



Rhizophora mucronata var. alokii – a new variety of mangrove species from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India (Rhizophoraceae)

P. Ragavan¹, P. M. Mohan¹, R. S. C. Jayaraj², K. Ravichandran³, S. Saravanan⁴

 Department of Ocean studies and Marine Biology, Pondicherry University, Brookshabad Campus, Port Blair, Andaman & Nicobar Islands, India 2 Department of Environment and Forests, Arunachal Pradesh, India
 Department of Environment and Forests, Andaman and Nicobar Administration, Port Blair, A & N Islands, India 4 Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding author: P. Ragavan (van.ragavan@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Geoffrey Levin | Received 4 April 2015 | Accepted 8 June 2015 | Published 13 July 2015

Citation: Ragavan P, Mohan PM, Jayaraj RSC, Ravichandran K, Saravanan S (2015) *Rhizophora mucronata* var. *alokii* – a new variety of mangrove species from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India (Rhizophoraceae). PhytoKeys 52: 95–103. doi: 10.3897/phytokeys.52.5037

Abstract

Rhizophora mucronata var. alokii (Rhizophoraceae), a new variety of Rhizophora from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India, is described and illustrated. The new variety is remarkable in having four stamens, laterally folded leaves, a short peduncle, thick leathery petals, and a four-sided ovary with a sessile style. A key for the species of Rhizophora of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands is also provided.

Keywords

Rhizophoraceae, Rhizophora mucronata var. alokii, new variety, Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India

Introduction

The genus *Rhizophora* is the most common mangrove genus worldwide. Two species (*R. mangle* L. and *R. racemosa* G. Mey) and one natural hybrid (*R. × harrisonii* Leechm.) are restricted to the Atlantic-East Pacific Region, three species (*R. apiculata* Blume, *R. mucronata* Lam., and *R. stylosa* Griff.) and four named natural hybrids (*R. × annamalayana* Kathiresan, *R. × lamarckii* Montrouz, *R. × selala* (Salvoza) Toml., and *R. × tomlinsonii* Duke) are restricted to the Indo-West Pacific (IWP) region, and one species (*R. samoensis* (Hochr.) Salvosal) extends into both regions (Duke and Bunt 1979, Duke 1992, Duke et al. 1998, Duke 2002, Duke 2010). In addition, Ng et al. (2013) recognized an

unnamed hybrid between *R. mucronata* and *R. stylosa* through molecular studies. All the IWP taxa except *R. samoensis* and *R. × selala* are known from India (Ragavan et al. 2011).

The mangroves of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (ANI) are denser and more diverse compared to other mangrove habitats in India (Mandal and Naskar 2008). According to the latest estimate by the Forest Survey of India (FSI 2013), the total mangrove area is approximately 4,628 km² in India, of which 604 km² occurs in the ANI. A total of 38 mangrove species has been recorded from the ANI. These include five *Rhizophora* taxa (*R. apiculata*, *R. mucronata*, *R. stylosa*, *R.* × *lamarckii* and *R.* × *annamalayana*; Ragavan et al. 2011).

During a recent botanical excursion, an interesting population of *Rhizophora* was encountered in the mangrove forest of Austin Creek, North Andaman. Specimens were collected and did not match any of the known species of the genus and hence have been described and illustrated here as new.

Materials and methods

To better assess the taxonomic placement and distinguishing characteristics of the new taxon, a morphometric analysis of the *Rhizophora* taxa present in ANI was performed. Seventeen attributes of leaves, inflorescences and flowers (Table 1) were examined for each taxon. The dataset was used for cluster analysis with Primer-e software (Version 6). Results of the cluster analysis were then used to select the taxon morphologically most similar to the new entity. T-tests were used to determine which attributes differed significantly between the two taxa. A key for the *Rhizophora* species of Andaman and Nicobar Islands has also been provided to facilitate identification.

Results

The morphometric analysis shows that R. mucronata var. alokii has closest similarity with R. mucronata than to other Rhizophora taxa (Fig. 1). However, attributes such as leaf length, length-width ratio, petiole length, peduncle length, number of flowers and stamen number are significantly different (p < 0.05) between the two taxa (Table 1).

Taxonomic treatment

Rhizophora mucronata var. *alokii* P.Ragavan, var. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77148139-1

Material. India. North Andaman: Austin Creek, mangrove forest (Fig. 2A), 12°52'36.9"N, 92°50'40.2"E, 3 April 2014, leg. P. Ragavan, PBL 31001 and 31002 (holotype: PBL).

Table 1. Characters used for classification analysis of Rhizophora species of the ANI; average value (range) in cm for each taxon. Where no range is included, the values for the taxon showed no variation. Values that differ significantly (p < 0.05) between the varieties of R. mucronata are marked with an asterisk.

13 (8.5–16.2) 5.9 (4–8.5) 2 (1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	13.55* (8.5–18) 8.47	11.29*	11.1	12.39	13.08
(8.5–16.2) 5.9 (4–8.5) 2 (1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	(8.5–18) 8.47				17:00
5.9 (4–8.5) 2 (1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	8.47	(7–13)	(8–13)	(10–16)	(8–16)
(4–8.5) 2 (1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	(0.1.1.7)	6.71	5.68	7.4	6.45
2 (1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	(5.7–11.3)	(4–8.5)	(4–6.3)	(6–12)	(4.5-8.5)
(1.7–3.12) 0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5)	1.6*	1.69*	2.02	1.67	2
0.4 (0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5) 0.2	(1.4–1.8)	(1.43-1.79)	(1.8–2.8)	1.4–1.7	(1.79-2.2)
(0.4–0.5) 1.8 (1.4–2.5) 0.2	0.5	0.45	0.5	0.34	0.45
1.8 (1.4–2.5)	(0.4-0.6)	(0.4-0.5)	(0.4-0.6)	(0.3-0.5)	(0.4-0.5)
(1.4–2.5)	2.61*	2.22*	3.35	2.17	2.39
0.2	(1.5-3)	(1.5-3)	(2-3.5)	(1.8-2.5)	(1-3)
	0.31	0.4	0.23	0.3	0.3
(0.2-0.3)	(0.3-0.5)	(0.3-0.5)	(0.3-0.4)	(0.3-0.4)	(0.3-0.4)
C	*\C	4*	~	2	2
7	(2–8)	(2–6)	(2–8)	(2–4)	(2-4)
1.2	1.47	1.48	1	1.5	1.65
(1-1.6)	(1.2–1.6)	(1.4-1.6)	(0.7-1.2)	(1.4-1.6)	(1.5–1.7)
1	0.8	0.79	0.43	1	8.0
(0.9-1)	(0.8-1)	(0.7-0.9)	(0.3-0.6)	(0.8-1.1)	(0.7-0.8)
1.2	1.81	1.87	2.39	1.68	2.06
(0.9-14)	1.69–2.23	(1.74-2.28)	(1.81–2.51)	(1.2-1.81)	(1.79-2.32)
1	3.15*	2.72*	3.9	1.3	1.85
(0.8-1)	(1.5–6)	(2-3.5)	(2.5–5.5)	(1.2-1.5)	(1-2.5)
0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.4
(0.4-0.6)	(0.3–0.5)	(0.3–0.5)	(0.2–0.3)	(0.4-0.5)	(0.3–0.4)
8.0	0.8	1	8.0	1.2	1
(0.7-1)	(0.8-1)	(0.9-1.1)	(0.7-0.9)	(1-1.2)	(0.9-1.1)
0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	6.4	0.3
(0.2-0.3)	(0.3–0.4)	(0.3-0.4)	(0.2-0.4)	(0.3-0.4)	(0.2-0.3)
12	*	**	œ	12	12
(9–14)	0	۲	Þ	(8–16)	(8 - 16)
8.0	0.7	0.7	0.5	8.0	9.0
(0.8–1.1)	(0.7–0.9)	(0.5–0.7)	(0.4-0.6)	(0.4–1)	(0.4–0.8)
0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.12	0.3
(0.06-0.12)	(0.08–0.12)	(0.08–0.12)	(0.3–0.5)	(0.08–0.15)	(0.28–0.41)
	2 1.2 (1-1.6) 1 (0.9-1) 1.2 (0.9-14) 1.3 (0.8-1) 0.5 (0.8-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.2 (0.7-0.3) 12 (0.2-0.3) 12 (0.2-0.3) 12 (0.9-14) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.2 (0.7-1) 0.2 (0.8-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.9 (0.8-1) 0.9 (0.8-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.9 (0.8-1) 0.9 (0.8-1) 0.8 (0.7-1) 0.9 (0.8-1) 0.8 (0.8-		5* 5* (2-8) (1.2-8) (1.2-1.6) 0.8 (0.8-1) 1.69-2.23 (1.5-6) 0.3 (0.3-0.5) 0.8 (0.8-1) 0.3 (0.3-0.4) 8* (0.7 (0.7-0.9) (0.7-0.9) (0.8-0.12) (0.7-0.9)	5* 4* 5* 4* (2-8) (2-6) 1.47 1.48 (1.2-1.6) (1.4-1.6) 0.8 0.79 (0.8-1) (0.7-0.9) 1.81 1.87 1.81 1.87 1.59-2.23 (1.74-2.28) 3.15* 2.72* (0.3-0.5) 0.4 (0.3-0.5) (0.3-0.5) 0.8 1 (0.8-1) (0.3-0.5) 0.3 0.4 (0.3-0.4) (0.9-1.1) 0.7 (0.7-0.9) 0.7 (0.5-0.7) 0.1 (0.08-0.12)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$




Figure 1. Cluster dendrogram (group average) showing similarity among the Rhizophora species of the ANI.

Tree: columnar to spreading, height to 20 m, evergreen (Fig. 2A). Bark: dark brown, friable, fissured horizontally (Fig. 2C). Roots: both stilt roots and aerial roots growing from lower branches, stilt roots are highly conspicuous arching above ground to 2 m (Fig. 2B). Leaves: simple, opposite, green to dark green, elliptical to broadly elliptical (Fig. 2D, E), laterally folded, underside with numerous dark spots, 7–13 × 4-8.5 cm, length to width ratio averaging 1.69 (not greater than 1.8), apex obtuse with pointed mucro, 0.4-0.5 cm long (Fig. 2F), base cuneate, margin entire; petiole green, 1.5-3 × 0.3-0.5 cm. Inflorescences: axillary, 2-6 flowered (Fig. 2G); bract and bracteoles minute (Fig. 2H); peduncle 2-3.5 × 0.3-0.5 cm; pedicel stout; Mature flower: ellipsoidal, creamy white (Fig. 2I), 1.4-1.6 × 0.7-0.9 cm, length to width ratio ca. 1.87, cross section slightly four-sided (Fig. 2J); calyx lobes 4, thicker than R. mucronata, yellowish white, apex acute; petals 4, thick, leathery, folded laterally, creamy white, velvety and hairy on the margin (Fig. 2L, N), $0.9-1.1 \times 0.3-0.4$ cm; stamens 4, 0.5–0.7cm long (Fig. 2M, N); style bilobed (Fig. 2O), 0.8–0.12 cm long, seated on four sided domed ovary (Fig. 2P). Mature fruits: pear-shaped, brown, 4–5 × 2.5–3.5 cm, calyx persistent with erect lobes (Fig. 2Q). Mature hypocotyls: 40–60 cm long, green, tip pointed, 1.5–1.7 cm wide at widest point (Fig. 2K); plumule green, 2–3 cm long.

Distribution. *Rhizophora mucronata* var. *alokii* is currently known only from Austin Creek, North Andaman, India.




Figure 2. Rhizophora mucronata var. alokii (**A**) habit (**B**) stem base with stilt roots (**C**) bark (**D**) branches (**E**) leafy branch end with flowers (**F**) leaf apex with mucro (**G**) inflorescence (**H**) minute bract at dichotomous inflorescence branch (**I**) mature bud with minute bracteole below calyx (**J**) cross section of bud (**K**) mature propagules (**L**) thick leathery petal (**M**) stamens (**N**) flower (**O**) pistil showing four-sided ovary (**P**) flower with one petal removed (**Q**) pear-shaped fruit (**R**) stamens with pollen.

Habitat and ecology. It grows in a mangrove forest along the banks in an intermediate estuarine position in association with *Rhizophora apiculata*, *R. mucronata* and *Ceriops tagal*.

Phenology. Flowering December to March; fruiting April to July.

Etymology. Named in honour of Dr. Alok Saxena (Principal Chief Conservator of Forests) for his inspiration and his outstanding contribution to mangrove conservation in the ANI.

Conservation status. Rhizophora mucronata var. alokii was collected only from Austin Creek (North Andaman Islands). At this site ca. 15 individuals were observed and hence it is assumed to be rare. At present, until further areas can be sampled the species can be accessed as "Data Deficient" (DD), using the criteria of IUCN (2001).

Discussion

Rhizophora species are very similar and can be difficult to distinguish (Lo 2003). The key distinguishing characters of Rhizophora spp. in the ANI are given in Table 2. The identification of R. apiculata is not problematic because it differs from the other species within its range in many characters, including having apiculate leaves with spinose mucronate tips, bi-flowered inflorescences borne on short peduncles below the leaves, short styles and a swollen, corky, brown bract below the calyx. However, dark spots are present on the leaf undersides of R. apiculata from India to southeast Asia and northern Papuasia; they are absent in southern Papuasia and northern Australia (Duke et al. 2002). The number of calyx lobes varies geographically; throughout most of the species range there are four lobes but in Australia there are three to six lobes (Duke et al. 2002).

Rhizophora hybrids are recognized by intermediate morphology and absence of advanced reproductive stages (Tomlinson 1986). Both $R. \times lamarckii$ and $R. \times annamalayana$ are distinguished from R. apiculata by their smooth green bract and 2-4 flowered inflorescences within the leaf axils. *Rhizophora* \times annamalayana is distinguished from $R. \times lamarckii$ by its broader leaves (length: width ratio <1.8 vs >1.8), and shorter style (<1.5 mm vs. > 1.5 mm) and stamens in two whorls vs. usually in one single whorl.

Distinguishing *R. mucronata* and *R. stylosa* is often problematic. Style length is the main feature used to differentiate these taxa; Ragavan et al. (2011) showed that in *R. mucronata* the style is short and the ovary elongate and tapering, similar to that in *R. apiculata*, whereas in *R. stylosa* the style is long and ovary is short, although intermediates are found. The two species also differ in that *R. stylosa* has prominent, two-lobed bracts and bracteoles, smaller buds, obovate leaves, smaller fruits and shorter propagules.

All previously described *Rhizophora* species have eight or more stamens, whereas *R. mucronata* var. *alokii* has four stamens. *Rhizophora mucronata* var. *alokii* closely resembles *R. mucronata* var. *mucronata* in its minute bract and bracteoles, bark texture, and bud shape, but can be distinguished not only by stamen number but also by its dense foliage, laterally folded leaves, thick leathery petals with dense hairs, shorter peduncle,

Table 2. Diagnostic characters of Rhizaphora species of the ANI. The hybrids do not produce seeds so hypocotyl characters are not present in them and therefore not included in the table.

Component	Attributes	R. apiculata	R. mucronata var.	R. mucronata var.	R. stylosa	R.×	R. × lamarckii
	Leaf shape	narrowly elliptic	ovate, broader at	elliptic	narrowly obovate broader at apex	broadly elliptic	narrowly elliptic
Leaves	Leaf apex	acute	acute	obtuse	obtuse	acute	acute
	Leaf base	cuneate	broadly acute to rounded	cuneate	cuneate	cuneate	attenuate to cuneate
	Position relative to leaves	matures below	matures within	matures within	matures within	matures within	mature within
D	Flower number	2	2–8	2–6	2–8	2-4	2-4
Innorescences	Juncture number	1	1 to 3	1 to 3	1 to 3	1 to 2	1 to 2
	Bract condition	corky	smooth, minute	smooth, minute	smooth, conspicuous	smooth, swollen	smooth swollen
	Bud length	1–1.6 cm	1.2–1.6 cm	1.4–1.6 cm	0.7–1.2 cm	1.4–1.6 cm	1.5–1.7 cm
	Bud width	0.9–1 cm	0.8–1 cm	0.7–0.9 cm	0.3–0.6 cm	0.8–1.1 cm	0.7–0.8 cm
	Shape x-section	rounded	rounded	slightly four- sided	rounded	four-sided	rounded
Mature flower	Bud length /width ratio	1.2	1.81	1.87	2.39	1.68	2.06
bud(closed)	Petal x-section	flat	enclose stamens	thick folded	enclose stamens	curved	curved
	Petal margin	glabrous	Hairy	velvety hairy	hairy	slightly hairy	slightly hairy
	Style length	0.08–0.12 cm	0.08–0.12 cm	0.08–0.1 cm	0.3–0.4 cm	0.08-0.12 cm	0.2–0.4 cm
	Stamen number	9 to 14	8	4	8	8-16 in two whorls	8–16 in one whorls
2	Expanded fruit	cork -like	pear- like	pear- like	pear-like		
Mature	Hypocotyl length	20–40 cm	50–80 cm	40–60 cm	21–35 cm		
uypocotyis	Distal shape	bluntly pointed	narrowly pointed	narrowly pointed	narrowly pointed		

and four-sided ovary. It can be difficult to distinguish var. *alokii* from var. *mucronata* without the presence of flowers. Differences in flowering time is likely to make this taxon reproductively isolated. A key to the ANI species of *Rhizophora* is given below.

Key to Rhizophora spp. of ANI

1	Peduncle shorter than petiole2
_	Peduncle as long as or longer than petiole
2	Mature flower bud and fruits below the leaves; inflorescences two-flowered;
	bract corky, brown; hypocotyl present
_	Mature flower buds within the leaves; inflorescences 2-4-flowered; bract
	smooth and green; hypocotyls not present
3	Leaves broadly elliptical; styles 0.8–1.2 mm long; stamens in two whorls, inner
	shorter; mature flower bud four-sided in cross-section R. × annamalayana
_	Leaves narrowly elliptical; styles 2–3 mm long; stamens in one whorl; mature
	flower bud rounded in cross-section
4	Stamens 4, petals thick and leathery, densely hairy R. mucronata var. alokii
_	Stamens 8; petals thin, hairy at margin5
5	Bract and bracteoles minute; style 1 mm long, seated on elongate, tapering
	ovary; hypocotyls 50–80 cm long
_	Bract and bracteoles prominent, forming two-lobed, cup-like structure; style
	3-4 mm, seated on short ovary; hypocotyls 20–40 cm long

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Andaman and Nicobar Islands for his guidance and ensuring we had the necessary support in the field. We also appreciate the cooperation and support provided by the CCF (Research and Working Plan), Territorial Circle and all the Divisional Forest Officers and their staff in the Department of Environment and Forests, Andaman and Nicobar Administration. Thanks are due to Dr. N. Krishnakumar, IFS, Director, Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Coimbatore, for his support and encouragement. Special thanks are extended to Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms DFO Mayabunder for constant support.

References

Duke NC (2010) Overlap of eastern and western mangrove in the South western Pacific: hybridization of all three *Rhizophora* (Rhizophoraceae) combinations in New Caledonia. Blumea 55: 171–188. doi: 10.3767/000651910X527293

- Duke NC, Ball MC, Ellison JC (1998) Factors influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. Global Ecol Biogeog Lett 7: 27–47. doi: 10.2307/2997695
- Duke NC, Bunt JS (1979) The genus *Rhizophora* (Rhizophoraceae) in northeastern Australia. Australian Journal of Botany 27: 657–678. doi: 10.1071/BT9790657
- Duke NC, Lo EYY, Sun M (2002) Global distribution and genetic discontinuities of mangroves emerging patterns in the evolution of *Rhizophora*. Trees 16: 65–79. doi: 10.1007/s00468-001-0141-7
- FSI (2013) State of forest report. Forest Survey of India (FSI), Dehra Dun, 33-37.
- IUCN (2001) IUCN Red List categories and criteria. Version 3.1, Prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, and Cambridge, United Kingdom, 32 pp.
- Lo EYY (2003) Phylogenetic relationships and natural hybridization in the mangrove genus *Rhizophora* from the Indo-West Pacific region. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, 198 pp.
- Mandal RN, Naskar KR (2008) Diversity and classification of Indian mangroves: a review. Tropical Ecology 49: 131–146.
- Ng WL, Chan HT, Szmidt AE (2013) Molecular identification of natural mangrove hybrids of *Rhizophora* in Peninsular Malaysia. Tree Genet. Genomes 9: 1–10. doi: 10.1007/s11295-013-0619-7
- Ragavan P, Saxena M, Coomar T, Saxena A (2011) Preliminary study on natural hybrids of genus *Rhizophora* in India. ISME/ GLOMIS Electronic Journal 9: 13–19.
- Tomlinson PB (1986) The botany of mangroves. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 413 pp.