## PhytoKeys

**Research Article** 

# Atlas Florae Europaeae notes, 35. Further critical notes on *Cytisus* sect. *Tubocytisus* (Fabaceae) in Europe

Alexander N. Sennikov<sup>10</sup>, Valery N. Tikhomirov<sup>20</sup>

1 Botanical Museum, Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland

2 Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus

Corresponding author: Alexander N. Sennikov (alexander.sennikov@helsinki.fi)

#### Abstract

A few species names in Cytisus sect. Tubocytisus are re-assessed and taxonomically evaluated. Diagnostic characters are discussed and the species status of C. absinthioides Janka, C. eriocarpus Boiss., C. frivaldszkyanus Degen, C. jankae Velen. and C. smyrnaeus Boiss. is confirmed. The holotype of Cytisus triflorus Lam. was found to belong to C. hirsutus L. rather than to the C. ratisbonensis group as currently treated. Cytisus lasiosemius Boiss. is not the correct name for C. frivaldszkyanus Degen, but another synonym of C. hirsutus. Cytisus litwinowii V.I.Krecz., which was known solely from the holotype, is a synonym of C. austriacus L. s.str. Chamaecytisus pseudojankae Pifkó & Barina, reported from a small area shared between Albania, Greece and North Macedonia, is treated as a subalpine variant of C. austriacus. Cytisus tmoleus Boiss. is removed from the synonymy of C. eriocarpus and added to the synonymy of C. pygmaeus Willd. Cytisus falcatus subsp. albanicus Degen & Dörfl. and C. pubescens Gilib. are synonymised with C. hirsutus. Cytisus microphyllus Boiss. is moved from C. austriacus s.l. to the synonymy of C. frivaldszkyanus, and C. pindicola (Degen) Halácsy to the synonymy of C. jankae. Chamaecytisus calcareus (Velen.) Kuzmanov is accepted as Cytisus calcareus (Velen.) Sennikov & Val.N.Tikhom., comb. nov., and its distribution is circumscribed. Cytisus hirsutus var. ciliatus (Wahlenb.) Hazsl. and C. polytrichus var. subglabratus Val.N.Tikhom. & Sennikov, var. nov. are recognised as glabrous variants of the corresponding species. Lectotypes of C. ciliatus, C. hirsutissimus K.Koch, C. jankae, C. lasiosemius, C. pubescens, C. rhodopeus J.Wagner ex Bornm. and C. thirkeanus K.Koch are designated. Cytisus polytrichus is reported from the Western Caucasus in place of C. wulffii auct.

**Key words:** Balkans, *Chamaecytisus*, Leguminosae, nomenclature, synonymy, taxonomy, typification

#### Introduction

The genus *Cytisus* Desf. nom. cons. is one of the largest genera of tribe Cytiseae Bercht. & J.Presl (Talavera and Salgueiro 1999). Its circumscription is still uncertain due to the lack of modern phylogenetic work; old phylogenies (Cubas et al. 2002; Pardo et al. 2004) indicated unresolved relationships in the *Cytisus*-group in Cytiseae, with some taxa being currently treated as segregate genera *Adenocarpos* DC. or *Argyrocytisus* (Maire) Raynaud, *Calicotome* Link,



Academic editor: A. Sukhorukov Received: 31 December 2023 Accepted: 30 January 2024 Published: 23 February 2024

Citation: Sennikov AN, Tikhomirov VN (2024) Atlas Florae Europaeae notes, 35. Further critical notes on *Cytisus* sect. *Tubocytisus* (Fabaceae) in Europe. PhytoKeys 238: 199–230. https://doi.org/10.3897/ phytokeys.238.118032

**Copyright:** © A. N. Sennikov & V. N. Tikhomirov. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

*Chamaecytisus* Link, *Cytisophyllum* O.Lang (e.g. Talavera and Salgueiro (1999); Freiberg et al. (2020); Govaerts et al. (2021)). Due to unresolved relationships with and a morphological similarity of these groups to the core lineages of *Cytisus*, a broad circumscription of *Cytisus* s.l. was advocated by taxonomic experts (Cristofolini 1991; Cristofolini and Conte 2002; Cristofolini and Troía 2006, 2017) and is followed here.

*Cytisus* sect. *Tubocytisus* DC. (= *Chamaecytisus* Link) is the largest part of *Cytisus* s.l. Its species number varies greatly according to the accepted concept, ranging from about 30 (Cristofolini and Troía 2006) to 43 (Govaerts et al. 2021). The species in this group may be very similar to each other, being different in minor characters of dimensions and pubescence (Cristofolini 1991; Cristofolini and Troía 2017). This fact poses a natural difficulty in the taxonomic delimitation of this group and is responsible for wide discrepancies and contradictions in taxonomic assessments between individual researchers (e.g. Gibbs (1970); Tzvelev (1987); Cristofolini (1991); Pifkó (2009)).

Published treatments of *Cytisus* sect. *Tubocytisus* varied in detail, but remained consistent in one major feature, i.e. a high level of taxonomic splitting, resulting in narrowly delimited taxa with faint, but constant differences in pubescence, dimensions, leaf shape and habit (Sennikov and Tikhomirov 2024a). Certain deviations observed between particular treatments may be better explained by some material being inaccessible to individual researchers, thus accounting for lumping of single species or misinterpretation of particular species names.

In the present contribution, we provide notes on some species of *Cytisus*, mostly in Central and Eastern Europe and the Balkans, which require taxonomic or nomenclatural corrections. This study is based on our examination of the original material and protologues of relevant species names, which allowed us to match otherwise discrepant taxonomic decisions made by various researchers (e.g. Gibbs (1970); Cristofolini (1991); Pifkó (2005, 2009); Pifkó and Barina (2016)).

The scope of this study is limited to a selection of species belonging to three groups of *C*. sect. *Tubocytisus*, i.e. *C*. *hirsutus* (stems erect or prostrate, inflorescences terminal and lateral, leaflets elliptic-lanceolate to oblanceolate, hairs long patent), *C*. *austriacus* (stems erect, inflorescences terminal, leaflets lanceolate, apically narrowed, hairs strigose, mostly appressed), *C*. *pygmaeus* (stems ascending, inflorescences terminal, leaflets elliptic-lanceolate to obovate-lanceolate, hairs long and short, appressed, subpatent or patent). One more species-rich and taxonomically problematic group, *C*. *ratisbonensis*, is treated separately elsewhere (Sennikov and Tikhomirov 2024a, b).

This revision contributes taxonomic and nomenclatural corrections to the mapping programme for "Atlas Florae Europaeae".

#### **Material and methods**

This study is based on herbarium specimens, examined by traditional morphological method. The diagnostic characters used in this study are the same as in Cristofolini (1991) and Sennikov and Tikhomirov (2024a).

The synonymy is based on our examination of original material available through online resources (JSTOR, JACQ) and protologues. Type designations follow the latest rules of botanical nomenclature (Turland et al. 2018). New typifications are illustrated by scanned images of herbarium specimens.

Species descriptions are omitted. Instead, diagnostic characters are discussed and comparison tables are provided for species groups.

Country-level species distributions are compiled from reliable literature and accessible herbarium specimens (B, BR, H, JE, K, L, LE, LY, MA, MW, PRC, RB, U, W, WU), which were examined largely online as scanned images via JSTOR (https://www.jstor.org) and JACQ Virtual Herbaria (https://www.jacq.org). We also used human observations documented by photographs, which were available online via iNaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org/). The distributions in the Balkans may be incomplete due to insufficient level of local studies and limited availability of herbarium material. Some species with critically revised circumscriptions are mapped. The list of specimens or observations examined and used in mapping is made available through Internet Archive (Tikhomirov and Sennikov 2023).

#### Results

#### Cytisus hirsutus group

**Taxonomy.** The diagnostic character of this species group is long patent (horizontally spreading) stiff hairs on calyces and pedicels. This group requires a thorough revision on the account of its high morphological variability. In our notes, we concentrate on selected species whose type material is known to us.

#### 1. Cytisus hirsutus L., Sp. Pl. 2: 739 (1753)

- = Cytisus supinus L., Sp. Pl. 2: 740 (1753). Type. [icon] Cytisus VII in Clusius, Rar. Pl. Hist.: 96 (1601) (lectotype designated by Cristofolini and Jarvis (1991: 498)).
- Cytisus triflorus Lam., Encycl. 2(1): 250. 1786, syn. nov. Chamaecytisus triflorus (Lam.) Skalická in Preslia 58: 23 (1986). Type. ITALY. "Des environs de Naple", [1785], M. Vahl in Herb. Lamarck (holotype P). Fig. 1.
- = Cytisus pubescens Gilib. in Usteri, Del. Opusc. Bot. 2: 365 (1793), syn. nov. Type. [icon] Cytisus VII in Clusius, Rar. Pl. Hist.: 96 (1601) (lectotype designated here).
- Cytisus falcatus Waldst. & Kit., Descr. Icon. Pl. Hung. 3: 264, t. 238 (1812)
  Chamaecytisus falcatus (Waldst. & Kit.) Holub in Folia Geobot. Phytotax. 18(2): 204 (1983) Chamaecytisus triflorus subsp. falcatus (Waldst. & Kit.) Pifkó in Stud. Bot. Hung. 38: 13 (2007). Type. CROATIA. "In alpe Plissivicza et in monte Merszin", P. Kitaibel in Herb. Kitaibel XXIV: 170 (lectotype BP, designated by Kováts (1992: 40)).
- Cytisus hirsutissimus K.Koch, Linnaea 19(1): 62 (1846) Cytisus hirsutus var. hirsutissimus (K.Koch) Boiss., Fl. Orient. 2: 51 (1872) Chamaecytisus hirsutus subsp. hirsutissimus (K.Koch) Ponert in Feddes Repert. 83(9–10): 619 (1973) Chamaecytisus hirsutissimus (K.Koch) Czerep., Sosud. Rast. SSSR: 229 (1981). Type. TURKEY. Trabzon Province: "Litus australis Pontus Euxini", [1843], Thirke (lectotype LE 00013762, designated here; isolectotype LE). Fig. 2.



Figure 1. Holotype of Cytisus triflorus Lam.

- Cytisus lasiosemius Boiss. in Tchihatcheff, Asie Min., Bot. 1: 12 (1860), syn. nov. – Chamaecytisus lasiosemius (Boiss.) Pifkó in Barina, Distrib. Atlas Vasc. Pl. Albania: 466 (2017) – Chamaecytisus heuffelii subsp. lasiosemius (Velen.) Niketić in Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Belgrade 14: 84 (2021). Type. TURKEY. "Asia Minor, OEst, 1858" [= between Samsun and Tekkeköy], 1858, P.A. Tchihatcheff 629 (lectotype P 02952886, designated here). Fig. 3.
- Cytisus falcatus subsp. albanicus Degen & Dörfl. in Denkschr. Kaiserl. Akad. Wiss., Wien. Math.-Naturwiss. Kl. 64: 717 (1897), syn. nov. – Chamaecytisus triflorus var. albanicus (Degen & Dörfl.) Micevski, Fl. Republ. Makedonija 1(5): 1135 (2001). Туре. NORTH MACEDONIA. "In locis humosis ad Neresi prope Üsküb [Skopje]", 02.05.1893, I. Dörfler 126 (syntype WU 068283).

**Type.** ITALY. Sassari: Olbia ("Prope Olbyam in Galloprovincia"), Herb. Burser XXII: 5 (lectotype UPS, designated by Cristofolini and Jarvis (1991: 498)).

**Taxonomy.** This species has dimorphic inflorescences (Cristofolini 1991) and leaves densely hairy above. Cristofolini (1991) included various glabrescent forms into this species, which we prefer to exclude because such forms are not parts of the infraspecific variability in the material that we have examined.

**Distribution.** EUROPE: mountain areas from western France to the Eastern Carpathians longitudinally, from southern Poland to southern Italy latitudinally (Cristofolini 1991; Cristofolini and Troía 2017).

**Notes on nomenclature.** In the protologue of *Cytisus supinus*, Linnaeus (1753) cited three synonyms borrowed from Clusius (1601), of which one synonym (*"Cytisus* VII. species altera Clus. hist. 1. p. 96") was cited twice. This erratic way of citation evokes the idea of corrupted references. We checked these double-cited references against the relevant synonyms in Bauhin (1671), which were linked with Clusius (1601) by Linnaeus (1753) and in the earlier treatments of Clusius (1583). The first instance of this reference, cited by Linnaeus (1753), belongs to *Cytisi* VII. species altera (Clusius 1601: 97), which is not accompanied by any illustration. The second citation actually refers to *Cytisus* VII (Clusius 1601: 96) with an illustration, which was designated by Cristofolini and Jarvis (1991: 498) as a lectotype of *C. supinus*. Although Cristofolini and Jarvis (1991) cited *Cytisus* VII. species altera as the lectotype, they unambiguously referred to the same illustration as Linnaeus, thus making the same technical citation error. We provide a correct citation here.

The protologue of *Cytisus triflorus* was based on the only cited specimen collected by Martin Vahl in Naples in 1785 (collection date from Lanzoni (1930)). This specimen was designated as a lectotype by Skalická (1986), but is most likely the holotype.

The species name *Cytisus triflorus* was misfortunately resurrected from oblivion by Skalická (1986) and accepted by Cristofolini (1991) for a segregate of *C. ratisbonensis* s.l., which is superficially similar to and often confused with *C. hirsutus*. Skalická (1986) examined the type specimen of this species name on the basis of a photograph which apparently did not show its features of pubescence. We requested a high-quality scanned image of the type from P-Lam; its examination revealed that the calyces, pedicels and petioles of this plant are covered by long upright setose hairs, which do not cover the plant tissues. These hairs are clearly distinct from the subappressed pubescence of dense thin hairs in the *C. ratisbonensis* group, which completely covers the plant parts,



Figure 2. Lectotype of Cytisus hirsutissimus K.Koch.

and correspond to the characters of *C. hirsutus*. Since the usage of this plant name after Skalická (1986) is relatively new and unstable (e.g. in Eastern Europe, the name *C. lindemannii* is still used for this species: Czerepanov (1995), Fedoronchuk (2019)) and the taxonomy of the *C. ratisbonensis* group has been in flux, the disappearance of this species name will not be of principal inconvenience for the users of plant nomenclature.

*Cytisus pubescens* Gilib. was originally introduced in Gilibert (1782), which is included in the list of suppressed works, thus disavowing valid publication of all new names of species and infraspecific taxa published in this book. This species name was validly published in a revised version of the same book (Gilibert 1793) which was reprinted from its original, also suppressed edition (Gilibert 1785). Since the reprint was not explicitly suppressed, its species plant names are considered validly published and may compete for priority (e.g. Ardenghi (2015)).

There are no extant herbarium specimens associated with the protologue of *C. pubescens* (Shiyan et al. 2013). The only element of its original material in existence is an illustration cited in the protologue, *Cytisus* VII (Clusius 1601: 96). This illustration is drawn from plants occurring in Spain ("praesertim Baetica"; this Roman Province largely corresponds to Andalucia) and represents *C. hirsutus* (Cristofolini and Jarvis 1991). Although Gilibert (1793) clearly described a plant of the *C. ratisbonensis* group under his *C. pubescens*, the illustration cited in the protologue mandates the reduction of this species name to a synonym of *C. hirsutus*, which is formally effected here by lectotypification.

*Cytisus falcatus* was described as a relative of *C. hitsutus* (Waldstein & Kitaibel, 1812). Its pods are hairy and leaflets are sparsely hairy above, thus indicating the synonymy with *C. hirsutus* rather than *C. ciliatus* as treated by Micevski (2001) and Pifkó (2005). Cristofolini (1991) erroneously added *C. falcatus* to the synonymy of *C. triflorus* (which was a member of the *C. ratisbonensis* group in his sense).

The main collection of K.Koch was acquired to B in 1913 (Ulbrich 1917) and subsequently destroyed with few exceptions (Lack 1978). The specimens of *Cytisus* described by Koch survived at LE only (Edmondson and Lack 1977), and this material is designated as a lectotype of *C. hirsutissimus* here. Thirke labelled his collections with very generic designations. but Koch (1846) recorded that Thirke's collecting activities took place around Trabzon and, to a lesser extent, Samsun in 1843.

We traced two specimens from the original collection of *C. hirsutissimus* at LE. As the protologue states that calyces of this species are covered by horizontally spreading hairs (Koch 1846), thus corresponding to the diagnostic characters of *C. hirsutus*, we designate a specimen (LE 00013762) whose characters are in complete agreement with the protologue.

Some authors (Kreczetowicz 1940; Grossheim 1952; Portenier and Solodko 2002) treated *C. hirsutissimus* as endemic to the Caucasus, which reportedly differed from the East European *C. lindemannii* (= *C. elongatus*) in longer pedicels and a patent (vs. subappressed) pubescence of the whole plant. These minor and variable characters cannot be considered species-specific, and *C. hirsutissimus* of these authors was correctly identified with *C. triflorus* (Cristofolini 1991). Gibbs (1970) placed *C. hirsutissimus* in the synonymy of *C. hirsutus* on account of its lateral inflorescences (his treatment maintained the difference between *C. hirsutus* and *C. supinus*, thus artificially dividing a single species with dimorphic inflorescences). Our designated lectotype confirms the latter synonymisation.

*Cytisus lasiosemius* Boiss. was described from Asiatic Turkey ("inter Samsun et Tekekoi [Tekkeköy]", now Bayraktepe National Park, Samsun Province). In the protologue, Boissier (Tchihatcheff 1860) compared the new species with *C. supinus* (= *C. hirsutus*), and distinguished it from the latter by acute leaflets and hairy standard. These characters are variable within *C. hirsutus*, and Gibbs (1970)



Figure 3. Lectotype of Cytisus lasiosemius Boiss (Tchihatcheff 629).

rightly placed *C. lasiosemius* to the synonymy of his *C. supinus*. On the contrary, Cristofolini (1991) accepted *C. lasiosemius* as a priority name for *C. frivaldszkyanus* Degen, which also has rather patent hairs. This treatment cannot be accepted because the pubescence of *C. lasiosemius* is composed of long, sparsely situated horizontal hairs on its stems, petioles and pedicels, typical of *C. hirsutus*, whereas the pubescence of *C. frivaldszkyanus* is very densely covering the stems, petioles and pedicels and consists of both long and short curved hairs, like in the *C. ratisbonensis* group (Sennikov and Tikhomirov 2024a). We confirm the opinion of Gibbs (1970) and add *C. lasiosemius* to the synonymy of *C. hirsutus*.

The original material of *C. lasiosemius* consists of a few specimens collected by P.A. Tchihatcheff in Turkey during 1858 (Tchihatcheff 1860). These specimens are accompanied by tiny field tickets with different field numbers, thus indicating that they are different gatherings. Niketić (2021) designated a complete herbarium sheet at P with three gatherings as a lectotype, which is inadmissible. We restrict this choice to a single gathering numbered 629.

## 1a. *Cytisus hirsutus* var. *ciliatus* (Wahlenb.) Hazsl. in Verh. K.K. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien 1: 201 (1852)

- Cytisus ciliatus Wahlenb., Fl. Carp.: 219 (1814) Cytisus prostratus var. ciliatus (Wahlenb.) W.D.J.Koch, Syn. Deut. Schweiz. Fl. 1: 155 (1837) Cytisus hirsutus subsp. ciliatus (Wahlenb.) Simonk. in Math. Term. Közlem. 22: 376 (1888) Chamaecytisus triflorus subsp. ciliatus (Wahlenb.) Holub in Bertová, Fl. Slovenska IV(4): 38 (1988).
- *Cytisus glaber* L.f., Suppl. Pl.: 328. 1782, non Lam. 1779, nom. illeg. (Art. 53.1)
  *Chamaecytisus glaber* Rothm. in Feddes Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 53: 143 (1944). Type. Not designated.
- *Cytisus serotinus* Kit. ex DC., Prodr. 2: 156 (1825) *Cytisus hirsutus* var. serotinus (Kit. ex DC.) Soó in Veröff. Geobot. Inst. Rübel Zürich 6: 254 (1930). Type. Western UKRAINE (Mukachevo) or ROMANIA (Satu Mare). Locality unknown, 1815, *P. Kitaibel* (holotype G-DC barcode G00477721; isotypes BM barcode BM000750883, M barcode M0210789).

**Туре.** SLOVAKIA. Žilinský kraj: "Hradska hola" [Hradská Hora], 30.07.1813, *G. Wahlenberg* (lectotype UPS V-1016663, designated here). Fig. 4.

**Distribution.** Europe: certainly present in Slovakia, Ukraine, Hungary, Romania and the Balkans; reported as "*C. falcatus*" from North Macedonia (Micevski 2001).

**Notes on taxonomy and distribution.** This taxon was described from the vicinities of Liptovský Hrádok in present-day Slovakia (Wahlenberg 1814) and occurs in the mountains surrounding the Pannonian Plain and in the Balkans (Holub and Bertová 1988; Pifkó 2009 and our data). *Cytisus ciliatus* is closely related to *C. hirsutus*, but differs from the latter by the upper side of its leaf laminae and by pod surfaces being glabrous or nearly so (vs. regularly hairy). So far, we have no evidence that the distribution of hairy and glabrous plants of *C. hirsutus* is separate; this distinction denotes the same casual loss of pubescence as observed in some other species of *Cytisus (C. ruthenicus* var. *zingeri* Nenjukov: Sennikov et al. (2021); *C. polytrichus* var. *subglabratus* Val.N.Tikhom. & Sennikov, see below) and corresponds to the rank of variety.



Figure 4. Lectotype of *Cytisus ciliatus* Wahlenb.

Some authors (Bernard 1977) interpreted the name *Cytisus glaber* as corresponding to *C. hirsutus*, which cannot be true because of its leaves glabrous above. Judging from the glabrous leaves of the plant and its occurrence in "Austria", *C. glaber* is an earlier (albeit illegitimate and therefore unusable) synonym of *C. ciliatus* Wahlenb. (*C. hirsutus* s.l.). Tzvelev (1987) formally accepted *Chamaecytisus glaber* (with *C. elongatus* mis-added to its synonymy) and applied it to west Ukrainian and cultivated plants of Central European origin with erect stems, leaves glabrous above, lateral inflorescences and patent pubescence, which agrees with our interpretation.

*Cytisus serotinus* is a plant with the leaves glabrous above, which belongs to the *C. hirsutus* group. It was originally recognised due to its presumed late flowering season, but merely coincides with *C. ciliatus*.

**Notes on nomenclature.** Wahlenberg (1814) distinguished *Cytisus ciliatus* from *C. hirsutus*, which was the original name for his material, by the pubescence of its leaves and pods. In the collections of UPS, where the Herbarium of Wahlenberg is housed, two specimens of the original material were found, both corresponding to the original description and the provenance cited in the protologue. One specimen bears precise collection data, but the draft name of the taxon (*C. hirsutus* [...] glabris) written by Wahlenberg, whereas the second specimen bears the final plant name (*C. ciliatus*), but generalised collection data ("e montibus Carpaticis") written by C.P. Thunberg. As both specimens correspond to the taxon as circumscribed by Wahlenberg and are undoubtedly linked with the protologue, we prefer the specimen with exact provenance from the author's collection as a lectotype.

Despite all searches, we were not able to trace any herbarium material linked with the protologue of *C. glaber* (Linnaeus filius 1782), in which a species with the leaves glabrous above and slightly hairy below was described from "Austria". The only original element, an illustration of "Cytisus glaber, siliqua angusta" in Bauhin and Cherler (1650: 373) was rejected by Cristofolini (1991) as conflicting with the original description (calyces depicted as campanulate, whereas the protologue stated the calyx being "oblongus subventricosus"), although this presumed conflict may be explained by the crude nature of this drawing. So far, this species name remains untypified and interpreted on the basis of the protologue (Tzvelev 1987).

A later synonym belonging to the same taxon is *C. serotinus* Kit. ex DC. (Candolle 1825), described from historical "Hungary" without a further specification. Pifkó (2005) designated a lectotype at BP; since no specimens were cited by Candolle as syntypes, his only specimen used for the original description is the holotype, and the lectotype at BP has no standing. The only original specimen in Candolle's herbarium at G is lacking a precise provenance, which can be derived from comparisons with the main collections of P. Kitaibel kept at BP (Jávorka 1957) and from the diaries of Kitaibel (Gombocz 1945; Lőkös 2001).

Three specimens identified as *C. serotinus* are preserved in the herbarium of Kitaibel at BP (Pifkó 2005), collected near Mukachevo in present-day Ukraine and at Gödöllő in present-day Hungary. Kitaibel (Lőkös 2001) also mentioned that he collected this species near Szatmár (now Satu Mare in Romania, near the border with Hungary and Ukraine). The specimen at G-DC is dated as received in 1815 and seemingly was collected during that year on the way from Mukachevo to Satu Mare (Lőkös 2001).

#### 2. Cytisus polytrichus M.Bieb., Fl. Taur.-Caucas. 3: 477 (1819)

- Cytisus hirsutus var. polytrichus (M.Bieb.) Briq., Étud. Cytises Alpes Mar.: 171 (1894) Cytisus hirsutus subsp. polytrichus (M.Bieb.) Hayek in Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 30(1): 898 (1926) Chamaecytisus polytrichus (M.Bieb.) Rothm. in Feddes Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 53: 144 (1944) Chamaecytisus hirsutus subsp. polytrichus (M.Bieb.) Ponert in Feddes Repert. 83: 619 (1973).
- Cytisus demissus Boiss., Fl. Orient. 2: 54 (1872) Cytisus hirsutus var. demissus (Boiss.) Halácsy, Consp. Fl. Graec. 1: 337 (1900) Chamaecytisus polytrichus var. demissus (Boiss.) Kuzmanov in Jordanov, Fl. Narodna Republ. Bulg. 6: 82 (1976). Type. GREECE. "In Olymp. Thessaliae", P. Aucher-Éloy 1111 (holotype G; isotypes BM 000750882, K 000829496, MPU 023084).

**Type.** CRIMEA. "Taur. merid.", Herb. Bieberstein (lectotype LE 01080952, designated by Krytzka et al. (1999: 611)).

**Distribution.** EUROPE: France, Italy, Balkans, Greece, Crimea (Cristofolini 1991); Asia: Russian Western Caucasus.

**Notes on taxonomy and distribution.** *Cytisus polytrichus* sharply differs from *C. hirsutus* in its creeping stems, small leaves and constantly axillar flowers (Cristofolini 1991).

Plants of this species have been known from the Western Caucasus under a wrong name, *C. wulffii* auct. (Kreczetowicz 1940; Grossheim 1952). The latter species is endemic to the Crimea and differs from *C. polytrichus* in appressed (vs. strictly patent) hairs on its leaves and calyces (Sennikov and Tikhomirov 2024a).

**Notes on nomenclature.** Krytzka et al. (1999) designated the only suitable specimen at LE as lectotype, following the unpublished annotation by N.N. Tzvelev.

## **2a.** *Cytisus polytrichus* var. *subglabratus* Val.N.Tikhom. & Sennikov, var. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77336842-1

**Type.** RUSSIA. Krasnodar Region: Krasnaya Poliana, Chugush Mt., Osmanova Poliana, alt. 2140 m, rocky subalpine meadows, 11.07.1982, *E. Mordak 1920* (holotype LE 01070725).

Diagnosis. Leaves and young branches subglabrous.

**Distribution.** ASIA: Russian Western Caucasus. So far, known from the holotype. **Notes on taxonomy and distribution.** Plants of this variety were found within the same distribution area as the type variety, thus indicating infrapopulation variability.

#### Cytisus austriacus group

Table 1

**Taxonomy.** The diagnostic characters of this species group are erect stems, dense capitate inflorescences and long thin silky hairs on calyces and pedicels. The knowledge on this group is highly incomplete, especially regarding the variability of *Cytisus austriacus* L. s.l.

	C. absinthioides	C. austriacus	C. frivaldszkyanus	C. jankae	C. calcareus
stems	tall (30–60 cm), erect, hairs 0.3–0.6 mm long, appressed, sericeous	tall (20–50(70) cm), erect, hairs 1.5–2.5 mm long, appressed	low (10–30 cm), ascending, hairs 1.5–2.0 mm long, subpatent	low (10–20 cm), ascending, hairs (0.7–)1.0–2.0 mm long, laxly appressed	low (10–40 cm), ascending, hairs (0.7–)1.0–2.0 mm long, laxly appressed
leaves	leaflets narrowly lanceolate, acute, hairs 0.3–0.6 mm long, appressed, sericeous	narrowly lanceolate to lanceolate, acute, hairs 1.5–2.5 mm long, appressed	leaflets elliptic-lanceolate to obovate, broadly acute, hairs 0.8–1.5 mm long, subpatent	lanceolate or slightly oblanceolate, acute, hairs (0.5–)0.8–1.5 mm long, appressed	leaflets elliptic-lanceolate to obovate, broadly acute, hairs (0.5–)0.8–1.5 mm long, appressed
pedicels	hairs 0.3–0.6 mm long, appressed	hairs 1–2 mm long, laxly appressed	hairs 1.0–2.0 mm long, subpatent to patent	hairs 1.0–2.0 mm long, laxly appressed	hairs 1.0–2.0 mm long, laxly appressed to subpatent
calyx	7–9 mm long, hairs 0.3– 0.8 mm long, appressed	10–13 mm long, hairs 1–2.5 mm long, laxly appressed to subpatent	10–12 mm long, hairs 1.3–2.5 mm long, subpatent to patent	(8–)10–13 mm long, hairs 1.0–2.2 mm long, laxly appressed	10–13 mm long, hairs 1.5–2.5 mm long, laxly appressed to subpatent
pods	hairs appressed	hairs appressed	hairs patent	hairs appressed	hairs appressed to subpatent

Table 1. Diagnostic characters in the Cytisus austriacus group.

#### 3. Cytisus austriacus L., Sp. Pl., ed. 2, 2: 1042 (1763)

- Chamaecytisus austriacus (L.) Link, Handb. 2: 155 (1831).
- Cytisus supinus var. noeanus Briq., Étud. Cytises Alpes Mar.: 182 (1894) Cytisus austriacus subsp. noeanus (Briq.) Jáv., Magyar Fl. 2: 608 (1924). Type. GREECE. "Rumelia" [Nicopolis], 06.1846, Noe [251] (syntype K 000829490).
- Cytisus litwinowii V.I.Krecz. in Bot. Zhurn. SSSR 25: 256 (1940), syn. nov. Chamaecytisus litwinowii (V.I.Krecz.) Klásk. in Preslia 30: 214 (1958). Type. RUSSIA. Belgorod Region: Korocha Town, "Pushkarnoe forest" [west of Pushkarnoe Village], hills, on calcareous substrate, 05.1893, *I. Schirajewsky* (holotype LE 01080951). Fig. 5.
- E Chamaecytisus pseudojankae Pifkó & Barina in Stud. Bot. Hung. 47(1): 169 (2016), syn. nov. Type. ALBANIA. District of Korçë (Rrethi i Korçës), Thatë Mountains (Mali i Thatë), ca 1.7 km north of village "Zvezdë", on the south-eastern ridge of Mount "Zvezdë" (1,833 m), in rocky grassland, on limestone, 40.74774°N, 20.86148°E, 1477 m elev., 25.05.2007, Z. Barina, D. Pifkó & Cs. Németh 11736 (holotype BP 750418; isotype W 2010-03241).

**Type.** Historical Hungary ("Ungaria"). Herb. Burser XXII: 3, left-hand specimen (lectotype UPS, designated by Cristofolini in Turland and Jarvis (1997: 468)).

**Distribution.** EUROPE: mountainous regions from Austria to western Ukraine and from southern Poland to Greece and European Turkey, with the presence in southern East European uplands; Asia: Turkey, Russian Caucasus (Gibbs 1970; Tzvelev 1987; Cristofolini 1991).

**Notes on taxonomy.** This species is highly variable in respect of the pubescence on its leaves and calyces and is currently recognised in a broad sense, with some infraspecific taxa (Cristofolini 1991). Our current treatment is focused on the typical plants, corresponding to *C. austriacus* s. str.

A short-leaved variant of the species was separated as *C. austriacus* subsp. *microphyllus* "(Boiss.) Boiss." by Cristofolini (1991), probably because of *Baldacci 315* (BM 000750880) which was the basis for the treatment of *C. austriacus* var. *microphyllus* in Baldacci (1899). This collection from Mt. Smolikas in north-western Greece consists of subalpine plants of *C. austriacus* s. str. which



Figure 5. Holotype of Cytisus litwinowii V.I.Krecz.

have regrown after damage and developed smaller leaves, otherwise being in agreement with the type.

**Notes on nomenclature.** *Cytisus litwinowii* V.I.Krecz. was described as a local endemic of the Central Russian Upland, confined to calcareous substrates (Kreczetowicz 1940). This plant was originally distinguished because of its lesser developed pubescence and golden-yellow flowers, which are smaller than in C. blockii V.I.Krecz. (= *C. kerneri* Błocki). Another reason to distinguish this plant as a separate taxon was its confinement to the area of presumably relic pine forests and shrublands of the steppe area of Central European Russia, which reportedly harboured endemic taxa of Tertiary age (Kozo-Polansky 1931). However, this area of endemism has been confuted by other researchers, who considered its age being early postglacial and its relics being taxonomically indistinct (Grosset 1964). Among the presumed endemics of this territory, *Daphne julia* K.-Pol. turned out to be a synonym of *D. cneorum* L. (Grosset 1964) and *Tanacetum alaunicum* K.-Pol. was synonymised with *Chrysanthemum zawadskii* Herbich (Tzvelev 1994), whereas *Cotoneaster alaunicus* Golitsin appeared to be a synonym of *C. integerrimus* Medik. (Sennikov 2011).

Further authors (Heywood and Frodin 1968; Tzvelev 1987) accepted *C. lit-winowii* and distinguished it from *C. austriacus*, which also occurs in Central European Russia, by its leaflets glabrous or very poorly (sparsely) pubescent above (vs. densely appressed-hairy in *C. austriacus*). Following these authorities, *C. litwinowii* was accepted in major compilations (Yakovlev et al. 1996; Govaerts et al. 2021).

We examined the holotype of *C. litwinowii* at LE and realised that the leaflets of this plant, which had grown in the shade, are regularly pubescent above, but the hairs are poorly recognisable due to overpressing. As pubescence of leaflets was the main diagnostic characters for *C. litwinowii* and no other material of the taxon is known, but the holotype, we reduce it to a synonym of *C. austriacus*. The placement of *C. litwinowii* in the synonymy of *C. blockianus* Pawł. (Cristofolini 1991), which was accepted by some databases (Roskov et al. 2006), cannot stand because the latter species does not occur east of the Carpathians (Tzvelev 1987). Besides, the bright flower colour of *C. litwinowii* agrees particularly with the characters of *C. austriacus*, rather than the pale flower colour of *C. blockianus* (Tzvelev 1987).

Pifkó and Barina (2016) described *C. pseudojankae* Pifkó & Barina as a strongly branching plant with undeveloped axillar shoots, small, narrowly lanceolate leaflets and laxly appressed pubescence, which they compared with the *C. austriacus* aggr., but placed in the *C. eriocarpus* aggr. Such plants were considered endemic to a restricted area near Lake Prespa at the borders of Albania, North Macedonia and Greece (Pifkó and Barina 2016; Bergmeier et al. 2020). According to the description and drawing of *C. pseudojankae* in Pifkó and Barina (2016), this taxon is very similar to *C. austriacus* in its strong and upright stems (vs. weak and ascending stems in *C. eriocarpus* s.l.), habit and narrowly lanceolate leaf shape.

The original material of *C. pseudojankae* (Pifkó and Barina 2016) consists of plants superficially looking like having lateral flowers; however, these plants are typical members of the *C. austriacus* group with capitate inflorescences, and the seemingly lateral flowers observed in *C. pseudojankae* are a result of its abundant branching, with the uppermost branches, much abbreviated, going to flower and thereby forming a pseudolateral inflorescence. Their leaves are similar to those of the plants treated as *C. austriacus* subsp. *microphyllus* by Cristofolini (1991).

#### 4. Cytisus jankae Velen. in Abh. Königl. Böhm. Ges. Wiss. 1889: 31 (1890)

- Chamaecytisus jankae (Velen.) Rothm. in Feddes Repert. 53: 144 (1944) Chamaecytisus heuffelii subsp. jankae (Velen.) Niketić in Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Belgrade 14: 83 (2021).
- *Cytisus austriacus* var. *pindicola* Degen in Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital., nov. ser. 6: 152 (1899), "pindicolus", syn. nov. *Cytisus pindicola* (Degen) Halácsy, Consp. Fl. Graec. 1(2): 338 (1901). Described from a few localities in north-western GREECE (syntypes K 000829489, PRC 454944, 454945, WU-Halácsy 0072806).

**Type.** BULGARIA. Razgrad Region: "In colle Golem Jug prope Razgrad", 07.1885, *J. Velenovský* (lectotype PRC 451243, single plant above the label, designated here). Fig. 6.

**Distribution.** EUROPE: Balkan Peninsula (Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, North Macedonia, Serbia) (Diklić 1972; Kuzmanov 1976; Micevski 2001; Assyov and Petrova 2012; Barina et al. 2018; Niketić 2021). Fig. 7.

**Notes on taxonomy.** Cristofolini (1991) placed *C. jankae* next to *C. austriacus*, thus indicating their affinity. Both species share capitate inflorescences, lanceolate leaves and rather appressed pubescence on all green parts, but *C. jankae* differs from *C. austriacus* s.str. by its constantly small size and prostrate habit. Its recent subordination to *C. heuffelii* (Niketić 2021), which differs in its calyx being 7–8 mm long (vs. 10–13 mm long in *C. jankae*), is hardly justified.

According to their original material, *C. pindicola* belongs to the synonymy of *C. jankae* as typified here. The synonymisation of *C. pindicola* with *C. frivaldsz-kyanus* proposed by Barina et al. (2018) is not supported by their diagnostic characters (Table 1).

**Notes on nomenclature.** The original material of *Cytisus jankae* Velen., mounted as a single specimen (PRC 451243), is highly heterogeneous and consists of six fragments of small plants with stems ascending from woody caudices, with capitate inflorescences and narrow leaves, which are referable to three species. In spite of its apparent heterogeneity, this entire specimen has been recently designated as a lectotype of the species name (Niketić 2021).

Two linear-leaved fragments (top centre, bottom left) on this specimen belong to *C. absinthioides* Janka, which is another species of the Balkans. This species is sometimes (Cristofolini 1991; Govaerts et al. 2021) merged with *C. eriocarpus* Boiss. (syn. *C. smyrnaeus* Boiss.), which is characterised by its leaflets being broadly obovate to elliptic rather than narrowly lanceolate and is totally different in its habit and long spreading pubescence. *Cytisus absinthioides* is characterised by typically upright, strongly branched stems, regular presence of abbreviated sterile shoots in the leaf axils, small flowers (with calyces 7–8 mm long), rather short subpatent pubescence on the stems and dense appressed pubescence of silvery appearance on the leaflets.

Two plants on the left and right sides are characterised by decumbent to ascending stems, narrowly lanceolate or oblanceolate leaflets and subpatent



Figure 6. Lectotype of Cytisus jankae Velen. (plant above the label).



Figure 7. Distribution of Cytisus jankae Velen.

pubescence on stems and calyces, with less developed sterile shoots in leaf axils. These plants correspond to *C. pygmaeus* Willd., occurring in the Balkans and Turkey.

The plant mounted above the label is similar to *C. pygmaeus*, but differs from the latter in a densely appressed pubescence, the feature corresponding to the original description of *C. jankae* which reads "foliolis linearibus vel lineari-spathulatis ... calycis adpresse sericei ..." (Velenovský 1890). The small fragment alongside the label probably belongs to the same species. As this plant is in good agreement with the protologue, we designate it as a lectotype of *C. jankae*.

Other low-growing and small-leaved variants presumably belonging to the same group are *C. pseudopygmeus* Davidov and *C. georgievii* Davidov, described from the Pontic part of Bulgaria (Davidoff 1902) and synonymised with *C. jankae* by Kuzmanov (1976). We refrain from any assessment of these species names because we were not able to examine any original material.

*Cytisus pindicola* (Degen) Halácsy agrees with the type of *C. jankae*, but slightly differs from the latter in slightly shorter hairs on stems (0.7–1 mm long vs. 1–2 mm long in *C. jankae*) and leaves (0.5–0.8 mm long vs. 0.8–1.5 mm long in *C. jankae*) and in shorter calyces (8–10 mm long vs. 10–13 mm long in *C. jankae*). *Cytisus pindicola* was previously placed in a subspecies of *C. austriacus* (Cristofolini 1991, as *C. austriacus* subsp. *microphyllus*), but differs from the latter in shorter leaves and a different habit.

The original material of *Cytisus austriacus* var. *pindicola* Degen (Baldacci 1899) consists of four gatherings which were distributed under a single number, as *Baldacci 110*. K.I. Christensen intended to designate a lectotype at W, but the only specimen in that collection is a mixture of four indistinguishable gatherings (Reich et al. 2021). Lectotypification is advisable with Degen's material at BP.

### **5.** *Cytisus calcareus* (Velen.) Sennikov & Val.N.Tikhom., comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77336843-1

 Cytisus pygmaeus var. calcareus Velen., Fl. Bulg. Suppl. 1: 71 (1898) – Chamaecytisus calcareus (Velen.) Kuzmanov in Jordanov, Fl. Narodna Republ. Bulg. 6: 103 (1976).

**Type.** BULGARIA. "Supra Belledihan in calcareis", 05.1893, *J. Velenovský* (lectotype PRC 451952, designated by Kuzmanov (1976: 103)).

**Distribution.** EUROPE: Balkan Peninsula (Bulgaria, Greece, North Macedonia, Serbia) (Kuzmanov 1976; Assyov and Petrova 2012). The occurrences outside Bulgaria are confirmed or reported here (Fig. 8). Pifkó and Barina (2016) removed the report of *Chamaecytisus calcareus* from Albania in favour of their *C. pseudojankae*, which we synonymise with *C. austriacus*.

**Notes on taxonomy.** This miniature plant belongs to the *C. austriacus* group because of its terminal inflorescences, which are rather dense and surrounded by floral leaves. It differs from *C. austriacus* by its short habit, much smaller and shorter, subelliptic (vs. lanceolate) leaves, and from *C. jankae* by the same shape of leaves (although of similar size) and by subpatent (vs. appressed) pubescence of calyces. This species was omitted by Cristofolini (1991) and is currently recognised only in Bulgaria (Kuzmanov 1976; Assyov and Petrova 2012).

**Notes on nomenclature.** Velenovský (1898) considered this taxon to be intermediate between *C. pygmaeus* and *C. austriacus*. The original material represents a mixture of *C. austriacus* (Kovarna, 08.1897, *Škorpil* (PRC)) and a taxon currently recognised as *C. calcareus* (Kuzmanov 1976). Kuzmanov (1976) designated the latter gathering as lectotype, thus fixing the application of the species name.



Figure 8. Distribution of Cytisus calcareus (Velen.) Sennikov & Val.N.Tikhom.

#### 6. Cytisus absinthioides Janka in Oesterr. Bot. Z. 22: 175 (1872)

- Chamaecytisus absinthioides (Janka) Kuzmanov in Taxon 21: 336 (1972) Chamaecytisus heuffelii subsp. absinthioides (Velen.) Niketić in Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Belgrade 14: 82 (2021).
- Cytisus eriocarpus auct.: Cristofolini (1991).
- Chamaecytisus eriocarpus auct.: Pifkó and Barina (2016); Barina et al. (2018).

**Type.** BULGARIA. "In montibus ad radices m. Perimdagh prope Nevrekop Macedoniae orientalis", 21.08.1871, *V. Janka* (lectotype WU 0033170, designated by Pifkó and Barina (2016: 172); isolectotypes BEOU (s. n.), BP 296809, GOET 005095, W-Reichenb 44808, WU-Halácsy).

**Distribution.** EUROPE: Balkan Peninsula (Bulgaria, Greece, Kosovo, North Macedonia) (Diklić 1972; Kuzmanov 1976; Micevski 2001; Assyov and Petrova 2012; Niketić 2021). Fig. 9.

**Notes on taxonomy.** *Cytisus absinthioides* strikingly differs from any other species of the *C. austriacus* group by its habit, resembling some plants of *Artemisia* due to its tall branched stems with regularly developed sterile branches in leaf axils and dense appressed sericeous pubescence on its leaves and calyces. Its calyces and pods are distinctly small (Janka 1872).

Some recent interpretations (Cristofolini 1991) placed *C. absinthioides* to the synonymy of *C. eriocarpus*, which was treated as a broadly defined and variable species. This placement is not justified because *C. eriocarpus* clearly differs in its habit, leaf shape, subpatent pubescence and longer calyces.

Pifkó and Barina (2016) and Barina et al. (2018) reported the presence of *C. eriocarpus* in Albania, but their description matches *C. absinthioides*. The



Figure 9. Distribution of Cytisus absinthioides Janka.

earlier records of *C. eriocarpus* in Greece (Strid 1986) employed the same taxonomic concept and should also belong to the same species (Kuzmanov 1976; Micevski 2001; Assyov and Petrova 2012).

#### 7. Cytisus frivaldszkyanus Degen in Oesterr. Bot. Z. 43: 422 (1893)

- Chamaecytisus frivaldszkyanus (Degen) Kuzmanov in Jordanov, Fl. Narodna Republ. Bulg. 6: 110 (1976); Kuzmanov in Taxon 24: 504 (1975), comb. inval. (Art. 41.1).
- Cytisus microphyllus Boiss., Diagn. Pl. Orient., ser. 2, 2: 5 (1856), non Link (1825), nom. illeg. (Art. 53.1), syn. nov. Cytisus austriacus var. microphyllus Boiss., Fl. Orient. 2: 53 (1872) Cytisus austriacus subsp. microphyllus (Boiss.) Cristof. in Webbia 45(2): 210 (1991). Type. GREECE. "In monte Pelione", P. Aucher-Éloy 1109 (holotype G; isotypes BM 000750890, K 000829488).
- *Cytisus rhodopeus* J.Wagner ex Bornm. in Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 59(5): 465 (1925) *Chamaecytisus absinthioides* subsp. *rhodopeus* (J.Wagner ex Bornm.) Kuzmanov in Taxon 21: 336 (1972), comb. inval. (Art. 41.1) – *Chamaecytisus absinthioides* var. *rhodopeus* (J.Wagner ex Bornm.) Micevski, Fl. Macedon. 1(5): 1140 (2001), comb. inval. (Art. 41.1). Type. BULGARIA. "In graminosis decliv. m. Osogovska Planina", 08.1887, *J. Velenovský* (PRC 456104, lectotype designated here). Fig. 10.
- Cytisus lasiosemius auct.: Cristofolini (1991).
- Chamaecytisus supinus subsp. lasiosemius auct.: Niketić (2021).

**Type.** BULGARIA. "In declivibus dumetosis montis Rhodopes centralis pr. Stanimak (inter Hvojna et Bačkova)", 06.1892, *J. Wagner 39* (syntypes JE, PRC); "In declivibus dumetosis prope Slivno (Balkan)", 07.1893, *J. Wagner* (syntypes JE, PRC); "In dumetosis montis "Čatal Kaje" prope Slivno", 21.07.1893, *J. Wagner 31* (syntype PRC); "Bela Cerkva", *Skorpil* (syntype not traced).

**Distribution.** EUROPE: Balkan Peninsula (Bulgaria, Greece, North Macedonia, Serbia) (Kuzmanov 1976; Micevski 2001; Assyov and Petrova 2012; Barina et al. 2018; Niketić 2021) (Fig. 11). This species was reported from Albania (Barina et al. 2018), but the background of this report has not been examined by us.

**Notes on taxonomy.** This species with subpatent to patent pubescence was accepted by Cristofolini (1991), but under a wrong name, *C. lasiosemius*, probably because of the unavailability of the type collection of the latter species name.

**Notes on nomenclature.** Degen (1893) described *Cytisus frivaldszkyanus* from a few localities in present-day Bulgaria, citing four syntype gatherings. The examined material is fairly homogeneous, and the application of the species name is unambiguous. So far, we refrain from lectotypification because the main collection of Degen at BP has not been examined by us.

*Cytisus rhodopeus* was first mentioned in the synonymy of *C. eriocarpus* by Degen (1893) and validly published by Bornmüller (1925) without any descriptive matter, but with a reference to the description of *C. absinthioides* in Velenovský (1891). Five syntypes from Bulgaria were cited in the original description (Velenovský 1891), which deviated much from the description of the true *C. absinthioides* provided by Janka (1872) by a longer calyx (13–15 mm long vs. 7–8 mm long in *C. absinthioides*) with patent (vs. appressed) hairs.



Figure 10. Lectotype of Cytisus rhodopeus J.Wagner ex Bornm.

Through the kindness of P. Mráz, we traced a specimen in the collection of J. Velenovský at PRC, which exactly corresponds to the protologue by its diagnostic characters and taxonomic references on its label (to *C. absinthioides* Janka and *"C. eriocarpus* Boiss. var.", as Velenovský (1891) also noted a relationship



Figure 11. Distribution of Cytisus frivaldszkyanus Degen.

with the latter species). This specimen fully reflects the taxonomic concept of Velenovský (1891) and is designated as a lectotype of *C. rhodopeus* here.

Cristofolini (1991) accepted *C. austriacus* subsp. *microphyllus* "(Boiss.) Boiss." as the correct name for a small-leaved segregate of *C. austriacus*, citing *C. pindicola* (Degen) Halácsy in its synonymy. The type collection of *C. microphyllus* Boiss. is quite dissimilar from *C. pindicola* and belongs to *C. frivaldsz-kyanus* because of its strong suberect stems, partly obovate (vs. lanceolate) leaflets and pods with nearly patent (vs. appressed) hairs.

#### Cytisus pygmaeus group

Table 2

**Taxonomy.** The diagnostic characters of this species group are mostly prostrate habit and pseudolateral inflorescences. This group is very poorly known and may be an artificial assemblage of superficially similar species. Their distributions need to be verified due to common confusions and misidentifications.

	C. pygmaeus	C. eriocarpus	C. smyrnaeus
stems	low (10–20 cm), much branching, hairs 0.3–0.6 (–1.5) mm long, appressed	low (10–20 cm), much branching, hairs 2 mm long, patent	low (10–20 cm), much branching, hairs 0.5–1.0 mm long, appressed to subpatent
leaves	leaflets lanceolate, acute, hairs 0.4–1.0 mm long, appressed	leaflets broadly elliptic to obovate, subrotund, hairs 1.3–1.5 mm long, subpatent	leaflets broadly elliptic to obovate, subrotund, hairs 0.9–1.2 mm long, appressed, sericeous
pedicels	hairs 0.5–0.7 mm long, subpatent	hairs 2–2.5 mm long, patent	hairs 0.5–0.7 mm long, subpatent
calyx	11–14 mm long, hairs 0.5–1.2 mm long, subpatent	10–12 mm long, hairs 2.0–2.5 mm long, subpatent	11–14 mm long, hairs 0.7–1.2 mm long, patent
pods	hairs subappressed	hairs subpatent	hairs subappressed

Table 2. Diagnostic characters in the Cytisus pygmaeus group.

#### 8. Cytisus pygmaeus Willd., Sp. Pl., ed. 4, 3(2): 1127 (1802)

- Chamaecytisus pygmaeus (Willd.) Rothm. in Feddes Repert. 53: 144 (1944)
  Chamaecytisus austriacus subsp. pygmaeus (Willd.) Ponert in Feddes Repert. 83: 619 (1973).
- *Cytisus tmoleus* Boiss., Diagn. Pl. Orient., ser. 1, 2: 11. 1843, syn. nov. *Cytisus eriocarpus* subsp. *tmoleus* (Boiss.) Cristof. in Webbia 45(2): 207 (1991) *Chamaecytisus tmoleus* (Boiss.) Rothm. in Feddes Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 53: 144 (1944). Type. TURKEY. "Asia Minor", P. Aucher-Éloy 1101 (syntypes K 000829770, P 02952916, 02952919).
- *Cytisus chrysotrichus* Boiss., Diagn. Pl. Orient., ser. 1, 2: 12 (1843). Type. TURKEY. Bursa Province: "In dumosis Olympi Bithyniae" [= Uludağ Mt.], 06.1842, *E. Boissier* (syntypes K 000829766, 000829767, LE 01207296–01207299, NY 1843152).
- = Cytisus thirkeanus K.Koch in Linnaea 19(1): 61 (1846). Type. TURKEY. Trabzon Province: "Asia minor. Litus australis Pontus Euxini", [1843], Thirke (lectotype LE 00013761, designated here; isolectotypes LE 00013760, G-Boiss 00365031). Fig. 12.

**Type.** TURKEY. [Galatia], *D. Sestini* (lectotype B-Willd 13632-010, designated by Pifkó and Barina (2016: 172); isolectotype HAL 0100154).

**Distribution.** European and Asiatic Turkey, Bulgaria, Greece (Kuzmanov 1976; Cristofolini 1991; Assyov and Petrova 2012), Romania (Fig. 13). Other European records, from North Macedonia and Serbia (Diklić 1972; Micevski 2001), seem to belong mostly to *C. jankae* or *C. calcareus*. A record of *C. jankae* from Romania (Grințescu 1957) is treated as belonging to *C. pygmaeus* here.

**Notes on taxonomy.** The leaves of this species may vary slightly from oblong-lanceolate to oblanceolate. Plants with the leaves looking more lanceolate were described as *C. pygmaeus* and *C. chrysotrichus*, whereas plants with rather oblanceolate leaves were named *C. tmoleus* and *C. thirkeanus*. This difference, albeit very subtle, led Cristofolini (1991) to classify *C. pygmaeus* as a subspecies of *C. austriacus*, whereas he placed the plants described as *C. tmoleus* to *C. eriocarpus*. Having examined some material from Asiatic Turkey, we observed both types of leaves in the same plants; this makes the distinction practically impossible.

The pubescence on calyces of *C. pygmaeus* is variable, ranging from semi-patent to subappressed. The type collection of *C. pygmaeus* has clearly semi-patent hairs.

Niketić (2021) provisionally accepted the occurrence of *C. pygmaeus* in Serbia, although the relevant materials have not been examined. Micevski (2001) listed it among doubtful records in North Macedonia. The collections identified as *C. pygmaeus* which we examined from the Balkans belong to *C. jankae*, and we assume that the distribution of *C. pygmaeus* in Europe may be much more limited than it is currently believed.

**Notes on nomenclature.** Willdenow (1802) described the species without mentioning floral characters. His indication of "Galatia" in the protologue corresponds to the fruiting specimen of D. Sestini in Willdenow's personal collection. A duplicate of this collection was separated to HAL, which allowed Pifkó and Barina (2016) to designate a lectotype at B.

The synonymy above was established already by Boissier (1872), except for the placement of *C. tmoleus*, which he considered to differ in a denser, sericeous



Figure 12. Lectotype of Cytisus thirkeanus K.Koch.



Figure 13. Distribution of Cytisus pygmaeus Willd.

indumentum of the plant. According to our observations, the density of indumentum in *C. pygmaeus* may look variable, depending on ecological conditions, and the plants described as *C. tmoleus* can be regarded as an extreme variant.

#### 9. Cytisus eriocarpus Boiss., Diagn. Pl. Orient., ser. 1, 2: 11 (1843)

 - Cytisus supinus subsp. eriocarpus (Boiss.) Stoj. & Stef., Fl. Bulg. 2: 624 (1925) – Chamaecytisus eriocarpus (Boiss.) Rothm. in Feddes Repert. 53: 144 (1944).

**Type.** TURKEY. İzmir Province: "Tmolus ad Bozdagh", 06.1842, *E. Boissier* (K 000829776, lectotype designated by Gibbs (1970: 17); isolectotypes BM 000630427, E 00296045, GOET 005097, K 000829774, KW, LE 01207308, 01207311, 01207312, MEL 2347576, NY 01843146, P 02952858).

**Distribution.** Asiatic Turkey. European records (Cristofolini 1991; Barina et al. 2018) may be erroneous due to the synonymisation or inclusion of *C. absinthioides* and *C. frivaldszkyanus*.

**Notes on taxonomy.** This species is very similar to *C. frivaldszkyanus* due to its abundant patent pubescence. However, it differs from the latter in its broadly elliptic to obovate, nearly rotund leaflets, which are apically subrotund (vs. elliptic-lanceolate to obovate, broadly acute in *C. frivaldszkyanus*). *Cytisus eriocarpus* is similar to *C. hirsutus*, from which it differs in its pubescence (abundant short hairs mixed with long patent hairs vs. only long patent hairs in *C. hirsutus*) and smaller subrotund leaflets, as already noted in the protologue (Boissier 1843).

**Notes on nomenclature.** Gibbs (1970) inadvertently designated a specimen at K as the lectotype of *C. eriocarpus.* 

#### 10. Cytisus smyrnaeus Boiss., Diagn. Pl. Orient., ser. 1, 2: 10 (1843)

**Type.** TURKEY. "Montes Smyrnae", 06.1842, *E. Boissier* (syntypes BP 208133, E 00296047, FR 003144, GOET 005096, JE 00014575, 00014576, 00014577, K 000829774, KW, MEL 2347575, P 02952937, 02952942, 02952944, 02952950, 02952951, 02952952, JE 00014575, 00014576, 00014577, W 9918, 0031010). **Distribution.** Asiatic Turkey.

**Notes on taxonomy.** *Cytisus smyrnaeus* is a poorly known species, probably endemic to Asiatic Turkey. It is most closely similar to *C. eriocarpus*, from which it differs by the lack of patent hairs on its stems and pedicels (Pifkó and Barina 2016).

Gibbs (1970) and Cristofolini (1991) added *C. smyrnaeus* to the synonymy of *C. eriocarpus*, which was treated broadly and included plants with different kinds of pubescence.

#### Acknowledgements

Our cordial thanks go to Mats Hjertson (Uppsala) who kindly searched for and provided photographs of the original material of *Cytisus ciliatus* at UPS, to Germinal Rouhan, Cécile Aupic and Véronique Andro-Durand (Paris) for a quality image from the Herbarium Lamarck at P, to Denis Melnikov (Saint-Petersburg) for digital images from LE, and to Patrik Mráz (Prague) for searching for the original material of Velenovský and its digital copies from PRC. VNT thanks Goran Anačkov (Novi Sad) for his kind help with the herbarium material from Serbia and neighbouring countries. Patrik Mráz and Jiří Danihelka (Brno) kindly provided copies of rare literature. Sampsa Lommi (Helsinki) produced distribution maps.

#### **Additional information**

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **Ethical statement**

No ethical statement was reported.

#### Funding

Open access was funded by the University of Helsinki.

#### Author contributions

ANS and VNT developed the taxonomic concept, revised the nomenclature, collected and treated the material. ANS wrote the manuscript with the input from VNT. Both authors agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

#### Author ORCIDs

Alexander N. Sennikov III https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6664-7657 Valery N. Tikhomirov III https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1822-0557

#### **Data availability**

The dataset of distributional records collected for the present work was published through the Internet Archive (available online: https://archive.org/details/cytisus-balkans).

#### References

- Ardenghi NMG (2015) Lectotypification of *Leonurus quinquelobatus* (Lamiaceae). Taxon 64(1): 160–162. https://doi.org/10.12705/641.27
- Assyov B, Petrova A [Eds] (2012) Conspectus of the Bulgarian Vascular Flora. Distribution Maps and Floristic Elements (ed. 4). Bulgarian Biodiversity Foundation, Sofija, 490 pp.
- Baldacci A (1899) Rivista della collezione botanica fatta nel 1896 in Albania (cont.). Nuovo Giornale Botanico Italiano, nov. ser. 6(2): 149–187.
- Barina Z, Somogyi G, Pifkó D, Rakaj M (2018) Checklist of vascular plants of Albania. Phytotaxa 378(1): 1–339. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.378.1.1
- Bauhin C (1671) Pinax Theatri Botanici. Impensis Joannis Regis, Basel, 518 pp.
- Bauhin J, Cherler JH (1650) Historia plantarum universalis (Vol. 1(2)). [Typographia Caldoriana,] Ebrodunum [Yverdon], 241–466. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.146639
- Bergmeier E, Sakellarakis F-N, Strid A, Swinkels C (2020) New additions to the flora of Prespa, Greece. Phytologia Balcanica 26(1): 103–130.
- Bernard C (1977) *Chamaecytisus glaber* (L.f.) Rothm. In: Auquier P (Ed.) Société pour l'Echange des plantes vasculaires de l'Europe occidentale et du bassin méditerranéen (Vol. 17): No. 8385. [Exsiccatae]
- Boissier E (1843) Diagnoses Plantarum Orientalium Novarum (ser. 1, Vol. 2). F. Ramboz, Geneva, 115 pp.
- Boissier E (1872) Flora Orientalis (Vol. 2). H. Georg, Geneva & Basel, 1159 pp.
- Bornmüller J (1925) Beiträge zur Flora Mazedoniens. Sammlungen in den Kriegsjahren 1916–1918. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie 59: 294–504.
- Candolle AP (1825) Prodromus Sytematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis (Vol. 2). Treuttel & Würtz, Paris, 644 pp.
- Clusius C (1583) Rariorum Aliquot Stirpium per Pannoniam, Austriam, & Vicinas Quasdam Provincias Observatarum Historia, Quatuor Libris Expressa. C. Plantin, Antwerpen, 766 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.845

Clusius C (1601) Rariorum Plantarum Historia. C. Plantin, Antwerpen, 364 pp. [+348 pp.]

- Cristofolini G (1991) Taxonomic revision of *Cytisus* Desf. sect. *Tubocytisus* DC. (Fabaceae). Webbia 45(2): 187–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/00837792.1991.10670496
- Cristofolini G, Conte L (2002) Phylogenetic patterns and endemism genesis in *Cytisus* Desf. (Leguminosae-Cytiseae) and related genera. Israel Journal of Plant Sciences 50(1): S37–S50. https://doi.org/10.1560/THU1-K19D-J9GD-7TKH
- Cristofolini G, Jarvis CE (1991) On the status of *Cytisus hirsutus* and *C. supinus* (Fabaceae). Taxon 49(3): 495–498. https://doi.org/10.2307/1223234
- Cristofolini G, Troía A (2006) A reassessment of the sections of the genus *Cytisus* Desf. (Cytiseae, Leguminosae). Taxon 55(3): 733–746. https://doi.org/10.2307/25065647
- Cristofolini G, Troía A (2017) *Cytisus* Desf. In: Pignatti S (Ed.) Flora D'Italia (Vol. 2). Edagricole, Milano, 435–441.
- Cubas P, Pardo C, Tahiri H (2002) Molecular approach to the phylogeny and systematics of *Cytisus* (Leguminosae) and related genera based on nucleotide sequences of nrDNA (ITS region) and cpDNA (*trnL-trnF* intergenic spacer). Plant Systematics and Evolution 233(3): 223–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-002-0194-0
- Czerepanov SK (1995) Vascular Plants of Russia and Adjacent States (the former USSR). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge & New York & Melbourne, 516 pp.
- Davidoff B (1902) Beiträge zur Flora von Bulgarien. Oesterreichische Botanische Zeitschrift 52: 492–495. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01795051

Degen A (1893) Bemerkungen über einige orientalische Pflanzen. Oesterreichische Botanische Zeitschrift 43(12): 422–424. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01797788

- Diklić N (1972) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Josifović M (Ed.) Flora of Serbia (Vol. 4). Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Beograd, 497–515.
- Edmondson JR, Lack HW (1977) The Turkish and Caucasian collections of C.Koch, I: Turkey. Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh 35: 321–344.
- Fedoronchuk NM (2019) A synopsis of the family Fabaceae in the flora of Ukraine. IV. Subfamily Faboideae (tribes Cicereae, Trifolieae, Lupulineae, Crotularieae, Genisteae). Ukrains'kyi Botanichnyi Zhurnal 76(4): 281–300. https://doi.org/10.15407/ ukrbotj76.04.281 [In Ukrainian]
- Freiberg M, Winter M, Gentile A, Zizka A, Muellner-Riehl AN, Weigelt A, Wirth C (2020) LCVP, The Leipzig catalogue of vascular plants, a new taxonomic reference list for all known vascular plants. Scientific Data 7(1): e416. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00702-z
- Gibbs PE (1970) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Davis PH (Ed.) Flora of Turkey (Vol. 3). Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 16–21.
- Gilibert JE (1782) Flora Lithvanica inchoata, collectio 4–5. Typis S.R.M. [Sacrae Regiae Majestatis], Vilna, 294 pp.
- Gilibert JE (1785) Flora Lithuanica inchoata, seu Enumeratio plantarum quas circa Grodnam collegit & determinavit Joannes-Emmanuel Gilibert. In: Gilibert JE (Ed.) Systema Plantarum Europae (Vol. 1). Piestre & Delamollière, Colonia Allobrogum [Cologne], 47 pp. [+ 1–86.]
- Gilibert JE (1793) Flora Lithuanica inchoata seu Enumeratio plantarum quas circa Grodnam collegit et determinavit Joannes Emmanuel Gilibert. In: Usteri P (Ed.) Delectus Opusculorum Botanicorum (Vol. 2). Bibliopolius academicus, Argentoratum [Strasbourg], 255–430.
- Gombocz E [Ed.] (1945) *Diaria itinerum* Pauli Kitaibelii (Vols 1–2). Verlag des Ungarischen naturwissenschaftlichen Museum, Budapest, 1083 pp.
- Govaerts R, Nic Lughadha E, Black N, Turner R, Paton A (2021) The World Checklist of Vascular Plants, a continuously updated resource for exploring global plant diversity. Scientific Data 8(1): e215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00997-6
- Grințescu I (1957) *Cytisus* L. In: Săvulescu T (Ed.) Flora Reipublicae Popularis Romanicae (Vol. 5). Academia Reipublicae Popularis Romanicae, București, 78–103.
- Grosset HE (1964) On the history of flora and vegetation. 1. Taxonomic position, ecology and genesis of the distribution area of *Daphne julia* K.-Pol. (= *D. cneorum* L.). Bulletin of Moscow Society of Naturalists, new ser. 69(5): 86–102. [In Russian]
- Grossheim AA (1952) Flora of the Caucasus (Vol. 5). Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 453 pp. [In Russian]
- Heywood VH, Frodin DG (1968) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA, with assistance of Ball PW, Chater AO, Ferguson IK (Eds) Flora Europaea (Vol. 2). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 90–93.
- Holub J, Bertová L (1988) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Bertová L (Ed.) Flóra Slovenska (Vol. IV/4). Veda, Bratislava, 32–60.
- Janka V (1872) Plantarum novarum turcicarum breviarium. Oesterreichische Botanische Zeitschrift 22: 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01644772
- Jávorka S (1957) Kitaibel Pál. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 215 pp.
- Koch K (1846) Beiträge zur Flora des nördlichen Küstenlandes von Kleinasien. Linnaea 19: 1–67.

- Kováts D (1992) Waldstein and Kitaibel types in the Hungarian Natural History Museum in Budapest. Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 84: 33–53.
- Kozo-Polansky BM (1931) Land of living fossils: the history of mountain pine forests on steppe lowlands of the Central Chernozem Region. Uchpedgiz, Moscow, 184 pp. [In Russian]
- Kreczetowicz VI (1940) *Cytisus* in Eastern Europe. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR 25(3): 252–264. [In Russian]
- Krytzka LI, Mosyakin SL, Novosad VV, Fedoronchuk MM, Tsarenko OM, Shevera MV (1999) Typification of species of vascular plants described from Ukraine: Fabaceae Lindl. Ukrayins'kyi Botaničnyi Zhurnal 56: 606–616. [In Ukrainian]
- Kuzmanov B (1976) Chamaecytisus Link. In: Jordanov D (Ed.) Flora na Narodna Republika Balgaria (Vol. 6). Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 74–119. [In Bulgarian]
  Lack HW (1978) Das Herbar C.Koch. Willdenowia 8: 431–438.
- Lanzoni F (1930) Martino Vahl e "Salvia fulgens" Cav. in Italia. Plant Biosystems 37: 438-442. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263503009440380
- Linnaeus C (1753) Species plantarum. L. Salvius, Stockholm, 1200 pp.
- Linnaeus filius C (1782) Supplementum Plantarum Systematis Vegetabilium Editionis Decimae Tertiae, Generum Plantarum Editionis Sextae, et Specierum Plantarum Editionis Secunda. Orphanotropheum [Buchhandlung des Waisenhauses], Braunschweig, 467 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.555
- Lőkös L [Ed.] (2001) Diaria itinerum Pauli Kitaibelii (Vol. 3). Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, 460 pp.
- Micevski K (2001) Flora of the Republic of Macedonia (Vol. 1(5)). Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1121–1430. [In Macedonian]
- Niketić M (2021) Chamaecytisus heuffelii subsp. jankae (Velen.) Niketić, comb. & stat. nov. In: Niketić M, Tomović G, Bokić B, Buzurović U, Duraki Š, Đorđević V, Đurović S, Krivošej Z, Lazarević P, Perić R, Prodanović D, Radak B, Rat M, Ranimirović M, Stevanović V (Eds) Material on the annotated checklist of vascular flora of Serbia. Nomenclatural, taxonomic and floristic notes, III. Bulletin of the Natural History Museum in Belgrade 14: 83–84. https://doi.org/10.5937/bnhmb2114077N
- Pardo C, Cubas P, Tahiri H (2004) Molecular phylogeny and systematics of *Genista* (Leguminosae) and related genera based on nuclear sequences of nrDNA (ITS region) and cpDNA (trnL-trnF intergenic spacer). Plant Systematics and Evolution 244(1–2): 93–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-003-0091-1
- Pifkó D (2005) Taxonomic revision and typification of *Cytisus* (Leguminosae) in the Herbarium Carpato-Pannonicum in Budapest (BP). Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 97: 21–32.
- Pifkó D (2009) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Király G (Ed.) Új magyar füvészkönyv: Határozókulcsok. Aggteleki Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Jósvafő, 239–241.
- Pifkó D, Barina Z (2016) Two new Chamaecytisus species (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae) from Albania, with an overview on the Ch. ratisbonensis and Ch. eriocarpus species groups. Studia Botanica Hungarica 47: 163–178. https://doi.org/10.17110/ StudBot.2016.47.1.163
- Portenier NN, Solodko AS (2002) Additions to the flora of Russian Western Transcaucasia. Botanicheskii Zhurnal 87(6): 123–130. [In Russian]
- Reich D, Gutermann W, Bardy K, Reiner H, Raus T, Sonnleitner M, Tan K, Lachmayer M (2021) The type specimens in Eugen von Halácsy's Herbarium Graecum. Phytotaxa 493(1): 1–156. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.493.1.1

Roskov YR, Bisby FA, Zarucchi JL, Schrire BD, White RJ [Eds] (2006) ILDIS World Database of Legumes: draft checklist, version 10. ILDIS, Reading, UK. CD-ROM media.

- Sennikov AN (2011) Atlas Florae Europaeae notes 18. Synonymy and distribution of some native and alien species of *Cotoneaster* (Rosaceae) in Eastern Europe and the Caucasus. Annales Botanici Fennici 48(4): 325–336. https://doi.org/10.5735/085.048.0404
- Sennikov AN, Tikhomirov VN (2024a) Atlas Florae Europaeae notes, 33. Taxonomic synopsis of East European species of the *Cytisus ratisbonensis* group (Fabaceae). PhytoKeys 238: 157–197. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.238.118031
- Sennikov AN, Tikhomirov VN (2024b) Atlas Florae Europaeae notes, 34. Distributions and conservation profiles of East European species of the *Cytisus ratisbonensis* group (Fabaceae). Biodiversity Data Journal 12: e118034. https://doi.org/10.3897/ BDJ.12.e118034
- Sennikov AN, Tikhomirov VN, Birykova OV, Mininzon IL (2021) Personalities of Russian amateur botany, 3. Ivan Markelovitsch Schvetzov (1852–1924) and Theodor Stepanovitsch Nenukow (1883–1934), prominent experts in the flora of Nizhni Novgorod Region, and the taxonomic identity of plant species described by Nenukow. Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica 97: 48–63.
- Shiyan NN, Zavialova LV, Optasyuk OM (2013) The Herbarium of Jean Emmanuel Gilibert. Alterpress, Kiev, 492 pp. [In Russian]
- Skalická A (1986) *Chamaecytisus triflorus* (Lam.) Skalická in der Tschechoslowakei. Preslia 58: 21–27.
- Strid A (1986) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Strid A (Ed.) Mountain Flora of Greece (Vol. 1). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 448–451.
- Talavera S, Salgueiro FJ (1999) Sobre el tratamiento de la tribu Cytiseae Bercht. & J.Presl (Papilioideae, Leguminosae) en "Flora Iberica". Anales del Jardin Botanico de Madrid 57(1): 200–218.
- Tchihatcheff P (1860) Asie Mineure Description Physique, Statistique et Archéologique, pt. 3 (Botanique) (Vol. 1). Gide, Paris, 484 pp.
- Tikhomirov VN, Sennikov AN (2023) Distribution of *Cytisus* sect. *Tubocytisus* in the Balkans. Occurrence dataset. https://archive.org/details/cytisus-balkans [accessed 29.12.2023]
- Turland NJ, Jarvis CE [Eds] (1997) Typification of Linnaean specific and varietal names in the Leguminosae (Fabaceae). Taxon 46(3): 457–485. https://doi.org/10.2307/1224388
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Kusber W-H, Li D-Z, Marhold K, May TW, McNeill J, Monro AM, Prado J, Price MJ, Smith GF [Eds] (2018) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Regnum Vegetabile 159: [I–XXXVIII +] 1–254. https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018
- Tzvelev NN (1987) *Chamaecytisus* Link. In: Fedorov AA (Ed.) Flora of Eastern Europe (Vol. 6). Science Publishers, Leningrad, 216–225. [In Russian]
- Tzvelev NN (1994) *Dendranthema* (DC.) Des Moul. In: Tzvelev NN (Ed.) Flora of Eastern Europe (Vol. 7). Science Publishers, Saint-Petersburg, 148–150. [In Russian]
- Ulbrich E (1917) Das Herbarium Karl Koch. Notizblatt des Königl. Botanischen Gartens und Museums zu Berlin 7(62): 420–434. https://doi.org/10.2307/3994463
- Velenovský J (1890) Plantae novae bulgaricae. Sitzungsberichte der Königl. Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Classe 1889: 28–39.

- Velenovský J (1891) Flora Bulgarica. Descriptio et Emendatio Systematica Plantarum Vascularium in Principatu Bulgariae Sponte Nascentium. Fr. Řivnáč, Praha, 676 pp.
- Velenovský J (1898) Flora Bulgarica. Descriptio et Emendatio Systematica Plantarum Vascularium in Principatu Bulgariae Sponte Nascentium, Supplementum 1. Fr. Řivnáč, Praha, 404 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.9876
- Wahlenberg G (1814) Flora Carpatorum Principalium. Vandenhöck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 408 pp.
- Waldstein F, Kitaibel P (1812) Descriptiones et icones plantarum rariorum Hungariae (Vol. 3(12)). Typis Matthiae Andreae Schmidt, Vienna, 221–310. [pl. 261–270.]
- Willdenow CL (1802) Species Plantarum (4th ed., Vol. 3(2)). G.C. Nauk, Berlin, 851–1474. Yakovlev GP, Sytin AK, Roskov YR (1996) Legumes of Northern Eurasia: A checklist. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 734 pp.