RESEARCH ARTICLE



Sinosasa gracilis (Poaceae, Bambusoideae), a new combination supported by morphological and phylogenetic evidence

Xing Li^{1,2,3}, Jing-Bo Ni^{1,3}, Zhuo-Yu Cai^{1,2,3}, Yi-Hua Tong^{1,3}, Nian-He Xia^{1,3}

I Key Laboratory of Plant Resources Conservation and Sustainable Utilization & Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Botany, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, CN-510650, Guangzhou, China 2 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, CN-100049, Beijing, China 3 South China National Botanical Garden, CN-510650, Guangzhou, China

Corresponding authors: Yi-Hua Tong (yh-tong@scbg.ac.cn); Nian-He Xia (nhxia@scbg.ac.cn)

Academic editor: Weilim Goh | Received 29 January 2023 | Accepted 7 April 2023 | Published 9 May 2023

Citation: Li X, Ni J-B, Cai Z-Y, Tong Y-H, Xia N-H (2023) *Sinosasa gracilis* (Poaceae, Bambusoideae), a new combination supported by morphological and phylogenetic evidence. PhytoKeys 226: 53–63. https://doi.org/10.3897/ phytokeys.226.101164

Abstract

The results of phylogenetic analysis, based on the whole chloroplast genome and morphological study support the transfer of a long ignored bamboo species, *Sasa gracilis*, to the recently established genus, *Sinosasa*, in this study. Morphologically, this species differs from all the other known *Sinosasa* species by having very short (2–3 mm) foliage leaf inner ligules, which is unusual in this genus. A revised description of its morphology and colour photos are also provided.

Keywords

bamboo, phylogeny, Sinosasa, taxonomy

Introduction

Sinosasa L.C.Chia ex N.H.Xia, Q.M.Qin & Y.H.Tong was recently segregated from *Sasa* Makino and Shibata (1901) to accommodate some species previously placed in *Sasa* subg. *Sasa* from China, based on morphological and phylogenetic evidence (Qin et al. 2021). This genus differs from *Sasa* in having raceme-like (vs. panicle-like) synflorescences, two to three (vs. four to ten) florets per spikelet with a rudimentary terminal floret, three (vs. six) stamens and two (vs. three) stigmas per floret, wavy

(vs. usually flat) foliage leaf blades when dry and relatively long (> 1 cm) (vs. short) foliage leaf inner ligules (Qin et al. 2021). Up to now, *Sinosasa* contains seven species endemic to subtropical areas of China and usually found growing along the river valley or in moist areas under evergreen broad-leaved forests at elevations of 700–1200 m (Qin et al. 2021).

Sasa gracilis B.M.Yang (1990) was described based on the only collection B. M. Yang 06774 from Shangmuyuan, Jiangyong County, Hunan Province, China. After its publication, it is only recognised in 'Bamboos of Hunan' (Yang 1993), edited by the author of this name, 'Iconographia Bambusoidearum Sinicarum' (Yi et al. 2008) and its English version 'Illustrated Flora of Bambusoideae in China' (Shi et al. 2022). However, because of the narrow circulation of the publication Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universits Normalis Hunanensis (later the name was changed to Journal of Natural Science of Hunan Normal University) at that time in China (Deng and Xia 2014), this species was ignored by the widely distributed monographs, such as 'Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae', 'Flora of China', 'World Checklist of Bamboos and Rattans' (Hu 1996; Wang and Stapleton 2006; Vorontsova et al. 2016), the well-known database GrassBase-The Online World Grass Flora (Clayton et al. 2016) and some important websites like http://www.ipni.org, http://www.tropicos.org and http://www.theplantlist.org. In the protologue, this species was described to possess a suite of vegetative characters, such as solitary branches at each branching node, strongly raised supranodal ridges and wavy foliage leaf blades when dry, which fit well with the circumscription of Sinosasa. However, this species has very short foliage leaf inner ligules that are only 2-3 mm long, while all hitherto known Sinosasa species typically have more than 1 cm long inner ligules. Therefore, the taxonomic position of Sasa gracilis needs a further study.

Materials and methods

The specimens of *Sasa gracilis* were collected from its type locality during a field trip in September 2022. Fresh foliage leaves were deposited in silica gel for DNA extraction. Type specimens of *Sasa gracilis* deposited in the Herbarium of Hunan Normal University (HNNU) were examined. Observations and measurements were taken using a magnifier and a ruler with a scale of 0.5 mm. Some minor characters like the indumentum were observed with a stereomicroscope (Mshot MZ101). The morphological terms follow McClure (1966) and Beentje (2016). Herbarium acronyms follow Thiers (2022, continuously updated).

To study the phylogenetic position of *Sasa gracilis* within the tribe Arundinarieae, the whole chloroplast genomes were used for building the phylogenetic tree. A total of 24 representatives belonging to all the five subtribes of the tribe Arundinarieae (Zhang et al. 2020a) were sampled and *Bambusa multiplex* (Lour.) Raeusch. ex Schult. f. from the tribe Bambuseae was used as outgroup. All the sampled taxa, as well as their voucher information and GenBank accession numbers, are listed in Table 1.

Taxon	Voucher information	Accession number
Ingroup		
Acidosasa glauca B.M.Yang	CZY56 (IBSC)	OP850353
Ampelocalamus actinotrichus (Merr. & Chun) S.L.Chen, T.H.Wen & G.Y.Sheng	MPF10003 (KUN)	MF066245
Chimonobambusa tumidissinoda Ohrnb.	MPF10083 (KUN)	MF066244
Fargesia edulis Hsueh f. & T.P.Yi	D418 (SANU)	MH988735
Gaoligongshania megalothyrsa (HandMazz.) D.Z.Li, Hsueh & N.H.Xia	MPF10056 (KUN)	JX513419
Gelidocalamus stellatus T H.Wen	BH102 (IBSC)	OP850347
Hsuehochloa calcareus (C.D.Chu & C.S.Chao) D.Z.Li & Y.X.Zhang	MPF10050 (KUN)	KJ496369
Indocalamus longiauritus HandMazz.	MPF10168 (KUN)	HQ337795
Indocalamus sinicus (Hance) Nakai	ZMY037 (KUN)	MF066250
Indosasa crassiflora McClure	BH58 (IBSC)	OK558536
Oligostachyum sulcatum Z.P.Wang & G.H.Ye	Not provided by the author	MW190089
Phyllostachys edulis (Carriere) J.Houzeau	MPF10163 (KUN)	HQ337796
Pleioblastus maculatus (McClure) C.D.Chu & C.S.Chao	MPF10161 (KUN)	JX513424
Pseudosasa cantorii (Munro) Keng f.	MPF10006 (KUN)	MF066255
Pseudosasa japonica (Siebold & Zucc. ex Steud.) Makino ex Nakai	Pjc-1 (ZJFC)	KT428377
Ravenochloa wilsonii (Rendle) D.Z.Li & Y.X.Zhang	MPF10146 (KUN)	JX513421
Sasa veitchii Rehder	LC1325 (ISC)	KU569975
Sasa gracilis B.M.Yang	LX153 (IBSC)	*OP973764
Shibataea chiangshanensis T.H.Wen	ZLN-2011080 (KUN)	MF066257
Sinosasa fanjingshanensis N.H.Xia, Q.M.Qin & J.B.Ni	BH124 (IBSC)	OP850348
Sinosasa longiligulata (McClure) N.H.Xia, Q.M.Qin & J.B.Ni	CZY163 (IBSC)	OP850351
Sinosasa sp.	CZY173 (IBSC)	OP850352
Sinobambusa tootsik (Makino) Makino ex Nakai	NH031 (IBSC)	OP850357
Yushania niitakayamensis (Hayata) Keng f.	Not provided by the author MN310560	
Outgroup		
Bambusa multiplex (Lour.) Raeuschel ex Schult. & Schult. f.	Not provided by the author	KJ722536

Table 1. List of 25 bamboo taxa sampled in the present study with the related voucher and GenBank accession information.

DNA extraction, sequencing, assembly and annotation

Total genomic DNA was extracted from leaves dried in silica gel using the Plant Genomic DNA Kit and then sent to Novogene (Tianjin, China) for DNA quality assessment. The qualified DNA fragments with 350 bp insert size were enriched by PCR experiment. Paired reads were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform. A total of 40 G genome skimming data (150 bp read length) were generated for each sample. These sequenced data were used to assemble the whole chloroplast genome by GetOrganelle v. 1.7.4 pipeline (Jin et al. 2018) using *Phyllostachys edulis* (GenBank accession number: HQ337796) set as the reference, with k-mer values of 45, 65, 85, 105 and 125. The complete assembly graph was visualised for plastid contig in Bandage software (Wick et al. 2015). Finally, the sequence editing was manually operated in Geneious v. 9.1.4 (Kearse et al. 2012) with the structure of LSC-IRa-SSC-IRb.

Phylogenetic analysis

All the whole chloroplast genomes were aligned with MAFFT v. 7.490 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and combined as a data matrix. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) implemented in the PhyloSuite v.1.2.2 platform (Zhang et al. 2020b). The best substitution model (K81 + GTR) for the combined data was determined using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). A standard Maximum Likelihood tree search was performed using IQ-TREE v.1.6.8 (Nguyen et al. 2015). Nodal support (bootstrap support; BS) was assessed using 1000 standard bootstrap replicates. Bayesian phylogenetic inference was performed using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012). Posterior Probability (PP) was obtained from Metropolis-coupled Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulations (two independent runs; four chains; 40,000,000 generations, sampling frequency of once every 4000 generations; 25% burn-in). Visualisation of ML and BI trees was done in FigTree v. 1.4.4 (Rambaut 2018).

Result

The chloroplast genome size of *Sasa gracilis* is 140,013 bp and those of all the samples ranged from 139,394 bp (*Bambusa multiplex*) to 140,064 bp (*Gaoligongshania megalothyrsa* (Hand.-Mazz.) D.Z.Li, Hsueh & N.H.Xia) with an alignment of 144,169 bp. The data matrix was characterised by sequence divergence with 3,688 variable sites (2.56%), including 773 parsimony informative sites (0.54%) and 2,915 singleton variable sites (2.02%). The phylogenetic trees, generated by the ML and BI methods, were generally consistent in topology, so only the ML tree was shown with nodal support values from both methods labelled on each node (Fig. 1). As shown in the phylogenetic tree, *Sasa gracilis* is distantly related to *Sasa veitchii* Rehder (= *Sasa albomarginata* (Miq.) Makino & Shibata, the type of *Sasa*), but forms a monophyletic clade with three *Sinosasa* species with strong nodal support (BS = 100% and PP = 1.00).

Discussion

Our phylogenetic analysis and previous studies of Zeng et al. (2010), Zhang et al. (2012), Guo et al. (2021) and Qin et al. (2021) all demonstrated that *Sinosasa* is monophyletic. Molecular evidence, based on plastid genomic data, further confirmed that *Sasa gracilis* should be a member of *Sinosasa* rather than *Sasa*. Morphologically, the characters of this species also match well with those of *Sinosasa* species as mentioned above. The short inner ligule (2–3 mm) of *Sasa gracilis* can easily differentiate this species from all the other *Sinosasa* species. Consequently, the previous circumscription of



Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction for *Sasa gracilis*, based on plastid genome dataset with Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian analyses. Bootstrap values and posterior probabilities are indicated at each node.

Sinosasa on the length of foliage leaf inner ligule should be modified. In other words, Sinosasa does not always have long (typically > 1 cm) foliage leaf inner ligules as we knew previously. If this character is excluded, Sasa gracilis is somewhat similar to two other Sinosasa species also with culm leaf auricles, viz. Sinosasa magninoda (T.H.Wen & G.L.Liao) N.H.Xia, Q.M.Qin & X.R.Zheng and Sinosasa guangxiensis (C.D.Chu & C.S.Chao) N.H.Xia, Q.M.Qin & X.R.Zheng. It further differs from Sinosasa guangxiensis by the glabrous (vs. densely strigose) abaxially mid- and upper part of the culm leaf sheath with short (0.5–1 mm vs. 1–3 mm) ligules and the glabrous (vs. puberulent) foliage leaf sheath and from Sinosasa magninoda by having culm leaf with shorter (0.5–1 mm vs. 1–3 mm) ligules, larger (2–4 × 1–2 mm vs. 1–1.5 × 1 mm) auricles and (vs. absent) oral setae and foliage leaf with more (7–12 vs. 1–4) and longer (8–20 mm vs. 5–10 mm) oral setae. A more detailed comparison amongst the three species is provided in Table 2. Based on the above evidence, it is concluded that Sasa gracilis represents a distinct species of Sinosasa and a new combination of it under Sinosasa should be made.

Characters	Sasa gracilis	Sinosasa guangxiensis	Sinosasa magninoda
Culm leaf			
Sheath	Glabrous on the mid- and upper part	Densely strigose	Glabrous or sparsely strigose
Auricles	Elliptic to falcate, 2–4 × 1–2 mm	Ovate or oblong, $2-3 \times 1-2 \text{ mm}$	Ovate, 1–1.5 × 1 mm
Oral setae	Present, 2–10 mm long	Present, 3–10 mm long	Absent
Ligule	0.5–1 mm	1–3 mm	1–3 mm
Foliage leaf			
Sheath	Glabrous	Puberulent	Glabrous
Oral setae	7–12, 8–20 mm	Ca. 10, 6–10 mm long	1-4, 5-10 mm
Inner ligule	2–3 mm	10–15 mm	8–12 mm

Table 2. Comparison of Sasa gracilis, Sinosasa guangxiensis and Sinosasa magninoda.

Taxonomic treatment

Sinosasa gracilis (B.M.Yang) N.H.Xia, Y.H.Tong, J.B.Ni & X. Li, comb. nov. urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:77318864-1 Figs 2, 3

Basionym. Sasa gracilis B. M. Yang, Acta Sci. Nat. Univ. Norm. Hunan. 13 (supplement): 1 (1990).

Type. CHINA. Hunan: Jiangyong County, Dayuan Township, Shangmuyuan, elev. 620 m, 28 October 1987, *B. M. Yang 6774* (Holotype: HNNU00053209!)

Description. Shrubby bamboos. Rhizomes leptomorph, internodes 4-5.5 cm long, 2-3.5 mm in diameter, solid. Culms pluricaespitose, 0.2-1.5 m tall, 1.5-7 mm in diameter; internodes terete, 2-15 cm long, upper part initially densely white puberulent, glabrescent when old, hollow; supranodal ridge 5–9 mm in diameter, strongly raised; intranode ca. 3 mm long, glabrous, densely puberulous in infranodal region; branches extravaginal, solitary at each branching node. Culm bud solitary, trullate, sunken into supranodal ridge, ciliate on the margin. Culm leaf sheath persistent or tardily deciduous, 1/2-1/3 as long as internodes, glabrous abaxially, except for the base with a 2–3 mm wide brown and downward-appressed hispid band, glabrous on the margin; sheath scar flat or slightly prominent; auricles elliptic to falcate, $2-4 \times$ 1-2 mm, oral setae curved, 2-10 mm long; ligule truncate, 0.5-1 mm high, ciliolate on the margin; blade triangular to lanceolate, erect or reflexed, 5-10 mm long, glabrous, serrulate along the margin. Foliage leaves 5-12 per ultimate branch; sheath glabrous, green or purple-green, 8-12 mm long, margin glabrous, longitudinal ribs conspicuous; auricles elliptic to falcate, $1-2 \times 1-1.5$ mm, oral setae developed, 7-12, erect or curled, 8–20 mm long; inner ligule 2–3 mm high, slightly arcuate to truncate, glabrous; outer ligule ca. 1 mm high, abaxially puberulous, white ciliate on the margin; pseudopetioles glabrous, 4-8 mm long; blades oblong-lanceolate to lanceolate, $7-29 \times$ 1.5–5 cm, papery, wavy when dry, both surfaces glabrous, apex long-attenuate, base cuneate to obtuse, margin serrulate; secondary veins 3-8 pairs, transverse veins conspicuous. Inflorescence unknown.



Figure 2. Holotype of *Sinosasa gracilis* (B.M.Yang) N.H.Xia, Y.H.Tong, J.B.Ni & X.Li (≡ *Sasa gracilis* B.M.Yang), *B. M. Yang 06774* (HNNU, barcode: 00053209). Photo by Zhuo-Yu Cai.



Figure 3. *Sinosasa gracilis* **A** habit **B** foliage leafy branch **C** culm bud and strongly raised supranodal ridge **D** culm leaf sheath base **E** partial culm, showing solitary branch **F** dried foliage leafy branch, showing wavy blades and a close-up view of glabrous sheath, short inner ligules, auricles and oral setae **G** culm leaf blade, auricles and oral setae **H** glabrous abaxial surface of culm leaf sheath. All photos by Xing Li.

Phenology. New shoots from April to May.

Distribution and habitat. It is endemic to Shangmuyuan Mountain in Jiangyong County, Hunan, China. It grows in moist places along the river banks in the valley at elevations of 600–1000 m.

Chinese name. 纤细华赤竹 (Chinese pronunciation: xiān xì huá chì zhú).

Additional specimens examined. *Sinosasa gracilis*: CHINA. Hunan: Jiangyong County, Dayuan Township, Shangmuyuan, 18 September 2022, 25°24'24.7"N, 111°16'17.9"E, elev. 838 m, *X. Li & J. B. Ni LX153* (IBSC).

Sinosasa guangxiensis: CHINA. Guangxi: Rongshui County, Jiuwan Mountain, Gema, elev. 800 m, 23 April 1979, C. D. Chu & Z. Wang 7906 (isotypes: PE0008644, image, N019023145, image); Lingchuan County, Dajing Township, Qifen Mountain, 30 July 2006, C. X. Zeng & Y. X. Zhang 06179 (KUN0720003, image, KUN0719374, image, KUN0719386, image, KUN0719166, image, KUN0719167, image, KUN0719168, image, KUN0719169, image).

Sinosasa magninoda: CHINA. Jiangxi: Jinggang Mountain, Longtan, Zhenzhutan, 26 May 1985, Liao et Xu 85017 (ZJFI); ibid. 28 May 1990, elev. 700 m, T. H. Wen & G. L. Liao 90551 (Holotype: ZJFI); ibid. 27 Aug 2017, X. R. Zheng 25 (IBSC).

Acknowledgements

We thank Xing-Min Zhou for his assistance in the fieldwork. This research was funded by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (grant no. 2021A1515011302) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 32270227).

References

- Beentje H (2016) The Kew Plant Glossary, Second Edition. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, London, 1–184.
- Clayton WD, Vorontsova M, Harman KT, Williamson H (2016) GrassBase-The online world grass flora. https://kew.org/data/grasses-db/index.html [Accessed 21 December 2022]
- Deng YF, Xia NH (2014) *Acidosasa* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae): Publication by description generico-specifica and typification. Taxon 63(2): 400–402. https://doi.org/10.12705/632.10
- Guo C, Ma PF, Yang GQ, Ye XY, Guo Y, Liu JX, Liu YL, Eaton DAR, Guo ZH, Li DZ (2021) Parallel ddRAD and genome skimming analyses reveal a radiative and reticulate evolutionary history of the temperate bamboos. Systematic Biology 70(4): 756–773. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/syaa076
- Hu CH (1996) *Sasa* Makino et Shibata. In: Keng PC, Wang ZP (Eds) Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae (Vol. 9). Science Press, Beijing, 662–675.
- Jin JJ, Yu WB, Yang JB, Song Y, Yi TS, Li DZ (2018) GetOrganelle: a simple and fast pipeline for de novo assembly of a complete circular chloroplast genome using genome skimming data. bioRxiv 256479. https://doi.org/10.1101/256479

- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong T, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods 14(6): 587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT: multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(4): 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 28(12): 1647–1649. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Makino T, Shibata K (1901) On *Sasa*, a new genus of Bambuseae, and its affinities. Botanical Magazine Tokyo 15(168): 18–31. https://doi.org/10.15281/jplantres1887.15.168_18
- McClure FA (1966) The bamboos: A fresh perspective. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1–347. https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674428713
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Haeseler V, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32(1): 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
- Qin QM, Tong YH, Zheng XR, Ni JB, Xia NH (2021) *Sinosasa* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae), a new genus from China. Taxon 70(1): 27–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12422
- Rambaut A (2018) FigTree, version v.1.4.4. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/ [Accessed 25 October 2020]
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Mark VDP, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Shi JY, Che BY, Zhang YX, Zhou DQ, Ma LS, Yao J (2022) Sasa Makino & Shibata. In: Yi TP, Shi JY, Zhang YX, Zhou DQ, Ma LS, Yao J (Eds) Illustrated Flora of Bambusoideae in China (Vol. 2). Science Press, Beijing & Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., Singapore, 381–396. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2758-3_43
- Thiers B (2022[continuously updated]) Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih [Accessed 21 December 2022]
- Vorontsova MS, Clark LG, Dransfield J, Govaerts R, Baker WJ (2016) World checklist of bamboos and rattans. INBAR Technical Report No. 37. Beijing: International Network of Bamboo & Rattan, 1–454.
- Wang ZP, Stapleton CMA (2006) Sasa Makino et Shibata. In: Wu ZY, Raven P (Eds) Flora of China (Vol. 22). Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 109–112.
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Justin Z, Holt KE (2015) Bandage: Interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 31(20): 3350–3352. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383
- Yang BM (1990) Fourteen new bamboo species from Hunan. Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universtis Normalis Hunanensis 13(supplement): 1–14.

Yang BM (1993) Bamboos of Hunnan. Hunan Science & Technology Press, Changsha, 1–281.

- Yi TP, Shi JY, Ma LS, Wang HT, Yang L (2008) Iconographia Bambusoidearum Sinicarum. Science Press, Beijing, 1–766.
- Zeng CX, Zhang YX, Triplettd JK, Yang JB, Li DZ (2010) Large multi-locus plastid phylogeny of the tribe Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae) reveals ten major lineages and low rate of molecular divergence. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 56(2): 821–839. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.03.041
- Zhang YX, Zeng CX, Li DZ (2012) Complex evolution in Arundinarieae (Poaceae: Bambusoideae): Incongruence between plastid and nuclear GBSSI gene phylogenies. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 63(3): 777–797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.02.023
- Zhang YX, Guo C, Li DZ (2020a) A new subtribal classification of Arundinarieae (Poaceae, Bambusoideae) with the description of a new genus. Plant Diversity 42(3): 127–134. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2020.03.004
- Zhang D, Gao FL, Jakovlić I, Zou H, Zhang J, Li WX, Wang GT (2020b) PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. Molecular Ecology Resources 20(1): 348–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096