14±3.20	1.77±0.58	10.29±4.34	52.02±8.43 × 35.21±3.02	37.67±3.14 × 22.79±2.40	7.61±1.07	66.60±14.10
Z. guangxiense	wyq-15-10	85.90±7.73 × 38.59±5.70	39.92±2.68 × 27.03±2.21	0.74±0.16	3.33±0.67	60.91±4.95 × 41.88±4.29	40.84±1.73 × 24.30±2.96	7.46±0.96	48.02±8.28
Z. leptorrhizum	wyq-15-80	75.27±20.48 × 38.19±11.66	47.38±2.16 × 29.21±3.04	1.31±0.29	4.01±0.87	72.89±9.25 × 49.64±13.12	49.11±1.80 × 27.23±1.66	6.34±1.28	23.59±5.00
Z. lingyunense	xmh-14-14	61.71±11.56 × 30.16±5.37	39.46±2.42 × 24.82±2.88	0.45±0.11	3.10±0.78	50.21±7.97 × 37.51±4.43	38.79±1.56 × 23.29±1.38	4.74±0.96	35.93±5.56
Z. longiglande	wyq-15-02	67.45±6.28 × 38.92±1.11	41.35±0.61 × 30.47±4.23	0.61±0.03	2.50±0.39	55.86±5.17 × 39.22±5.42	43.12±4.13 × 27.90±0.65	7.08±0.08	45.45±3.72
Z. longiligulatum	wyq-14-124	71.47±6.28 × 37.80±5.31	45.26±5.28 × 28.84±3.07	1.10±0.39	4.73±2.70	54.93±4.42 × 41.40±3.86	44.54±5.53 × 24.48±3.01	7.28±0.77	53.99±7.36
Z. orbiculatum	wyq-14-62	61.10±7.42 × 28.81±6.94	37.08±1.09 × 23.08±2.05	0.94±0.68	14.52±3.36	47.97±6.74 × 31.06±4.77	34.78±0.80 × 21.41±1.89	6.25±0.63	45.97±19.12
Z. recurvatum	wyq-14-80	72.28±7.50 × 33.14±2.82	38.54±2.65 × 27.13±3.65	0.87±1.12	4.76±6.57	53.68±6.15 × 36.29±5.00	38.16±1.61 × 23.50±2.21	7.22±1.26	56.01±5.61
Z. roseum	wyq-14-122	86.03±9.60 × 45.61±6.54	42.86±2.98 × 30.08±2.16	0.83±0.24	2.67±0.97	63.33±17.15 × 40.86±5.19	42.68±2.79 × 28.56±1.85	7.42±0.70	53.46±5.91
Z. teres	wyq-14-119	75.28±20.29 × 31.27±2.97	38.07±1.26 × 25.27±5.41	0.85±0.59	5.43±4.35	46.99±3.42 × 35.27±1.50	37.43±3.27 × 24.03±1.79	6.47±0.23	68.04±6.42
Z. tuanjuum	wyq-14-54	80.88±9.37 × 41.32±5.30	49.75±3.23 × 25.63±2.16	1.03±0.17	4.90±0.76	57.07±7.61 × 45.28±6.95	47.05±2.78 × 27.87±1.93	5.86±0.73	37.56±6.19
Z. xishuangbannaense	wyq-14-87	63.40±7.31 × 29.04±2.04	41.86±2.85 × 23.45±0.83	1.52±0.43	7.22±6.22	51.29±3.44 × 36.54±1.21	41.05±2.52 × 22.50±3.66	5.35±0.28	45.45±11.68

acetic acid at a ratio of 18:1:1, and subsequently epidermal tissue was obtained from the leaves by gently scraping it off with a stainless steel blade. Pieces of leaf epidermis were stained in a solution of 1% safranin in 50% ethanol, and then dehydrated in an ethanol series before being mounted in glycerine gel for light microscopy. To ensure consistency of epidermal structure, at least five slides were examined for each sample. Twenty epidermal cells and stomata from each sample were measured and a mean was calculated based on the range of variation. The stomatal index and stomatal density were obtained for an area of 0.5 mm × 0.6 mm using the following formulae: stomatal index = number of stomatal apparatuses/ (number of stomatal apparatuses + number of epidermal cells); stomatal density = number of stomatal apparatuses per mm<sup>2</sup> leaf area. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS11.5 and Microsoft Excel 2010. A confidence level of  $p \le 0.05$  was considered to be significant. Material for scanning electron microscopy was macerated in 4% glutaric dialdehyde solution for about 24 h and dehydrated in a graded alcohol series, and then mounted on stubs. After gold sputtering, the specimens were examined and photographed under a JEOL JSM-6360LV scanning electron microscope. The terminologies of the stomatal complex types used in this study are those of Fryns-Claessens and Van Cotthem (1973) and Dilcher (1974).

# Results

A comparison of leaf epidermal characteristics in 22 Zingiber species is shown in Table 1.

# Epidermal cells

When examined by LM, the epidermal cells of *Zingiber* species were found to be mostly hexagonal or polygonal, with the long axis usually perpendicular to the veins, and arranged in rows parallel to the veins; the anticlinal walls were straight to slightly curved (Fig. 1A–F). Adaxial epidermal cells were always more regularly arranged and slightly larger than abaxial epidermal cells (Table 1). Adaxial epidermal cells ranged in size from  $53.41\pm1.74 \times 30.96\pm1.83$  um (in *Z. zerumbet*) to  $86.03\pm9.60 \times 45.61\pm6.54$ um (in *Z. roseum*), and were usually elongated with the length 1.4-3.2 times longer than the width. The abaxial epidermal cells ranged from  $40.98\pm2.56 \times 31.61\pm2.73$ um (in *Z. zerumbet*) to  $72.89\pm9.25 \times 49.64\pm13.12$  um (in *Z. leptorrhizum*) in size, and also were usually elongated with the length 1.2-2.0 times longer than the width. The epidermal cells above the veins were smaller and more or less longitudinally elongated (Figs 1E, F, 2C). When examined by SEM, the cells were convex on the adaxial side of the epidermis (Fig. 2A) and concave on the abaxial side (Fig. 2B) with smooth cuticular membranes. The anticlinal cell walls were invisible or obscure.

## Stomatal apparatus

The stomatal apparatus, which occurs in both the adaxial and abaxial leaf epidermis in all *Zingiber* species studied, was of the tetracytic type with four subsidiary cells



Figure 1. Leaf epidermal characters of Zingiber shown by light microscopy A-C adaxial epidermis of Z. ellipticum (A), Z. montanum (B) and Z. flavomaculosum (C) showing epidermal cells and stomatal apparatus D-F abaxial epidermis of Z. ellipticum (D), Z. montanum (E) and Z. teres (F) showing epidermal cells, costal epidermal cells and stomatal apparatus (arrows indicate to the costal epidermal cells)
G-I detail of tetracytic stomatal apparatus on the adaxial epidermis of Z. ellipticum (G), Z. montanum (H) and Z. tuanjuum (I) J-L detail of tetracytic stomatal apparatus in the abaxial epidermis of Z. ellipticum (J), Z. montanum (K) and Z. longiligulatum (L). St: stoma; Gc: guard cell; Lsc: lateral subsidiary cell; Tsc; terminal subsidiary cell. Scale bars: 50 μm (A-F); 20 μm (G-I).

around the stoma, one on each side and one at each pole (Fig. 1G–L). The guard cells were reniform with smooth cuticular membranes (Fig. 2D). The lateral subsidiary cells were subtriangular with the long axis parallel to the stoma, while the terminal subsidiary cells were adjacent to the stoma poles. The stomatal orientation (the long axis of all stomata) was approximately parallel to the veins. The stomatal size in both leaf epidermal layers was similar in all species (Table 1), but ranged from  $41.32\pm2.60 \times 21.42\pm0.38$  um (in *Z. zerumbet*) to  $54.83\pm3.47 \times 28.82\pm2.33$  um (in *Z. atrorubens*)



**Figure 2.** Leaf epidermal characters of *Zingiber* shown by scanning electron microscopy **A** adaxial epidermis of *Z. flavomaculosum* showing convex epidermal cells with smooth cuticular membranes **B** abaxial epidermis of *Z. xishuangbannaense* showing concave epidermal cells with smooth cuticular membranes **C** detail of epidermis over the vein in *Z. montanum* (arrows indicate costal epidermal cells) **D** stomatal apparatus in *Z. flavomaculosum* showing guard cells with smooth cuticular membranes **E** delicate trichomes in *Z. xishuangbannaense* **F** stout trichomes with swollen trichome base in *Z. corallinum*. St: stoma; Gc: guard cell. Scale bars: 10  $\mu$ m (**D**); 20  $\mu$ m (**A–C**); 100  $\mu$ m (**E, F**).

in the adaxial epidermis and from  $36.20\pm0.52 \times 19.86\pm0.21$  um (in *Z. zerumbet*) to  $49.11\pm1.80 \times 27.23\pm1.66$  um (in *Z. leptorrhizum*) in the abaxial epidermis. Stomata occurred much more frequently in the abaxial epidermis than in the adaxial epidermis in all species studied (Table 1), and most of them were randomly distributed in the intercostal regions (Fig. 1E, F). The stomatal index of the adaxial epidermis and the abaxial epidermis ranged from  $0.16\%\pm0.26\%$  (in *Z. ellipticum*) to  $2.87\%\pm0.90\%$  (in *Z. montanum*) and from  $3.67\%\pm0.95\%$  (in *Z. ellipticum*) to  $9.34\%\pm0.58\%$  (in

*Z. bisectum*), respectively. The stomatal density of the adaxial epidermis and the abaxial epidermis ranged from  $0.28\pm0.44$  (in *Z. ellipticum*) to  $19.05\pm2.31$  (in *Z. zerumbet*) and from  $19.30\pm4.94$  (in *Z. ellipticum*) to  $88.46\pm16.44$  (in *Z. bisectum*), respectively.

#### Trichomes

Trichomes were found on the abaxial surface in all species studied (Figs 2E, F, 3A–C), and occasionally also on the adaxial surface in *Z. ellipticum*, *Z. xishuangbannaense* and *Z. bisectum*. Two types of trichome were recorded: Type 1, a delicate, simple unicellular trichome, straight or curly, and easily detached (Figs 2E, 3A, B, D, E), which was found in all species studied except for *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum*; Type 2, a stout, simple unicellular trichome, straight with pointed apex and swollen trichome base (Figs 2F, 3C, F), which was found in only two species, *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum*.

#### Oil cells

Oil cells occurred frequently on the abaxial surface in all samples of the *Zingiber* species studied, and also occurred infrequently in the adaxial epidermis of *Z. densissimum*, *Z. longiligulatum*, *Z. roseum* and *Z. xishuangbannaense*. The oil cells were subrotund and of small size, and usually contained yellow or translucent oil droplets (Fig. 3G–I), which were easily distinguishable from epidermal parenchyma cells.

## Crystals

Crystals were found in the epidermis of all *Zingiber* species studied. There were many crystals in the epidermis of *Z. corallinum*, *Z. montanum*, *Z. longiglande*, *Z. tuanjuum*, *Z. cochleariforme*, *Z. guangxiense* and *Z. teres*, but few in *Z. atrorubens*, *Z. recurvatum* and *Z. leptorrhizum*; crystals were rare in the remaining species. The crystals were usually rhombic and square (Fig. 3J–L), and were mainly distributed above or near the veins in the abaxial epidermis (Fig. 3L), as well as in the intercostal regions (Fig. 3J, K), while only being present in the adaxial epidermis of *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum*.

## Discussion

Our results show that the epidermal cells of *Zingiber* species are very similar in shape, i.e. hexagonal or polygonal, with non-sinuous anticlinal walls; the cells are arranged parallel to leaf veins. The results are consistent with those of previous studies on seven species of *Zingiber* (Olatunji 1980; Nyawuame and Gill 1990; Jayasree 2007) and other genus species in Zingiberaceae (Table 2).

Similarly to a number of other Zingiberaceae genera, the stomata of *Zingiber* are amphistomatic, tetracytic and aligned in a linear-axial orientation (Table 2). The distribution of the stomatal apparatus can be useful for taxonomic studies of Zingiberaceae. For example, the stomatal apparatus of three genera, *Alpinia, Elettaria* and *Globba*, are distributed



**Figure 3.** Characters of trichomes, oil cells and crystals in leaf epidermis of *Zingiber* shown by light microscopy **A**, **B** delicate trichome of *Z. ellipticum* (**A**) and *Z. densissimum* (**B**) **C** stout trichome of *Z. corallinum* **D**, **E** detail of delicate trichome of *Z. ellipticum* (**D**) and *Z. densissimum* (**E**) showing the trichome base (white arrows) **F** detail of stout trichome of *Z. corallinum* showing the swollen trichome base (white arrows) **G-I** oil cells (white arrows) of *Z. ellipticum* (**G**), *Z. orbiculatum* (**H**) and *Z. montanum* (**I**) **J**, **K** crystals distributed in the epidermal cells (white arrows) of *Z. ellipticum* (**J**) and *Z. guangxiense* (**K**) **L** crystals distributed above the veins (arrow pointing to crystal) of *Z. montanum*. Scale bars: 50 μm (**A**, **B**, **C**, **E**, **I**, **K**); 20 μm (**D**, **F**, **G**, **H**, **J**, **L**).

near the veins in rows, as well as being randomly distributed in the intercostal regions, while in most other genera of Zingiberaceae the stomata are always randomly distributed in the intercostal regions. These three genera can therefore be distinguished on this basis from other genera of Zingiberaceae. In addition, our results show that the stomatal density and stomatal index of the species in sect. *Pleuranthesis* are significantly lower than in sects. *Zingiber* and *Cryptanthium*. This suggests that stomatal density (or stomatal index) could allow species of sect. *Pleuranthesis* to be distinguished from other species of *Zingiber*.

Previous studies (Tomlinson 1956) found two types of trichome on the epidermis of Zingiberaceae, the stout trichome ("Borste") and the delicate trichome ("Weichhaare").

Our results also show these two types of trichomes on the epidermis of the Zingiber species studied. Similarly to other genera of Zingiberaceae, all trichomes on the leaf surfaces of Zingiber were unicellular (Table 2). However, delicate trichomes were found on the epidermis of most species of Zingiber, while stout trichomes ("Borste") were only found in two species, Z. corallinum and Z. montanum. This indicates that Z. corallinum and Z. montanum are closely related and markedly different from other species of Zingiber. In addition, the trichomes on the epidermis of three genera (Alpinia, Amomum and Elettaria) from the subfamily Alpinioideae are all "Borste" (Table 2), while those of the genera in the subfamily Zingiberoideae are either "Borste" and/or "Weichhaare". This indicates that the type of trichome can have taxonomic significance in Zingiberaceae. According to various molecular phylogenetic trees (Kress et al. 2002, Williams et al. 2004, Liang et al. 2020), the stout trichome exists in both the derived groups (such as Kaempferia, Hedychium and Zingiber) and basal groups (such as Aplinia, Amomum and Elettaria) of Zingiberaceae, while the delicate trichome is only present in the derived groups. Within the tribe Zingibereae, the genus Curcuma only has the stout trichome, while the other three genera, Kaempferia, Hedychium and Zingiber, have both types. This suggests that the latter three genera should be closely related to each other, and more distantly related to *Curcuma*, consistent with the molecular phylogenetic trees (Kress et al. 2002; Liang et al. 2020). These results also suggest that the characteristics of leaf epidermal trichomes have systematic and taxonomic significance for Zingiberaceae.

Previous studies have shown that oil cells, which often occur in the mesophyll, root and rhizome (Sherlija et al. 1998, Tang et al. 2010, Uma and Muthukumar 2014), are present in all species of Zingiberaceae (Tomlinson 1959). Oil cells are responsible for the production of volatile compounds that provide fragrance for the leaves of

Genus	Epidermal cell			Stomatal apparatus	Trichome	Oil cell	References
	Shape	Anticlinal	Туре	Distribution			
		wall					
Boesenbergia	hexagonal	not	tetracytic	randomly distributed in the	delicate trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	d, e
	or polygonal	sinuous		intercostal regions			
Curcuma	polygonal	not	tetracytic	distributed in the intercostal	stout trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	b, e, k, m, l
		sinuous		regions			
Hedychium	polygonal	not	tetracytic	distributed in the intercostal	delicate trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	e, g, l
		sinuous		regions, sometimes above the veins			-
Kaempferia	polygonal	not	tetracytic	distributed in the intercostal	stout trichome and	present in both epidermal	d, e, l
		sinuous		regions	delicate trichome	layers	
Globba	polygonal	not	tetracytic	randomly distributed in the	delicate trichome	present in both epidermal	e, f, l
		sinuous		intercostal regions or distributed in		layers	
				rows near veins			
Zingiber	hexagonal	not	tetracytic	randomly distributed in the	stout trichome and	frequently present in the	a, e, l
	or polygonal	sinuous		intercostal regions	delicate trichome	abaxial epidermis; also	
						occurs in the adaxial	
						epidermis	
Alpinia	polygonal	not	tetracytic	randomly distributed in the	stout trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	c, e, i, j, l
		sinuous		intercostal regions or distributed in			
				rows near veins			
Amomum	polygonal	not	tetracytic	distributed in the intercostal	stout trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	e, i, k, l
		sinuous		regions			
Elettaria	hexagonal	not	tetracytic	more frequent distributed closer	stout trichome	present in abaxial epidermis	e, i, l
	or polygonal	sinuous		to the veins			

Table 2. Comparable leaf epidermal characters of the nine genera in Zingiberaceae.

Notes: a, this study; b, Chen and Xia 2010; c, Hussin et al. 2000; d, Hussin et al. 2001; e, Jayasree 2007; f, Kajornjit et al. 2018; g, Martins et al. 2010; h, Patel 1975; i, Salasiah and Meekiong 2018; j, Talip et al. 2005; k, Tang et al. 2010; l, Tomlinson 1956; m, Xiao et al. 2004.

Zingiberaceae species (Victório et al. 2011). We found that oil cells occur in the leaf abaxial epidermis of all *Zingiber* species, and also occur in the adaxial epidermis of three species from sect. *Cryptanthium, Z. longiligulatum, Z. roseum* and *Z. densissimum,* and one species from sect. *Zingiber, Z. xishuangbannaense*, but not at all in the leaf adaxial epidermis of the primitive sect. *Pleuranthesis.* Similarly, oil cells in most genera of Zingiberaceae are found mainly in the abaxial epidermis, but in the adaxial epidermis of only three genera of the subfamily Zingiberoideae (Table 2), *Kaempferia, Globba* and *Zingiber.* Thus, the distribution of oil cells is a useful characteristic in identifying species and sections of *Zingiber*, as well as genera of Zingiberaceae.

Crystals are usually rhombohedral, rod-like or acicular, sometimes occurring in clusters that resemble a coarse sand, and are commonly found in the hypodermis of the lamina in families of Zingiberales, such as Musaceae, Cannaceae and Heliconiaceae, but rarely in leaf epidermis (Tomlinson 1959, 1961, Triplett and Kirchoff 1991). Crystals were recently found in costal epidermal cells on both leaf surfaces in some genera of Zingiberaceae, such as *Globba, Alpinia, Amomum* and *Elettaria* (Tomlinson 1956; Hussin et al. 2000; Talip et al. 2005; Jayasree 2007; Kajornjit et al. 2018; Salasiah and Meekiong 2018). We also found crystals in the epidermal cells of all species of *Zingiber*. The crystals only occur in the abaxial epidermis of most species of *Zingiber*, but in both epidermal layers of *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum*, showing that the two species *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum* are closely related and markedly different from other species of *Zingiber*. Thus, crystals in leaf epidermis can also have systematic and taxonomic significance for *Zingiber*.

Z. ellipticum, the sole member of sect. Pleuranthesis in China, was preliminarily identified as a new species, Plagiostachys elliptica of the genus Plagiostachys by Tong and Xia (1987) based on the character of the spike inflorescence breaking through the leaf sheaths laterally. Subsequently, it was transferred to sect. Pleuranthesis under the genus Zingiber by Wu et al. (1996), because of its spherical pollen grains and two floral characters: i) the labellum has basally connate lateral staminodes; ii) the elongated anther appendage is wrapped around the style. The above analyses of leaf epidermal micromorphology of Zingiber spp. also show that the leaf epidermal characters of Z. ellipticum from sect. Pleuranthesis are basically consistent with other Zingiber species, suggesting that this species has a close interrelationship with other Zingiber species. However, there are obvious differences in stomatal density in leaf epidermis between Z. ellipticum from sect. Pleuranthesis and the species from the other two sections of Zingiber. This suggests that the species of sect. Pleuranthesis form a distinct taxon within the genus of Zingiber. This has been confirmed by molecular phylogenetics (Kress et al. 2002; Theerakulpisut et al. 2012), but further molecular, morphological, anatomical and palynological studies are needed for a systematic classification. In addition, the two species of sect. Zingiber, Z. corallinum and Z. montanum, both of which have stout trichomes and crystals in both epidermal layers, are markedly different from other species of Zingiber. Moreover, their inflorescence and leaf morphology are similar, which can make their identification confusing. These observations highlight the need for the systematic relationship between and classification of the two species to be determined after further study.

#### Conclusions

As in other genera of Zingiberaceae, the epidermal cells of *Zingiber* are hexagonal or polygonal, with non-sinuous anticlinal walls, with the cells arranged parallel to leaf veins. Tetracytic stomata are distributed on both surfaces, and oil cells and crystals are common. Although the overall epidermal morphology is similar among *Zingiber* species, stomatal density, trichome type and distribution of oil cells and crystals can offer valuable systematic and taxonomic information. Two types of trichome are found in *Zingiber*: delicate trichomes are present in most species, while stout trichomes with a swollen base are present in *Z. corallinum* and *Z. montanum*, which is a novelty for *Zingiber*.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Joint Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Guangdong Provincial Government (No. U1301213), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30770376) and the key project of the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (No. 7117864).

#### References

- Bai L, Leong-Škorničková J, Xia NH (2015a) Taxonomic studies on Zingiber (Zingiberaceae) in China II: Zingiber tenuifolium, a new species from Yunnan, China. Phytotaxa 227(1): 92–98. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.227.1.10
- Bai L, Leong-Škorničková J, Xia NH (2015b) Taxonomic studies on Zingiber (Zingiberaceae) in China I: Zingiber kerrii and the synonymy of Z. menghaiense and Z. stipitatum. Garden Bulletin Singapore 67(1): 129–142. https://www.nparks.gov.sg/sbg/research/publications/the-gardens'-bulletin-singapore/-/media/sbg/gardens-bulletin/gbs\_67\_02\_y2015\_ v67\_02/4-4-67-1-129-y2015-v67p1-gbs-pg129.pdf
- Bai L, Leong-Škorničková J, Xia NH, Ye YS (2016) Taxonomic studies on *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) in China III: *Z. ventricosum*, a new species from Yunnan, and notes on three closely related species. Phytotaxa 261(2): 101–120. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.261.2.1
- Bai L, Leong-Škorničková J, Li D, Xia NH (2018) Taxonomic studies on Zingiber (Zingiberaceae) in China IV: Z. pauciflorum sp. nov. from Yunnan. Nordic Journal of Botany 36(3): njb-01534. https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.01534
- Bai L, Maslin BR, Triboun P, Xia N, Leong-Škorničková J (2019) Unravelling the identity and nomenclatural history of *Zingiber montanum*, and establishing *Z. purpureum* as the correct name for Cassumunar ginger. Taxon 68(6): 1334–1349. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12160
- Baranova M (1972) Systematic anatomy of the leaf epidermis in the Magnoliaceae and some related families. Taxon 21(4): 447–469. https://doi.org/10.2307/1219106
- Chen J, Xia NH (2010) Chromosome cytology, leaf epidermal morphology and palynology of *Curcuma rubrobracteata* (Zingiberaceae). Nordic Journal of Botany 28(2): 212–215. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.2009.00536.x

- Chen JH, Sun H, Yang YP (2008) Comparative morphology of leaf epidermis of Salix (Salicaceae) with special emphasis on sections *Lindleyanae* and *Retusae*. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 157(2): 311–322. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2008.00809.x
- den Hartog RM, Baas P (1978) Epidermal characters of the Celastraceae sensu lato. Acta Botanica Neerlandica 27(5/6): 355–388. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1978.tb00307.x
- Deng M, Li QS, Yang ST, Liu YC, Xu J (2013) Comparative morphology of leaf epidermis in the genus *Lithocarpus* and its implication in leaf epidermal feature evolution in Fagaceae. Plant Systematics and Evolution 299(3): 659–681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-012-0751-0
- Deng M, Hipp A, Song YG, Li QS, Coombes A, Cotton A (2014) Leaf epidermal features of *Quercus* subgenus *Cyclobalanopsis* (Fagaceae) and their systematic significance. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 176(2): 224–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12207
- Dilcher DL (1974) Appraoches to the identification of angiosperm leaf remains. The Batanical Review 40(1): 86–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860067
- Eiji S, Salmaki Y (2016) Evolution of trichomes and its systematics significance in *Salvia* (Mentheae; Nepetoideae; Lamiaceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 180(2): 241– 257. https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12367
- Fryns-Claessens E, Van Cotthem W (1973) A new classification of the ontogenetic types of stomata. Botanical Review 39(1): 71–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860071
- Ghahremaninejad F, Khalili Z, Maassoumi AA, Mirzaie-Nodoushan H, Riahi M (2012) Leaf epidermal features of *Salix* species (Salicaceae) and their systematic significance. American Journal of Botany 99(4): 769–777. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1100019
- Gul S, Ahmad M, Zafar M, Bahadur S, Celep F, Sultana S, Ayaz A (2019) Taxonomic significance of foliar epidermal morphology in Lamiaceae from Pakistan. Microscopy Research and Technique 82(9): 1507–1528. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23316
- Hoot SB (1991) Phylogeny of the Ranunculaceae based on epidermal microcharacters and macromorphology. Systematic Botany 16(4): 741–755. https://doi.org/10.2307/2418876
- Hussin KH, Chua TS, Ibrahim H, Wu QG, Liao JP, Liu N (2000) Comparative leaf anatomy of *Alpinia* Roxb. species (Zingiberaceae) from China. Botanical Journal of Linnean Society 133(2): 161–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb01540.x
- Hussin KH, Ibrahim H, Ali DAHA, Liao JP, Liu N (2001) Anatomical variations in leaf of Boesenbergia O. Kuntze and Kaempferia L. species (Zingiberaceae). Redai Yaredai Zhiwu Xuebao 9(1): 49–54. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1005-3395.2001.01.008
- Jayasree S (2007) Morphological and anatomical studies on South Indian Zingiberaceae. PhD Thesis. University of Calicut, Kerala. https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/19940
- Kajornjit P, Saensouk S, Saensouk P (2018) Pollen morphology and leaf anatomy of genus Globba in Thailand. Science Asia 44(3): 146–161. https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2018.44.146
- Kishor R, Leong-Skorničková J (2013) Zingiber kangleipakense (Zingiberaceae): A new species from Manipur, India. Gardens' Bulletin (Singapore) 65(1): 39–46. https://www.nparks. gov.sg/sbg/research/publications/gardens-bulletin-singapore/-/media/sbg/gardens-bulletin/gbs\_65\_01\_y2013\_v65\_01/65\_1\_39\_y2013\_v65p1\_gbs\_pg\_39.pdf
- Kress WJ, Prince LM, Williams KJ (2002) The phylogeny and a new classification of the gingers (Zingiberaceae): Evidence from molecular and morphological data. American Journal of Botany 89(10): 1682–1696. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.10.1682

- Li DM, Ye YJ, Xu YC, Liu JM, Zhu GF (2020b) Complete chloroplast genomes of *Zingiber montanum* and *Zingiber zerumbet*: Genome structure, comparative and phylogenetic analyses. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0236590. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236590
- Li R, Shine L, Li W, Zhou SS (2020a) A new species of *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) from Natma Taung National Park, Chin State, Myanmar. PhytoKeys 138: 131–137. https://doi. org/10.3897/phytokeys.138.46719
- Liang H, Zhang Y, Deng J, Gao G, Ding C, Zhang L, Yang R (2020) The complete chloroplast genome sequences of 14 *Curcuma* species: Insights into genome evolution and phylogenetic relationships within Zingiberales. Frontiers in Genetics 11: e802. https://doi. org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00802
- Mannethody S, Purayidathkandy S (2018) Trichome micromorphology and its systematic significance in Asian *Leucas* (Lamiaceae). Flora 242(1): 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. flora.2018.03.007
- Martins MBG, Caravante ALC, Appezzato-Da-Glória B, Soares MKM, Moreira RRD, Santos LE (2010) Anatomical and phytochemical characterization of leaves and rhizomes from *Hedychium coronarium* J. König (Zingiberaceae). Revista Brasileira de Plantas Medicinais 12(2): 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-05722010000200009
- Moon HK, Hong SP, Smets E, Huysmans S (2009) Phylogenetic significance of leaf micromorphology and anatomy in the tribe Mentheae (Nepetoideae: Lamiaceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 160(2): 211–231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2009.00979.x
- Moon HK, Smets E, Huysmans S (2010) Phylogeny of tribe Mentheae (Lamiaceae): The story of molecules and micromorphological characters. Taxon 59(4): 1065–1076. https://doi. org/10.1002/tax.594007
- Nyawuame HGK, Gill LS (1990) Structure and Development of Stomata in the Leaves of Some Zingiberaceae. Journal of Plant Biology 33(3): 169–172. https://www.koreascience. or.kr/article/JAKO199011920115249.page
- Olatunji OA (1980) The structure and development of stomata in some Zingiberales. Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh 38: 499–515. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/ search.do?recordID=GB19820788468
- Patel JD (1975) Anatomical Studies in Cardamom (*Elettaria cardamomum* White et Maton): II. Leaf, Stomata and Trichomes. Flora 164(6): 507–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)31823-6
- Salasiah M, Meekiong K (2018) Preliminary anatomical study on leaf surfaces of bornean Zingiberaceae (tribe Alpinieae) from north east sarawak. Malaysian Applied Biology 47(5): 1–5. https://jms.mabjournal.com/index.php/mab/article/view/2127
- Schumann K (1904) Zingberaceae. In: Engler A (Ed.) Das Pflanzenreich. Leipzig, Germany, 4(46): 1–458.
- Sherlija KK, Remashree AB, Unnikrishnan K, Ravindran PN (1998) Comparative rhizome anatomy of four species of *Curcuma*. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 7(2): 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1978.tb00307.x
- Shi JH, Li LQ (2003) Leaf epidermal feature in *Clematis* (Ranunculaceae) with reference to its systematic significance. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 45(3): 257–268. https://www. jipb.net/EN/Y2003/V45/I3/257

- Stace CA (1984) The taxonomic importance of the leaf surface. In: Heywood VH, Moore DM (Eds) Current concepts in plant taxonomy. Academic Press, London, 25, 67–94.
- Tahir SS, Rajput MTM (2009) SEM structure distribution and taxonomic significance of foliar stomata in *Sibbaldia* L. species (Rosaceae). Pakistan Journal of Botany 41(5): 2137–2143. http://www.pakbs.org/pjbot/PDFs/41(5)/PJB41(5)2137.pdf
- Talip N, Hussin KH, Ibrahim H (2005) Comparative anatomy of *Alpinia* species (Zingiberaceae) in Malaysia. Nordic Journal of Botany 23(4): 463–483. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.2003.tb00420.x
- Tang YJ, Liao JP, Wu QG (2010) Comparative anatomy of the leaves of Amomum (Zingiberaceae). Yaredai Zhiwu Kexue 39(3): 38–43. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1009-7791.2010.03.012
- Theerakulpisut P, Triboun P, Mahakham W, Maensiri D, Khampila J, Chantaranothai P (2012) Phylogeny of the genus *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) based on nuclear ITS sequence data. Kew Bulletin 67(3): 389–395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12225-012-9368-2
- Theilade I (1999) A synopsis of the genus *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. Nordic Journal of Botany 19(4): 389–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.1999.tb01220.x
- Theilade I, Mærsk-Møller ML, Theilade J, Larsen K (1993) Pollen morphology and structure of *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae). Grana 32(6): 338–342. https://doi. org/10.1080/00173139309428961
- Tomlinson PB (1956) Studies in the systematic anatomy of the Zingiberaceae. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 55(361): 547–592. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1956. tb00023.x
- Tomlinson PB (1959) An anatomical approach to the classification of the Musaceae. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 55(364): 779–809. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1959. tb00040.x
- Tomlinson PB (1961) The anatomy of *Canna*. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 56(368): 467–473. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1961.tb02541.x
- Tong SQ (1998) Revision and additional notes of Zingiberaceae of Yunnan, China. Bulletin of Botanical Research 18(2): 137–143. https://en.cnki.com.cn/Article\_en/CJFDTotal-MBZW802.000.htm
- Tong SQ, Xia YM (1987) New taxa of Zingiberaceae from southern Yunnan. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 25(6): e460. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search. do?recordID=CN19880044185
- Triplett JK, Kirchoff BK (1991) Lamina architecture and anatomy in the Heliconiaceae and Musaceae (Zingiberales). Canadian Journal of Botany 69(4): 887–900. https://doi. org/10.1139/b91-115
- Uma E, Muthukumar T (2014) Comparative root morphological anatomy of Zingiberaceae. Systematics and Biodiversity 12(2): 195–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2014.894593
- Victório CP, Arruda RDCDO, Riehl CAS, Lage CLS (2011) Leaf volatiles and secretory cells of *Alpinia zerumbet* (Pers.) Burtt et Smith (Zingiberaceae). Natural Product Research 25(10): 939–948. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2010.514575
- Wang D, Yang Y, Chen J, Li X (2012) Leaf epidermal microfeatures of 28 Salix species under Scanning Electronic Microscope and their taxonomical significances. Plant Diversity and Resources 34(5): 430–442. https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1143.2012.12026

- Wang CM, Lin YC, Tseng YH (2020) Zingiber chengii (Zingiberaceae), a new species from Taiwan. PhytoKeys 139: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.139.37294
- Wilkinson HP (1979) The plant surface (mainly leaf). In: Metcalfe CR, Chalk L (Eds) Anatomy of the dicotyledons, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 97–167.
- Williams KJ, Kress WJ, Manos PS (2004) The phylogeny, evolution, and classification of the genus *Globba* and tribe Globbeae (Zingiberaceae): Appendages do matter. American Journal of Botany 91(1): 100–114. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.1.100
- Wu TL, Larsen K (2000) Zingiberaceae. In: The Flora of China Editorial Committee (Eds) Flora of China. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Saint Louis 24: 323–333. http://www. iplant.cn/foc/pdf/Zingiberaceae.pdf
- Wu QG, Liao JP, Wu TL (1996) A New Combination of the genus Zingiber—Z. ellipticum (SQ Tong et YM Xia) QG Wu et TL Wu and the Systematic Evidence. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 34(4): e415. https://www.jse.ac.cn/CN/Y1996/V34/I4/415
- Xiao XH, Zhao YL, Jin C, Shu GM, Fang QM, Shu ZW (2004) Histological and morphological studies on leaves of *Curcuma* in China. Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi 29(3): 203–207. https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1001-5302.2004.03.004
- Zamani A, Attar F, Civeyrel L (2017) Leaf epidermis characters of Iranian *Pyrus* L. (Rosaceae) and their taxonomic implications. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 64(1): 159–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-015-0341-4
- Zhang YX, Zeng CX, Li DZ (2014) Scanning electron microscopy of the leaf epidermis in Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae): evolutionary implications of selected micromorphological features. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 176(1): 46–65. https://doi. org/10.1111/boj.12192
- Zhou W, Xia LH (2012) Leaf epidermal features of *Lithocarpus* (Fagaceae) from China and their systematic significance. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 168(2): 216–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2011.01196.x